## INQUIRY INTO SAME SEX MARRIAGE LAW IN NSW

Name: Name suppressed

**Date received**: 7/02/2013



The Social Issues Committee of the NSW Parliament,

To whom it may concern,

I wish to raise some points regarding my strong objection to the NSW Government's consideration of legalising same sex "marriage".

- It needs to be made clear to the people of Australia exactly what will / must change if same sex "marriage" is legalised. For instance, it follows that homosexual sex must be taught in schools as part of the curriculum put this issue to the public and see if there is support for same sex "marriage". Parents will be outraged, but this fact and others never make it into the media reports who deceptively say that the same sex marriage issue is a "discrimination" issue. What a load of nonsense from the highly organised, highly influential and highly deceptive homosexual lobby groups who are only interested in pushing their agenda.
- If the NSW Government is serious on this issue, then have the NSW Attorney Generals department publish laws that will inherently change should same sex marriage be legalised. How can the public be expected to make an informed decision when they are never told of the implications of that decision?
- Marriage is NOT a state issue, it is a FEDERAL issue. Why is the NSW Government wasting time and money on this exercise?
- If Governments roll over to the homosexual lobby groups and legalise same sex
  marriage, why should they not roll over to any other group who wish to have the
  definition of marriage changed to suit themselves. Any alteration to traditional and
  natural restrictions on marriage will lead to the deterioration of all other marital
  restrictions.- polygamous or incestuous marriages, bestiality, marrying inanimate
  objects once you open the door to "not discriminating" against anyone, society
  must live with the outworkings of such a decision.
- Kids? Is anyone thinking about the children in this debate? How can we legalise that a child can be raised by two dads or two mums and not have the best possible start to life? I guess in a society that values a woman's "rights" over those of her unborn child, why should we care if children are raised with the obvious disadvantage of not having a mother and a father? All selfishness in the extreme.

Thank you for taking the time to read this. I trust you will be able to look past the hysteria

and false claims of "discrimination" and "equality" and see the real issues in this debate, and how it will change our society for the worse and forever.

Regards,