



PARLIAMENTARY BUDGET OFFICE

NSW Parliament • Parliament House, Macquarie Street Sydney 2000

Election Costing Request Form

Details of request	
Party:	Australian Labor Party (NSW Branch)
Name of Policy:	Better Communities and Sports – additional funding
Date of request:	26 March 2015

Description of policy	
Summary of policy (please attach copies of relevant policy documents):	Increase grants funding allocated to the Better Communities and Sports Fund (separately costed) by an additional \$40 million.
What is the purpose or intention of the policy?	Deliver a \$90 million Better Communities and Sports Fund to support local projects and organisations, with the additional \$40 million funded by new savings taken up subsequent to the first Budget Impact Statement.
Has the policy been publicly released yet?	

Your estimated costing of the policy ¹						
	2014/15 \$'000	2015/16 \$'000	2016/17 \$'000	2017/18 \$'000	4 Yr Total \$'000	Other years ² \$'000
Impact on General Government Sector (GGS) net operating result ³	-	20,000	10,000	10,000	40,000	-
Impact on GGS capital expenditure ⁴	-	-	-	-	-	-
If different from above, impact on total State Sector net financial liabilities ⁵	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Amounts should be expressed in nominal dollars. GGS - General Government Sector.

² Please provide information on other years if spending occurs outside the forward estimate years and will be required to cost the policy.

³ Negative for a saving that reduces expenditure

⁴ Negative for a reduction in capital expenditure.

⁵ Only required if proposal is outside GGS. Negative for a reduction in net financial liabilities.

Key assumptions made in the policy	
Does the policy relate to a previous announcement? If yes, which announcement?	Yes – the Better Communities and Sports Fund policy published in the Parliamentary Budget Office’s Budget Impact Statement on the Australian Labor Party (NSW Branch).
What assumptions have been made in deriving the financial impacts in your estimated costing? <i>(See checklist)</i>	Increase funding allocated under the Better Communities and Sports Fund by \$20 million in 2015-16 and \$10 million in each of 2016-17 and 2017-18. The additional \$40 million takes the total value of the fund to \$90 million.
Is there a range for the costing or any sensitivity analysis that you have undertaken?	No.
Are there associated savings, offsets or expenses? If yes, please provide details.	..

Administration of policy	
Intended date of implementation:	1 July 2015.
Intended duration of policy:	To 30 June 2018.
Who will administer the policy (e.g. Government entity, non-government organisation, etc.)?	Various.
Are there any specific administrative arrangements for the policy that need to be taken into account?	No.
Are there transitional arrangements associated with policy implementation?	..

If the policy is mainly an expenditure⁶ commitment	
Demand driven or a capped amount:	Capped.
Eligibility criteria or thresholds:	N/a.

⁶ Expenditure is operating expenses, e.g. salaries, interest cost and grants. Expenditures are fully included in the impact on operating balance.