



Parliamentary Budget Office - Policy Costing

Referred By: Australian Labor Party (NSW Branch)
Referred Date: 21-Mar-11

Proposal No: C102
Released Date: 23-Mar-11

Proposal Title: Strengthening consumer protection - Improve online purchase protections, naming and shaming international traders who abuse the trust of NSW consumers.

Lead Agency: Department of Services, Technology and Administration – NSW Fair Trading

General Government Sector Impacts

Table with 5 columns: 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14, 4 Year Total. Rows include Expenses (ex. depreciation), Depreciation, Less: Agency Offsets, Agency Revenue, and Net Operating Balance.

Table with 6 columns: 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14, Other Years, Total Cost. Rows include Capital Expenditure, Capital Offsets, and Net Capital Expenditure.

Table with 5 columns: 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14. Row: Net Worth.

Table with 5 columns: 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14. Row: Net Financial Liabilities.

Total State Sector Impacts

Table with 5 columns: 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14. Row: Net Financial Liabilities.

Costing assumptions used:

The Parliamentary Budget Office has assumed that to implement this proposal, relevant legislation would require amendments. Cost to amend legislation can be accommodated within the nominated agency. The Parliamentary Budget Office also assumed that the nominated agencies would accommodate the cost to name, shame and prosecute offenders. There is no information for the Parliamentary Budget Office to estimate the possible revenue generated from fines issued to the offenders.

Handwritten signature of A.C. Harris

A.C Harris
Acting Parliamentary Budget Officer