INQUIRY INTO PERSONAL INJURY COMPENSATION LEGISLATION

Organisation:	
Name:	Ms Rhona Macleod
Telephone:	
Date Received:	10/03/2005
Subject:	
Summary	

9 March 2005

The Director
General Purpose Standing Committee No1
Legislative Council
Parliament House
Macquarie Street
Sydney
NSW 2000

Dear Sir /Madam

Inquiry into personal Injury Compensation Legislation

I returned to NSW last September, and have worked only *very* briefly in the Injury Management and Rehabilitation field. Consequently, I am not yet completely *au fait* with all aspects of the system or legislation here. However, as a qualified and very experienced Occupational Health Nurse, I believe that I have some comments that may be of interest to the committee.

It seems to me that:

- There is a huge amount of unnecessary bureaucracy associated with the whole IM and Rehabilitation industry.
- If there was as much effort and money pumped into *preventative* strategies, and *assistance given* to employers, particularly the SME sector, with their practical application, there would be a huge saving to the public purse and employers.
- A high turnover of staff in both the Insurance and the IM and Rehab industry results in a loss of continuity and consistency for the injured worker, often culminating in unnecessary delays in processing claims, or arranging workplace assessments, specialist assistance such as Physiotherapy etc.
- There appears to be a lack of creative thinking in terms of resolving the problems of the long-term unemployed. One example I came across was a lady who used to work as a chef and catering manager, and who sustained a back injury. She was still unemployed many months after being refused funding from the insurance company to undertake a fast track teacher training course to allow her to teach her 'craft' at TAFE. She would have been almost through the course by now, and looking forward to being a useful, valued member of the workforce once more. To me, this seems such a waste of her skills and experience.
- Workplace assessments carried out by IM & Rehab Providers appear to be too narrowly focused the Case Managers/OTs do not seem to be looking at the *whole picture* (or if they do, they ignore most of it!) For example, I attended, as an observer, one of these during my short spell in the industry, and was appalled that we were not supposed to comment on any other aspects of health & safety that should be addressed. (The place in question was a Nursing Home, where there were *many tripping hazards for the patients*, far less the staff member who was being interviewed regarding a potential return to work after an injury!)

- In another example, from my reading of a report carried out by an Occupational Therapist (only qualified 18 months) that he was recommending an injured employee go back into work in an area where I could plainly see was very likely to result in an exacerbation of the original injury, and/or cause a similar one to a colleague.
- Consideration should be given to developing a state-wide or national Occupational Health system with properly qualified OH Nurses and Doctors. They are trained to treat clients and situations holistically and professionally, act impartially and are bound by the ethics of confidentiality. Finally, and importantly, they are concerned in the first instance with working to prevent ill health and injury in the workplace.
- There should be some control over who can call themselves an 'Occupational Health Consultant'. I am quite disturbed that someone with little or no industrial experience and minus the requisite OH&S educational background can do so.

I trust that the foregoing will be of interest to the committee. I wish you well in your deliberations. Please note that I would prefer that my name not be attributed to these particular comments.

Yours faithfully

MS RHONA MACLEOD RN OHNC MIOSH MACOHN