

INQUIRY INTO SYDNEY STADIUMS STRATEGY

Name: Name suppressed

Date Received: 25 May 2018

Partially
Confidential

INQUIRY INTO SYDNEY STADIUMS STRATEGY

Name: Name suppressed

Date received: 25 May 2018

Partially
Confidential

The passive open spaces in inner Sydney are so precious and the areas are so congested and population densities in this area of the city have hugely increased with inadequate plans to alleviate traffic and green space congestion.

it makes so much more sense to rebuild the SFS in a Western Sydney where a location with better transport can be provided and the venue will be closer to the greater Sydney population.

The community consultation process has been wholly inadequate (poorly advertised and community drop in sessions at the Stadium didn't provide sufficient information). There are indications from the SGC that there will be spill over into Moore Park. The process seems to be being fast-tracked to get it done before the next state election.

The cost benefit analysis, which indicates a ratio less than 1:1 should have seen the project rejected and the detailed business case should have been released.

The loss of more trees including some significant trees on the site as a result of the change to the footprint should not occur. The redevelopment is likely to see more trees in Moore Park lost in order to cater for spill-over or other facilities.

Road upgrades to accommodate traffic from Westconnex to Moore Park will only generate more traffic causing further traffic chaos. The upgrades will also see more significant trees lost.

The research shows that the new light rail won't have sufficient capacity to absorb the plans for a mega entertainment precinct.

The environmental impact of a 'knockdown' option vs the stadium's refurbishment seems vastly out of kilter with what we need to do to make our city beautiful for local residents and tourists.

The green spaces that give our city room to breathe are too important to jeopardise. These spaces are irrecoverable from a practical and political standpoint (even if they are technically legally recoverable at some distant future point). They are so valuable in their own right and need protection.