Supplementary Submission No 16a

INQUIRY INTO FEASIBILITY OF UNDERGROUNDING THE TRANSMISSION INFRASTRUCTURE FOR RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECTS

Name:Mr Roy CurrieDate Received:14 July 2023

Good morning,

The committee is a "stacked deck" - no balanced cross section of the political spectrum

Chair:

Suvaal, Emily (ALP, LC Member) Members: Buttigieg, Mark (ALP, LC Member) Faehrmann, Cate (GRNS, LC Member) * Fang, Wes (NAT, LC Member) Hurst, Emma (AJP, LC Member) Lawrence, Stephen (ALP, LC Member) Martin, Taylor (LIB, LC Member) Primrose, Peter (ALP, LC Member)

This proposal is another world wide impractical, emotion and monetary driven attempt to force a transition to an energy system that is impossible to achieve mostly by the laws of physics, by engineering, and the absolute massive cost to the nation, (read world) leaving a debt to our children.

Much of the steel, cabling and other components will be imported from China, a potential enemy, adding to their economy at the expense of ours. This particular proposal is just to transport the remotely produced energy to the grid, a possible concept if done with aerial lines and totally absurd if underground!

The world hypocrisy considering China's human rights is abysmal but is any real pressure applied? E.g. Slave labour of their own people and other nationalities - Treatment of the Uyghurs -Incarceration of Chen Wei - Australian writers Yang Hengjun and journalist Cheng Lei to name some – No real pressure applied, just dancing to China's tune for we don't wish to upset them, a fact that China uses to in its coercion of the western world.

We are hounded by ill-informed idealists about our emissions but China and India charge on, unabated and unchallenged.

We are overwhelmed with false global figures regarding climate change. Of course there is climate change; it is called nature, which is a dynamic force that has been occurring since earth's big bang. Yes, humans are contributing to it but not at the rate that Greta Humbug, Al Gore, Albo and Chris Bowen's hysterical rhetoric.

No! Because it is convenient to ignore the problem so as to achieve our misguided unrealistic needs!

Go figure!

The destruction of the 4% of arable land that Australia has of its total land mass under the poisoning solar panels, the so called wind farms, all of which take more energy to manufacture and maintain than their short efficient lives will produce, plus that a very high percentage of components are unrecyclable so no reclamation for other use is possible.

The absolute lies that are used everyday to virtually coerce the population into believing that this form of renewable energy is the way forward.

I am reasonably sure that very few, if any of the committee have done any objective research into the renewable industry, especially when the so called committee is minions of the transition hierarchy. If they had, there would be at least a pause for reflection and to look at other energy methods, and in the meantime, not destroy what working energy producing infrastructure that we have until a working alternative is found. The current renewable malaise is a monetary creator for very select few!

The individual farmers that are supposedly supportive are bought off by governments with huge monetary incentives for they are totally fed up with governments of all persuasions interference into their farming practice and this is a way out.

The current feelings of many farmers are that it is all too much. They can cope with nature but the human intervention is out of control and just too much! Many young people would like to go or remain in agriculture, but it is just too hard!

In short:

I acknowledge climate change!

I am concerned about the vulnerability of our energy system to an enemy, too many centralized control points

I concur that a better way has to be found!

I know that the present worldwide methodology is a futile exercise!

I know that there are better and more practical ways to produce energy!

Gas fired

Clean burn coal fired

Hydrogen - is a wonderful dream but current reality is different

Very high energy input to create

Transport is difficult

Storage is even more difficult as it can even seep through glass

The billion that Albo has committed to hydrogen is a total waste of money

Nuclear is one very attractive way forward even if Bowen continues to lie about cost which is nothing compared to the cost of his methodology and targets.

For goodness sake, you people need to research your own information for pros and cons and stop believing everything that the UN and the zealots rave on about.