INQUIRY INTO IMPACT OF THE WESTCONNEX PROJECT

Name: Mr Arthur Perlidis

Date Received: 7 August 2018

I have in the last three years found that the WestConnex project are not bound by as similar developments and as such any existing authorities will not or are not willing to investigate anything to do with the New M5 Project in my area. The New M5 will advertise that they are very concern of any complaints and have 24 hour contact number but when needed to contact there office any time after normal business hours to complain that works out side are preventing you from getting any sleep the person on the phone can only log the complaint or you are advised to call back during office hours and or the log is lost and there is no follow up to which the disturbance goes on without any investigations. I have a huge amount of emails sent to all authorities that have advised that they are the people who can deal with my concerns but then after some delay advise me to go elsewhere passing it on to other departments till I end up back to where I started. (playing pass the parcel) months later. NSW Planning, EPA, SMC, RMS, NSW Planning ministers office, Roads ministers office, Minister for the New M5 Project office and the NSW Premiers office are quite willing to advise you to speak/ bounce you around to all but themselves that when you advise you have done so they are adamant that you should go back and insist that department is the authority to deal with your New M5 complaint. In the end my health has deteriorated my property has devalued but the main thing is as long the New M5 Project has no one to answer to.

The E.I.S Report from AECOM dated 2015 recognised our property for type two noise mitigation because of 20% increase in traffic travelling west and 10% east with an increase of 4.2 decibels once the New M5 Project was completed in my area.

Further 10 months later 2016 I was informed by the New M5 Project team that we now did not qualify for noise mitigation because of a new report, with me in constant contact with NSW Planning Department, CPB Contractors, various Ministers, Local Member's office and the EPA I had requested a copy of that report to see what has change to not warrant any noise mitigation for example NSW Planning had said that they would send a copy to me once they have received a copy but after 10 months with constant follow ups NSW Planning attitude had changed to we never promised you a copy and you would not get a copy and up to just recently after many delays by given the run around in different government departments a copy was supplied, only after I made a GIPPA request it then became available to the public.

This report was made with the request by CPB Contractors who are the company that have the contract for the New M5 Project, in it it has a reduction in traffic both directions and half the amount in increased decibels thus not warranting any noise mitigation.

I cannot believe after redirecting traffic onto Gardeners Road from the New M5 project and in the near future with the increase population that there would be any reduction in traffic numbers also because where we are located on Gardeners Road there is no reason that would encourage traffic to reduce in numbers only to greatly increase.

I have no regard towards the New M5 Project what I have learnt in the past three years is that with all the facts known with health impacts associated with such projects and the departments that would manage project in regards to road development the New M5

Project has the authority to avoid normal protocols especially once the project has been sold off and the state government is no longer responsible.

Also should there be a review made into the two E.I.S reports and should a party without any interests with the New M5 Project be engaged to draft a E.I.S Report?

Your faithfully

Arthur Perlidis.