Election Costing Request Form

Details of request		
Party:	Australian Labor Party (NSW Branch)	
Name of Policy:	Commissioner for Strata and Community Schemes	
Date of request:	27 February 2019	

Description of policy		
Summary of policy (please attach copies of relevant policy documents and include information on what the policy aims to achieve):	Allocate \$4 million annually to establish a Commissioner for Strata and Community Schemes. This would be funded by a small levy on strata and community schemes.	
Has the policy been publicly released yet?		

	2018/19 \$'000	2019/20 \$'000	2020/21 \$'000	2021/22 \$'000	Total \$'000
Impact on GGS expenses					
Impact on GGS revenue					
Impact on General Government Sector (GGS) net operating result ¹					
Impact on GGS capital expenditure ²					
Impact on GGS net lending/borrowing					

Note: Has the policy been costed by a third party?

If yes, can you provide a copy of this costing and its assumptions?

¹ Negative for a saving that reduces expenditure

² Negative for a reduction in capital expenditure.

Key assumptions made in the policy			
Does the policy relate to a previous announcement? If yes, which announcement?			
What assumptions have been made in deriving the financial impacts in your estimated costing? (See checklist)	Assume total additional funding for the commissioner and associated office to be \$4 million annually, adjusted for movements in the consumer price index (Sydney) after 2019-20. Any additional needs can be met by reallocating resourcing of the Department of Planning and Environment. Apply a small levy on strata and community schemes. The annual revenue is to equate to the annual additional funding required under for the commissioner.		
Is there a range for the costing or any sensitivity analysis that you have undertaken?	No.		
Are there associated savings, offsets or, in the case of a revenue proposal, offsetting expenses? If yes, please provide details.	See <u>Assumptions</u> .		
Are there significant costs or savings outside the forward estimates period which should be considered in costing this policy? ³	No.		

Administration of policy		
Intended date of implementation:	1 July 2019	
Intended duration of policy ⁴ :	Ongoing.	
Who will administer the policy (e.g. Government entity, non-government organisation, etc.)?	Department of Planning and Environment	
Are there any specific administrative arrangements for the policy that need to be taken into account (e.g. agreements between different levels of government)?	Changes to legislation would be required.	
Are there transitional arrangements associated with policy implementation?	No.	

³ Particularly important for large projects with long lead times, policies with a delayed timetable for implementation, or policies where up-front investment is required to achieve long term savings.

⁴ Where a policy is intended to be ongoing, please indicate "ongoing" in the space to the right

If the policy is mainly an expenditure ⁵ commitment	
Demand driven or a capped amount:	Capped.
Eligibility criteria or thresholds:	N/a.

-

⁵ Expenditure is operating expenses, e.g. salaries, interest cost and grants. Expenditures are fully included in the impact on operating balance.