INQUIRY INTO ANTI-DISCRIMINATION AMENDMENT (COMPLAINT HANDLING) BILL 2020

Name: Mr Peter Cunningham

Date Received: 25 April 2020



Ref: PC 466

Date: 25 April 2020

SUBMISSION

Anti-Discrimination Amendment (Complaint Handling) Bill 2020

The inquiry into the Anti-Discrimination Amendment (Complaint Handling) Bill 2020 was referred to Portfolio Committee No. 5 - Legal Affairs for inquiry and report on 27 February 2020.

Website Ref.



GENERALLY:

- (a) From personal experience the so called "Justice System" is practically devoid of "Justice".
- (b) Discrimination is overtly and commonly placed on the male by the feminist biased legal system. MANY examples can be provided.
- (c) Firearm owners in particular are subject to systemic abuse and GROSS injustice (1).
- (d) One man Bernard Gaynor has been tormented for years to the point of bankruptcy by a vexatious litigant, one Gary Burns. More GROSS injustice (2).

Injustice example (1).

Should a firearms owner be served with an AVO, and subsequent admission be provided that the action was taken out vexatiously, (in my case) "because the solicitor said I would gain a better carve up of the assets of the marriage" – even if a written apology or retraction be made – that firearms owner is NEVER permitted to legitimately possess a firearm for the remainder of life.

John Tingle – formerly Shooters Party – tried in vein to have his Bill introduced to redress (at least) this terrible discrimination by "The System" on licensed firearms owners. That attempted step to achieve justice was resisted wholly by Greens, Labor and (so called) Liberals. "Justice" therefore is a quaint notion, or an utter lie.

This panel needs review ALL direct and indirect processes and laws to END this sort of gross injustice and abuses of life. Will it? Given history, I say NAY! Injustice is the norm.

Injustice example (2).

The panel will be well aware of the sad situation surrounding years of abuse by the Anti-Discrimination Board and legal system of Bernard Gaynor by repeatedly supporting obvious vexations acts by one Gary Burns. No point in me elaborating.

For this repeated abuse to continue demonstrates substantial rot within the ADB. People within the ADB are projecting their personal sexist/leftist bias in a bureaucracy that is clearly unaccountable and which clearly sanctions that behaviour. Worse - then pairs action with ilk in the (so called) "Justice System" to effect human atrocities

Specifically: The panel needs to identify and sack those persons forthwith for what they have done – terminated without benefits and never to be employed in any government system again.

If the panel fails to achieve that end, then the panel itself is similarly tarred. <u>Given history, I again say NAY!</u> <u>Injustice is the norm.</u>

It is the task of legislators to pass effective and non-discriminatory laws and ensure those laws be applied without bias or discrimination. Sadly but predictably – bad laws are too often and too easily created and are 'never' reviewed.

Furthermore, related legislations rarely if ever considered because the "tick the box' system is myopic and deal with complex, inter-related issues in singularity.

The result is repeated and often destructive injustice that has often resulted in suicides. Culpability therefore rests with YOU people – those reviewing law and considering the Bill by Mark Latham to get this right.

In the main, those involved appear to be simply too lazy or too prejudiced to deal with peripheral legislation. If that was not so, then the Bill being introduced by Mark Latham would not be necessary

Here I have raised	just two issues	as I lack the	time to b	oring to yo	ur attention	others.

Regards:		
Peter Cunningham.		