



Parliamentary Budget Office - Policy Costing

Referred By: Australian Labor Party (NSW Branch)
Referred Date: 11-Mar-11

Proposal No: C057
Released Date: 21-Mar-11

Proposal Title: Expand the monitoring of sex offenders by the courts

Lead Agency: Department of Justice and Attorney General

General Government Sector Impacts

Table with 5 columns: 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14, 4 Year Total. Rows include Expenses (ex. depreciation), Depreciation, Less: Agency Offsets, Agency Revenue, and Net Operating Balance.

Table with 6 columns: 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14, Other Years, Total Cost. Rows include Capital Expenditure, Capital Offsets, and Net Capital Expenditure.

Table with 4 columns: 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14. Row: Net Worth.

Table with 4 columns: 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14. Row: Net Financial Liabilities.

Total State Sector Impacts

Table with 4 columns: 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14. Row: Net Financial Liabilities.

Costing assumptions used:

The proposal is expected to increase the workload of courts, lengthen hearings and potentially result in further court and custody time. The Department of Justice and Attorney General (DJAG) has advised that it is unable to estimate specific costs. It is assumed that additional costs will be met from within DJAG's existing Budget allocations.

Handwritten signature of A.C. Harris

A.C Harris
Acting Parliamentary Budget Officer