

**INQUIRY INTO ANTI-DISCRIMINATION AMENDMENT  
(COMPLAINT HANDLING) BILL 2020**

**Name:** Name suppressed

**Date Received:** 24 April 2020

---

Partially  
Confidential

I object to the principles applied to Bernard Gaynor in his case of repeatedly having to defend himself against complaints brought by Burns. Burns continually loses his case but regroups and makes another. Surely such behaviour of Burns versus Gaynor is every bit as vexatious as Burns' against Folau. As Burns was declared a vexatious complainant against Folau. Therefore, Burns' complaints against Gaynor should also be dismissed as vexatious. From my reading of the experience of Gaynor, Burns has set out to ruin Gaynor by repeatedly causing him to spend money to have legal representation in the Courtroom just to defend himself. Folau is a more high profile case, fairness demands that Gaynor be given the same consideration.