Election Costing Request Form

Details of request			
Party:	Australian Labor Party (NSW Branch)		
Name of Policy:	Plan for waste		
Date of request:	1 February 2019		

Description of policy				
Summary of policy (please attach copies of relevant policy documents and include information on what the policy aims to achieve):	 Ban single use plastic bags. Establish a Recycling and Waste Council. Appoint a chairperson of the board of the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) independent of the Chief Executive Officer. Ask the Chief Scientist to chair an expert advisory body on energy from waste chaired to examine and report on the energy from waste regulatory framework. 			
Has the policy been publicly released yet?				

	2018/19 \$'000	2019/20 \$'000	2020/21 \$'000	2021/22 \$'000	Total \$'000
Impact on GGS expenses					
Impact on GGS revenue					
Impact on General Government Sector (GGS) net operating result ¹					
Impact on GGS capital expenditure ²					
Impact on GGS net lending/borrowing					

Negative for a saving that reduces expenditure
 Negative for a reduction in capital expenditure.

Note: Has the policy been costed by a third party? If yes, can you provide a copy of this costing and its assumptions?

Key assumptions made in the policy			
Does the policy relate to a previous announcement? If yes, which announcement?			
What assumptions have been made in deriving the financial impacts in your estimated costing? (See checklist)	Recycling and Waste Council Council would have 10-15 members and		
	have occasional meetings. Any allowances and incidental expenses would be met from within existing resources of the planning and environment cluster.		
	Independent EPA chair		
	The EPA board is currently chaired by the EPA chief executive. Under the policy being costed, the chairperson would be replaced by a new engagement independent of the EPA. See the NSW PBO 2015 election costing A226 Reform of the NSW Environmental Authority – Governance.		
	Expert advisory body on energy from waste		
	Any allowances and incidental expenses of the advisory body would be met from within existing resources of the planning and environment cluster. Aspects of the body such as membership numbers and meeting frequency would be scalable to available funding.		
Is there a range for the costing or any sensitivity analysis that you have undertaken?	No.		
Are there associated savings, offsets or, in the case of a revenue proposal, offsetting expenses? If yes, please provide details.			
Are there significant costs or savings outside the forward estimates period which should be considered in costing this policy? ³			

³ Particularly important for large projects with long lead times, policies with a delayed timetable for implementation, or policies where up-front investment is required to achieve long term savings.

Administration of policy		
Intended date of implementation:	1 July 2019	
Intended duration of policy ⁴ :	Ongoing.	
Who will administer the policy (e.g. Government entity, non-government organisation, etc.)?	Department Planning and Environment.	
Are there any specific administrative arrangements for the policy that need to be taken into account (e.g. agreements between different levels of government)?	No.	
Are there transitional arrangements associated with policy implementation?		

If the policy is mainly an expenditure ⁵ commitment		
Demand driven or a capped amount:	Uncapped.	
Eligibility criteria or thresholds:	Nil.	

Where a policy is intended to be ongoing, please indicate "ongoing" in the space to the right
 Expenditure is operating expenses, e.g. salaries, interest cost and grants. Expenditures are fully included in the impact on operating balance.