

**INQUIRY INTO ENROLMENT CAPACITY IN INNER CITY
PUBLIC PRIMARY SCHOOLS**

Name: Name suppressed

Date received: 5 September 2016

As a parent of 2, +20-year local resident, owner, city worker and voter I am thoroughly disappointed in the government's disingenuous attempt at solving our local school population issues. This matter has carried on for years and cost countless-thousands of dollars with only an inferior solution in sight.

I want answers to:

- How/why did the government negotiate and agree to purchase the land that it actually had no intention to complete? The proposed sale did not even get to the point where contracts were exchanged. This is thoroughly unprofessional and incompetent.

- What is the true net cost of de-contaminating the land for Fig/Wattle vs the cost of building the pop-up school. Include the social impact of these endeavours. Please also include the REAL sale price that would have been achieved through the the sale of the existing site, after the move was complete. Given all of the 'sunk costs' absorbed so far on all discussions, surely a major portion of the decontamination cost will have been covered by wasteful activities.

- How does the government foresee dealing with the ongoing capacity issues that will exist, even once the existing site re-build is complete? What is your answer??? Both the NSW Government and Sydney City Council will receive *new* stamp duty and rates revenue from local developments. Surely BOTH of you should play a part in dealing with this problem.

Thank you