INQUIRY INTO PROVISIONS OF THE FIREARMS AND WEAPONS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT (CRIMINAL USE) BILL 2020

Name: Date Received: Name suppressed 1 August 2020

Partially Confidential

Remove the presumption that persons who rent premises, supply finance, materials or equipment are actually involved in the manufacture of firearms or firearm parts. (Section (51 J (2) (a)-(c))

2. Remove the presumption that materials and equipment are firearm precursors, where police have no evidence of firearms or parts being manufactured. (Section 51 J (2) (d)) 3. Decisions to confiscate firearms and equipment suspected of being firearms precursors, should only be made by police of the rank of Inspector and above, and only after careful consideration and such decision must be documented in writing.

4. Remove Section (51 K (2)) which breaches common law.

5. Add a requirement that internal reviews of decisions involving firearms matters have to be completed within a reasonable, defined period of time.

6. Amend the bill to make it perfectly clear that (a) the mere possession of everyday items or tools, published materials and other instructional materials in any form, by license firearm owners is not an offence under this bill; (b) licensed firearm owners who have a legitimate need to make a part or minor modification to a registered firearm, or a firearm that is not required to be registered under the Act, are not captured by this Bill. 7. Remove section (51 K (2) & (3)) which compels a person to provide assistance or information to police, as this breaches the right to silence under common law.

• Does the machining of a handgun slide to adapt different sights constitute manufacture?

• Does the threading of a barrel or the machining of sight mounting surface constitute manufacture?

• Does the alteration of a stock to allow the fitting of a cheek support or a bipod constitute manufacture?

• If I alter or adapt a component that allows me to fit, for example, a modern telescopic sight to an older firearm is that illegal "manufacture of a part" under this proposed legislation?

• If I modify a screw to secure the sight or grip to my firearm, because an original component is not available, am I guilty of illegal "manufacture of a part" under this proposed legislation?