

Submission
No 776

**INQUIRY INTO PROPOSED ENERGY FROM WASTE
FACILITIES**

Name: Name suppressed

Date Received: 29 October 2025

Partially
Confidential

Thanks for the opportunity to respond with my views on the proposed Tarago Toxic Waste Incinerator.

I'm opposed to this development, it is not wanted by anyone in the local community, and adds no value to our area.

I have lived in the Goulburn area all my life, raised a family here, welcoming our first grandchild 2 years ago, I place the health and well being of my family above all else, I see the potential health risks associated with this proposed development as being horrendous, we live here because of the clean air and healthy environment, why should this be changed to accommodate Sydney's waste at our expense?

There are many practical reasons why this should never proceed, but as a mother and grandmother, my primary reason for opposing the development is these potential health concerns, to be honest I'm scared and worried for our future here, if it proceeds I believe will have to sell up and move to somewhere that doesn't have the black cloud (excuse the pun) that is the Veolia Tarago toxic waste incinerator hanging over our heads. When you have lived somewhere for over 60 years, moving is not an easy thing to do, but it will be necessary if this development proceeds.

There are a lot of people living in the catchment of this proposed toxic waste incinerator, thousands, why should we have to compromise our health to accommodate Sydney's waste, if it doesn't have a risk to people why not build in Sydney somewhere.

I'm sure the proponents of this development will be lobbying all and sundry to get their toxic waste incinerator approved, but why not have thought for the little people like us, those unable to lobby the powers that be like the big multinational corporations who only care about the profits.

Its not wanted here please cancel it and look to alternate solutions that don't have toxic side effects, let's get smarter and review all options before this extremely risky dud.

Thanks, again for the opportunity to respond