

## PARLIAMENTARY BUDGET OFFICE

NSW Parliament • Parliament House, Macquarie Street Sydney 2000

## **Election Costing Request Form**

Details of request		
Party:	Australian Labor Party (NSW Branch)	
Name of Policy:	Regulation of cruise ships berthing at White Bay Cruise Terminal	
Date of request:	19 March 2015	

Description of policy		
Summary of policy (please attach copies of relevant policy documents):	Ban overnight berthing, tighten sulphur content regulations, implement noise monitoring and investigate additional environmental initiatives including on-shore power and in relation to cruise ships at White Bay (see <u>Attachment 1</u> ).	
What is the purpose or intention of the policy?	Protect the local environment and health of surrounding residents.	
Has the policy been publicly released yet?		

Your estimated costing of the policy <sup>1</sup>						
	2014/15 \$'000	2015/16 \$'000	2016/17 \$'000	2017/18 \$'000	4 Yr Total \$'000	Other years <sup>2</sup> \$'000
Impact on General Government Sector (GGS) net operating result <sup>3</sup>						
Impact on GGS capital expenditure <sup>4</sup>						
If different from above, impact on total State Sector net financial liabilities <sup>5</sup>						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Amounts should be expressed in nominal dollars. GGS - General Government Sector.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Please provide information on other years if spending occurs outside the forward estimate years and will be required to cost the policy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Negative for a saving that reduces expenditure <sup>4</sup> Negative for a reduction in capital expenditure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Only required if proposal is outside GGS. Negative for a reduction in net financial liabilities.

Key assumptions made in the policy			
Does the policy relate to a previous announcement? If yes, which announcement?	Yes – media release by Verity Firth on 5 March 2015 (see <u>Attachment 1)</u> .		
What assumptions have been made in deriving the financial impacts in your estimated costing? (See checklist)	Administrative costs should be absorbed within existing resources. To bring our regulation into line with world's best practice, a Labor Government will:		
	<ul> <li>designate Sydney Harbour an "Emissions Control Area" and reduce the maximum allowable sulphur content of the fuels used by cruise ships from the current 3.5 per cent to 0.1 per cent</li> <li>ban overnight berthing at the Terminal</li> <li>implement independent noise monitoring and greater penalties for breaches</li> <li>immediately investigate ways to implement ship to shore power as recommended by the recent Upper House inquiry</li> <li>negotiate with the Federal Government to secure greater access for cruise ships to Garden Island.</li> </ul>		
Is there a range for the costing or any sensitivity analysis that you have undertaken?	No.		
Are there associated savings, offsets or expenses? If yes, please provide details.	See <u>Assumptions</u> .		

Administration of policy		
Intended date of implementation:	1 July 2015.	
Intended duration of policy:	Ongoing.	
Who will administer the policy (e.g. Government entity, non-government organisation, etc.)?	NSW Ports.	
Are there any specific administrative arrangements for the policy that need to be taken into account?	No.	
Are there transitional arrangements associated with policy implementation?		

If the policy is mainly a revenue commitment			
Transaction based or capped:	Consistent with existing arrangements.		
Thresholds and/or exemptions:			
Collection method:			
Additional expenditure associated with collection:	N/a.		