

**Submission
No 72**

**INQUIRY INTO PROVISIONS OF THE RIGHT TO FARM
BILL 2019**

Name: Mr Joel Carter
Date Received: 30 September 2019

The right to farm bill is an aggressive step towards enforcing a regime that has echoes of historical dictatorships that protect so-called leaders' financial investments without any evidence to support that they are protecting the interests of the public. The bill was put across with the reasoning that biosecurity and farmer safety was at risk by trespassers. One, the law does not directly address biosecurity risk, two, there had never been an attack or threat to a farmer in any invasion (as found by all Court proceedings) and, three, the NSW Police Force wanted stronger trespass laws to protect against illegal hunting, the majority of all trespassing on land. The politicians and other government officials defending this new bill have all come from the angle of 'we need to protect our farmers from vegan terrorists' (many leading politicians jumping on board with this biased, unfounded terminology, the most recent example being Anthony Albanese), which is in large contradiction to who is actually responsible for the majority of law breaking when it comes to trespassing. These defensive comments made by politicians, against what is actually the real threat as stated by the NSW Police Force, shows that there are personal agendas by politicians when it comes to The Right to Farm Bill and this bill is not being used for the interests of the Australian public, not even farmers themselves, but really a very small collection of leaders that have unstated agendas as to why they want this law to remain.