

## Election Costing Request Form

Details of request	
Party:	Australian Labor Party (NSW Branch)
Name of Policy:	Remove duplication across agencies
Date of request:	9 February 2019

Description of policy	
Summary of policy (please attach copies of relevant policy documents and include information on what the policy aims to achieve):	Produce \$150 million of savings by removing duplication of functions and inefficiency across government.
Has the policy been publicly released yet?	

	2018/19 \$'000	2019/20 \$'000	2020/21 \$'000	2021/22 \$'000	Total \$'000
Impact on GGS expenses					
Impact on GGS revenue					
Impact on General Government Sector (GGS) net operating result <sup>1</sup>					
Impact on GGS capital expenditure <sup>2</sup>					
Impact on GGS net lending/borrowing					

**Note:** Has the policy been costed by a third party?  
If yes, can you provide a copy of this costing and its assumptions?

Key assumptions made in the policy	
Does the policy relate to a previous announcement? If yes, which announcement?	

<sup>1</sup> Negative for a saving that reduces expenditure

<sup>2</sup> Negative for a reduction in capital expenditure.

<p>What assumptions have been made in deriving the financial impacts in your estimated costing? (See checklist)</p>	<p>The policy would reduce funding to general government sector agencies by \$25 million in 2019-20, \$60 million in 2020-21, \$65 million in 2021-22.</p> <p>The scope of the policy would include government departments, commissions, panels, boards, committees and taskforces.</p> <p>The policy would reduce cluster funding by a duplication and waste quota based on a pro-rated amount of the total saving. Frontline services would be excluded. Agencies must work with central agencies to identify and agree areas of duplication in their portfolios in order to meet the required saving. Other areas of inefficiency and waste may also be nominated.</p>
<p>Is there a range for the costing or any sensitivity analysis that you have undertaken?</p>	<p>No.</p>
<p>Are there associated savings, offsets or, in the case of a revenue proposal, offsetting expenses? If yes, please provide details.</p>	<p>..</p>
<p>Are there significant costs or savings <b>outside</b> the forward estimates period which should be considered in costing this policy?<sup>3</sup></p>	<p>..</p>

<b>Administration of policy</b>	
Intended date of implementation:	1 July 2019
Intended duration of policy <sup>4</sup> :	To 30 June 2022.
Who will administer the policy (e.g. Government entity, non-government organisation, etc.)?	Whole-of-government, Treasury.
Are there any specific administrative arrangements for the policy that need to be taken into account (e.g. agreements between different levels of government)?	No.
Are there transitional arrangements associated with policy implementation?	..

<sup>3</sup> Particularly important for large projects with long lead times, policies with a delayed timetable for implementation, or policies where up-front investment is required to achieve long term savings.

<sup>4</sup> Where a policy is intended to be ongoing, please indicate "ongoing" in the space to the right

<b>If the policy is mainly an expenditure<sup>5</sup> commitment</b>	
Demand driven or a capped amount:	Capped.
Eligibility criteria or thresholds:	Agencies budget would be automatically reduced by their savings quota.

---

<sup>5</sup> Expenditure is operating expenses, e.g. salaries, interest cost and grants. Expenditures are fully included in the impact on operating balance.