

## FIRST REVIEW OF THE WORKERS COMPENSATION SCHEME

**Name:** Mr Greg Green  
**Date received:** 25 September 2016

---

Partially  
Confidential

# Parliamentary Inquiry into Workers Compensation

Submission by Greg Green

Dear members of the Law and Justice committee

It is with great pleasure (note sarcasm in the font) that I forward to you this covering letter and an outline of my experience with the Workers Compensation as it now operates in NSW.

FINALLY, I get my opportunity to have someone hear how the “system” is being rorted by all parties who blatantly ignore the rules of the “game”... maybe someone may even do something to stop people’s lives being ruined by these “Guns for Hire” and their reports and the Insurance Agents who do what they want and get away with it ...

I have been subjected to the special skills of \_\_\_\_\_ and his interpretation of what constitutes an “independent medical examination and report”.

It came back in his report that I do “scuba diving, swim in the ocean and ride my Yamaha motorbike 60 mins each weekend”. I do none of those things.

The rest of his report is just as factual. \_\_\_\_\_’s report is right up there with Lance Armstrong’s autobiography as a brilliant work of fiction and not much else.

Gallagher Bassett did nothing to correct the errors.

Gallagher Bassett did nothing to ensure the report met the standards to be accepted as evidence in a Commission hearing (as is required under Workers Compensation Commission Practice Direction 3).

's report fails to meet various professional guidelines and codes of conduct including the "Workcover Guidelines on Independent Medical Examinations and Reports".

states on the front page of his report that he has read and agrees to abide by the Expert Witness Code of Conduct. failed to list any of the documentation I took to the appointment with and is therefore immediately in breach of that code . He couldn't even be truthful for one sentence!

He didn't list ANY documentation and this is contrary to many guidelines applicable to and his reports.

Far from meeting the requirements of an Expert Report, 's report actually meets all the requirements of a False Document under the Crimes Act.

But NO ONE within the NSW Workers Compensation system has been prepared to do anything to stop these guys...

The arbitrator, who did what ever arbitrators do, told me at the hearing (in the hallway outside to gents bogs to add to the atmosphere) that I wouldn't be surprised to hear that the arbitrators hear that often about and his reports .

I asked him why then doesn't he do something about it.

As an example, I will give you a quote from my (useless) solicitor from the ILars list ... he said to me that these reports are not done with the intention of the author ever being expected to get up and defend what they have put in their report ...

A couple of months ago Johnny Depp's punching bag, the lovely Amber Heard, got brought back to Australia because she made a false document and used it to protect Pistol and Boo (or whatever) ... If I, as an injured worker, change a medical certificate then the Fraud section of Workcover will investigate and probably charge me with making a False document and other associated fraud charges ...

How is it that IME reports seem to be exempt from the Crimes Act in NSW?

When I spoke with the Fraud section I was told that they couldn't investigate an IME ...

When I spoke with WIRO about Gallagher Bassett falsifying a medical certificate I was told that they couldn't go to the insurers claiming fraud ... I have a certified copy of the certificate from my doctor and it is different to Gallagher Bassett's version ...

How is it that the Insurance Agents can acknowledge to me that Watson's report includes factually incorrect details, but they don't matter because they aren't big mistakes?

How is it that the insurers can acknowledge a potential conflict of interest but then dismiss it by saying that it wasn't a "real" conflict of interest?

How is it that the Agents, after acknowledging that the reports contain errors, continue to use the False Document and GET AWAY WITH IT ?

What you will read in my submission which follows are details of what can only be described as organised crime ... by any definition, blatantly ignoring the Crimes Act and making money along the way has to be Organised Crime ... very organised I would add.

There really doesn't have to be massive changes to make the system fairer to workers ... all you have to do is make the Insurance Agents and IMEs read and follow the RULES of the game ...

That should also be applicable to anyone on the llars of solicitors ... even MY solicitor said                didn't have to list documents I presented to Watson and I am guessing that he didn't know about the Workcover Guidelines on IMEs and their reports.

Thanking you

Greg Green

25 th Sept 2016