

**Submission
No 881**

INQUIRY INTO PROPOSED ENERGY FROM WASTE FACILITIES

Name: Susan & David Stenson

Date Received: 29 October 2025

This proposal will affect all the local farmers, especially organic producers. We do not want to destroy local livelihoods and industry. Producers of truffles, wines, sheep, cattle etc. will be impacted. Who do we sue for the destruction of our lifestyle and farmers' incomes? Veolia are a multinational company with a very poor track record and have proved time and time again that they accept fines for pollution and non compliance as a cost of doing business. An incinerator could be more efficiently and economically constructed at Clyde beside the rail handling facility, thereby eliminating the huge cost of transporting millions of tonnes of waste to a rural oasis clear of Sydney (a rural area that does not generate any of this polluted rubbish). If you ascertain that this facility is unsafe for Clyde, then we suggest Kurnell where there is a vast area of polluted industrial land with excellent port facilities, barge access, prevailing offshore winds and an established ocean outfall. The ash from a facility at Kurnell would be a valuable resource to reclaim the huge pits created by sand mining along the peninsula or used as a concrete additive in the ever expanding building industry, a potential asset which should not be chucked willy nilly into the rural countryside. The pollutants from a facility at Woodlawn would not only drift over Tarago, Windellama, Quialigo, Gundary, Bungonia, Goulburn and Marulan, but would continue to drift to Moss Vale, Berrima and Mittagong and eventually Sydney. Veolia will just take millions in revenue, employ very few people and transfer the profits to France. Do not allow this madness! It will make lifestyle around here very unpleasant and unhealthy.