Election Costing Request Form

Details of request		
Party:	Australian Labor Party (NSW Branch)	
Name of Policy:	Putting the trust back into Crown Lands – Labor's 2019 Crown Lands' policy	
Date of request:	8 March 2019	

Description of policy		
Summary of policy (please attach copies of relevant policy documents and include information on what the policy aims to achieve):	See <u>Attachment 1</u> .	
Has the policy been publicly released yet?		

	2018/19 \$'000	2019/20 \$'000	2020/21 \$'000	2021/22 \$'000	Total \$'000
Impact on GGS expenses					
Impact on GGS revenue					
Impact on General Government Sector (GGS) net operating result ¹					
Impact on GGS capital expenditure ²					
Impact on GGS net lending/borrowing					

Note: Has the policy been costed by a third party?

If yes, can you provide a copy of this costing and its assumptions?

¹ Negative for a saving that reduces expenditure

² Negative for a reduction in capital expenditure.

Key assumptions made in the policy		
Does the policy relate to a previous announcement? If yes, which announcement?		
What assumptions have been made in deriving the financial impacts in your estimated costing? (See checklist)	See <u>Attachment 1</u> .	
Is there a range for the costing or any sensitivity analysis that you have undertaken?	No.	
Are there associated savings, offsets or, in the case of a revenue proposal, offsetting expenses? If yes, please provide details.		
Are there significant costs or savings outside the forward estimates period which should be considered in costing this policy? ³		

Administration of policy		
Intended date of implementation:	1 July 2019	
Intended duration of policy ⁴ :	Ongoing.	
Who will administer the policy (e.g. Government entity, non-government organisation, etc.)?	Department of Industry.	
Are there any specific administrative arrangements for the policy that need to be taken into account (e.g. agreements between different levels of government)?	Changes to legislation would be required.	
Are there transitional arrangements associated with policy implementation?	No.	

If the policy is mainly an expenditure ⁵ commitment	
Demand driven or a capped amount:	Uncapped.
Eligibility criteria or thresholds:	Nil.

³ Particularly important for large projects with long lead times, policies with a delayed timetable for implementation, or policies where up-front investment is required to achieve long term savings.

⁴ Where a policy is intended to be ongoing, please indicate "ongoing" in the space to the right

⁵ Expenditure is operating expenses, e.g. salaries, interest cost and grants. Expenditures are fully included in the impact on operating balance.

Attachment 1

A Daley Labor Government will restore the community's trust in Crown Land by:

- 1. Restoring the Trust system to manage the Crown Reserves; COSTING: Please advise.
- 2. Appointing a permanent Crown Lands Commissioner to investigate and address Crown Land disputes. (Amend the relevant Act to establish the currently temporary role as a standing statutory position, with the appointment requiring the approval of Cabinet.)

COSTING: Otherwise consistent with existing remuneration and employment arrangements.

- Amend Crown Lands legislation to improve transparency in dealings and transfers to other Government agencies and ensure Crown Land vested in Councils remains community land; COSTING: Nil impact.
- 4. Employ 20 cadets/junior officers in regional offices in land and bushfire management, compliance and community support.

COSTING: Assume 20 FTE. Additional funding for total salary including on-costs would be fixed at an average of \$75,000 per FTE. Any residual on-costs to be absorbed within existing agency resources.

5. A moratorium of the sale and disposal of travelling stock reserves.

COSTING: Please advise.

6. Renew focus of preparation of local and regional statutory Plans of Management for significant Crown Reserves.

COSTING: Absorb within existing agency resources.