Election Costing Request Form

Details of request		
Party:	Australian Labor Party (NSW Branch)	
Name of Policy:	Labor's Plan to Save the Koalas of South West Sydney: infrastructure	
Date of request:	4 February 2019	

Description of policy				
Summary of policy (please attach copies of relevant policy documents and include information on what the policy aims to achieve):	 Construct three fauna and koala overpass crossings along Appin Road at known koala activity hotspots. Construct wildlife exclusion fencing and koala grids across all driveways and intersections along Appin Road. Include stronger wildlife protection measures in development requirements. 			
Has the policy been publicly released yet?				

	2018/19 \$'000	2019/20 \$'000	2020/21 \$'000	2021/22 \$'000	Total \$'000
Impact on GGS expenses					
Impact on GGS revenue					
Impact on General Government Sector (GGS) net operating result ¹					
Impact on GGS capital expenditure ²					
Impact on GGS net lending/borrowing					

Note: Has the policy been costed by a third party?

If yes, can you provide a copy of this costing and its assumptions?

¹ Negative for a saving that reduces expenditure

² Negative for a reduction in capital expenditure.

Key assumptions made in the policy		
Does the policy relate to a previous announcement? If yes, which announcement?		
What assumptions have been made in deriving the financial impacts in your estimated costing? (See checklist)	For policy components #1 and #2 (under <u>Summary</u>), please apply reasonable 'rule of thumb' assumptions.	
	Tighter development agreements	
	Implement changes to development requirements, including that any voluntary planning agreements (VPAs) between developers and the NSW Government that include upgrades to Appin Road will require those upgrades to also include wildlife protection measures, including underpasses and wildlife exclusion fencing.	
Is there a range for the costing or any sensitivity analysis that you have undertaken?	No.	
Are there associated savings, offsets or, in the case of a revenue proposal, offsetting expenses? If yes, please provide details.	Support policy components #1 and #2 with funding from the NSW Koala Strategy.	
	Please absorb administrative costs within existing agency resourcing.	
Are there significant costs or savings outside the forward estimates period which should be considered in costing this policy? ³		

Administration of policy			
Intended date of implementation:	Upon formation of government.		
Intended duration of policy ⁴ :	Ongoing.		
Who will administer the policy (e.g. Government entity, non-government organisation, etc.)?	Department of Planning and Environment.		
Are there any specific administrative arrangements for the policy that need to be taken into account (e.g. agreements between different levels of government)?	Changes to legislation or regulation may be required.		
Are there transitional arrangements associated with policy implementation?	No.		

³ Particularly important for large projects with long lead times, policies with a delayed timetable for implementation, or policies where up-front investment is required to achieve long term savings.

⁴ Where a policy is intended to be ongoing, please indicate "ongoing" in the space to the right

If the policy is mainly a capital costs ⁵ commitment				
Nature of Capital Spending				
Type of work, size and capacity:	See <u>Assumptions</u> .			
Proposed start and completion date of work:	Commence 1 July 2019, as soon as practicable.			
Intended construction schedule/cashflow:	Please advise.			
Associated asset sell off (if any):	N/a.			
Recurrent Impacts				
Offsetting expenditure savings:	Nil.			
On-going maintenance, depreciation and operational expenses:	Standard assumptions.			
Third party funding involvement:	Consistent with standard arrangements.			
Delivery model ⁶				

⁵ Capital costs differ from expenditure in that only depreciation will be included in the impact on operating balance.

⁶ There is a range of possible delivery models, e.g. built, owned and operated by a NSW government agency; built and transferred to a private operator; privately built for public operation; privately built and operated with government assuming risk or providing a guarantee in relation to future income (often applicable to public/private partnership arrangements), and so on. The policy should provide assumptions about the proposed delivery model.