

**Supplementary
Submission
No 24b**

**INQUIRY INTO WINDSOR BRIDGE REPLACEMENT
PROJECT**

Name: Mr John Jose

Date received: 19 December 2017

Dear Director,

The options presented to the community for a replacement bridge did not fully explore the option of a bypass bridge.

Options 4 and 5 were so ludicrous as to be laughable. These options were for a bridge at the river end of Baker Street and Kable Street.

These streets are both narrow and restricted by buildings and therefore do not present as viable approaches for a river crossing expecting to carry large volumes of traffic.

Option 7 required a left turn into Court St. past our historic Court House and a precinct of early colonial cottages. Bringing large volumes of traffic through this heritage area would be detrimental to its character.

Only Option 6 could be considered to be a viable possible bypass, although this seemed to be very close to Tebbuts Observatory.

When these options were presented to the Hawkesbury public only just over a hundred votes were cast. Surely with this low voter turn out the RMS should realise that they had not presented the issue adequately.

I think that the RMS did not present true worthwhile bridge options. They did not explore fully a genuine bypass option.

It seemed their charter was just "Replacement Bridge" regardless of damage to heritage issues or solving traffic flow problems.

Regards John Jose