

**Submission
No 92**

INQUIRY INTO RURAL WIND FARMS

Name: Mr Paul Scherek

Date received: 21/08/2009

Dear Sirs,

If wind farms are essential for the world to survive, then clearly we must have them. However, if we must have them they should be placed where they do minimum damage, that is to say, away from places of scenic beauty and away from residences.

If wind farms are not essential for the world to survive, then all development should be ceased immediately.

I am about to present an absolutely overwhelming argument that the global warming industry is based on a false premise, and therefore that wind farms are not needed for world survival. This is not a crackpot argument, nor is it buried in science - please keep reading and take seriously what I write.

Please consider the following points.

- * We are told that the best scientific brains in the world are terribly worried that human activities are causing a change in climate that is so severe as to be catastrophic.
- * At the current rate of births, the world population is doubling approximately every thirty years.
- * In 120 years there will be 16 times the current population.
- * To keep carbon outputs even at the current (apparently excessive) level, we would need to produce less than 6% of our present carbon output per head. That is all outputs - transport, industrial, agricultural and domestic.
- * This is clearly not going to happen.
- * Therefore wind farms, emissions trading schemes et al can never, ever stop the problem, only possibly very marginally defer the problem.
- * If the best brains in the world (and there are lots of these overnight experts in climate) are genuine in their concerns, why has not a SINGLE ONE of them mentioned the need for population control?

How can these scientists demonstrate credibility when they overlook something so glaringly obvious? If they allow popularism and political correctness to colour their utterances, they are clearly very poor scientists.

No one could sensibly argue that it is not a good idea to reduce pollution, and possibly these great scientists are simply using the fear of climate change as a weapon to cause people to reduce their pollution. That is fine, but if the problem is not so urgent we should have time to consider the best way of reducing the problem rather than running around like headless chickens as we are at present.

Wind farms will NEVER be the best way of reducing pollution. No one denies that they can never replace base load power. We will always need coal fired power if wind farms are the clean energy of choice.

Wind farms have such a hugely negative impact on so much of our lives that they should be installed as a last resort only - and then, still, only in an environment that we can afford to lose.