

**Submission
No 175**

**INQUIRY INTO ANTI-DISCRIMINATION AMENDMENT
(COMPLAINT HANDLING) BILL 2020**

Name: Mr Luigi Rosolin

Date Received: 25 April 2020

I Luigi Rosolin, support the urgent passage propose by Mr Mark Latham.

For years had been informed by victim persecute a taxpayer cost by the NSW Anti Discrimination Board that even had extended out the state his jurisdiction contrary to federal state law as rule by the high Australian court.

Not accepting that ADB had continue to persecute outside they state, that should be proven easily and the responsible should face the consequence as they cause more extreme economical and mental hardship on defender that had to defend himself at own expenses, contrary to the accuser that often are only doing that because of ideological hate and ideology that want to stop other to had freedom of expression.

A well no activist Garry Burn is one of the top exponent of such use of the tribunal for is hate ideology, the tribunal had been in complicit with this person and after the law will be passed, I hope soon the investigation of the NSW tribunal will be depurate from people that had act unlawfully or been evident that they act in pursue pf personal ideology.

A mayor victim of Garry Burn is Mr Bernard Gaynor a strong faith man that stand on biblical historic principal that also follow in the region of religion freedom as not one that follow Christianity can said that homosexuality are consider normal right the opposite such choice of lifestyle is like many other choice condemn as sin. Even is called the sin of abomination.

Now the case of B G is not the only one, famous one is the case of famous player that lost his job for stating a verse of the Holy Bible. Mr Folau also had been accuse by Mr Garry Burns but in this case the ADB had act differently from B G probably because of the tribunal realize they are under scrutiny and worry that this law will be passed and found their wrong doing.

Are many other people that had been sue for expressing especially Christian view on same sex marriage or other LGBT extremist group that are dictating the main media and abuse any one in their way. Many had capitulate to avoid very costly defense that was sending them bankrupt because accuser were free to access taxpayer funded ADB tribunal.

I wondering who had choice the member of such tribunal also, wondering if that too should be investigate and change.

Finally all request initially presented and accepted by the ADB should be review by normal court to see if that where valid reason to be accept, the people that had been condemn or persecute by the tribunal without an acceptable complain should refunded of economical and emotional cost by the State as is the state that had been incapable to form a ADB independent from extreme ideology that had influenced they decision and also pour rushed legislation.

My sincere regards

Luigi Rosolin