

Election Costing Request Form

Details of request	
Party:	Australian Labor Party (NSW Branch)
Name of Policy:	Labor's Plan to Save the Koalas of South West Sydney: a Koala National Park
Date of request:	8 March 2019

Description of policy	
Summary of policy (please attach copies of relevant policy documents and include information on what the policy aims to achieve):	Establish a Koala National Park in South West Sydney on publicly owned land around the Upper Georges River.
Has the policy been publicly released yet?	

	2018/19 \$'000	2019/20 \$'000	2020/21 \$'000	2021/22 \$'000	Total \$'000
Impact on GGS expenses					
Impact on GGS revenue					
Impact on General Government Sector (GGS) net operating result ¹					
Impact on GGS capital expenditure ²					
Impact on GGS net lending/borrowing					

Note: Has the policy been costed by a third party?
If yes, can you provide a copy of this costing and its assumptions?

¹ Negative for a saving that reduces expenditure

² Negative for a reduction in capital expenditure.

Key assumptions made in the policy	
Does the policy relate to a previous announcement? If yes, which announcement?	
What assumptions have been made in deriving the financial impacts in your estimated costing? <i>(See checklist)</i>	<p>The park would provide for north-south koala movement on publicly owned land proximate to Georges River.</p> <p>Include publicly owned land that is contained within the lots outlined <u>Attachment 1</u>.</p> <p>In general, where there are uncertain or incompletely specified policy assumptions, please apply reasonable judgement and supply assumptions such that policy cost is minimised over the forward estimates.</p>
Is there a range for the costing or any sensitivity analysis that you have undertaken?	No.
Are there associated savings, offsets or, in the case of a revenue proposal, offsetting expenses? If yes, please provide details.	Support the policy through funds from the national parks acquisition budget and the koala habitat component of the NSW Environmental Trust.
Are there significant costs or savings outside the forward estimates period which should be considered in costing this policy? ³	..

Administration of policy	
Intended date of implementation:	1 July 2019
Intended duration of policy ⁴ :	Ongoing.
Who will administer the policy (e.g. Government entity, non-government organisation, etc.)?	Department of Planning and Environment, National Parks and Wildlife Service.
Are there any specific administrative arrangements for the policy that need to be taken into account (e.g. agreements between different levels of government)?	No.
Are there transitional arrangements associated with policy implementation?	..

³ Particularly important for large projects with long lead times, policies with a delayed timetable for implementation, or policies where up-front investment is required to achieve long term savings.

⁴ Where a policy is intended to be ongoing, please indicate "ongoing" in the space to the right

If the policy is mainly a capital costs⁵ commitment	
Nature of Capital Spending	
Type of work, size and capacity:	See <u>Attachment 1</u> .
Proposed start and completion date of work:	Commence 1 July 2019. Please advise of the appropriate completion date. This should be the date within the forward estimates such that the project's total net debt impact over the forward estimates is minimised.
Intended construction schedule/cashflow:	See above.
Associated asset sell off (if any):	N/a.
Recurrent Impacts	
Offsetting expenditure savings:	Nil.
On-going maintenance, depreciation and operational expenses:	Standard assumptions.
Third party funding involvement:	Consistent with standards arrangements.
Delivery model ⁶	..

⁵ Capital costs differ from expenditure in that only depreciation will be included in the impact on operating balance.

⁶ There is a range of possible delivery models, e.g. built, owned and operated by a NSW government agency; built and transferred to a private operator; privately built for public operation; privately built and operated with government assuming risk or providing a guarantee in relation to future income (often applicable to public/private partnership arrangements), and so on. The policy should provide assumptions about the proposed delivery model.