

**Submission  
No 283**

## **INQUIRY INTO WINDSOR BRIDGE REPLACEMENT PROJECT**

**Name:** Ms Samantha Lampson

**Date received:** 26 January 2018

---

I consider the Option 1 project should not go ahead as it does not meet the project objectives set out way back in July 2009:

1. It fails to deliver the required objectives regarding traffic and transport efficiency,
2. It fails to provide for a 1 in 5 year flood event,
3. It does not meet community needs for the long term,
4. It does not minimise impacts on heritage and the character of the local area,
5. It has not properly addressed the costs and benefits of each option,
6. It is opposed by the community due to its detrimental effects, and
7. Better alternatives have been identified and not properly or fully investigated.

I believe Windsor deserves to have its heritage assets protected and that a third crossing, a bypass, is the most appropriate course of action for the long-term future traffic and flooding needs of the region. The current traffic through Windsor has far too many trucks and other heavy vehicles which should not be forced to go through such a historic town. The best location for the new crossing should be determined in conjunction with government plans for the Outer Sydney Orbital and the Bells Line corridor to ensure the most effective transport solution is provided. The current bridge proposal is not the answer, never has been, and will do nothing to improve the current traffic.