INQUIRY INTO PLANNING PROCESS IN NEWCASTLE AND THE BROADER HUNTER REGION

Name: Name suppressed

Date received: 24/10/2014



Planning process in Newcastle and the broader Hunter region (Inquiry) http://www.parliament.nsw.gov.gu/newcastleplanning

Reference: Development Application 2014/300, Newcastle City Council

Property: LOT: SEC J DP: 978703;

62 Dawson Street, COOKS HILL, NSW, 2300

Members of the Newcastle Planning Committee,

I wish to make a submission to the Inquiry regarding an anomaly in process related a development application consent, 3rd July 2014.

This submission makes reference to a suburban lot located in the inner-city of Newcastle. Cooks Hill is a quaint and highly valued suburb of historical significance. The residents of Cooks Hill show significant support for the Heritage value of the area.

In a recent community survey of residents conducted by Newcastle City Council (March-April 2014) it was identified that the elements residents most valued about Cooks Hill were the streetscape and character (86%), the proximity to facilities and services (84%), and the heritage houses and building (82%).

"Please don't let the developers in to knock down the old and replace with Mac mansions."

"The area should be maintained with all the character of a heritage area so that high rise developments should be excluded."

Heritage Conservation Area- Cooks Hill Survey Results 2014: Page 17 (www.newcastle.nsw.gov.au/council/community_engagement/completed_consultations/heritage_conservation_surveys) accessed 21 Oct, 2014.

Cooks Hill is the subject of Heritage restrictions to development and thus, development applications require close scrutiny and consistency.

I refer to the Inquiry an anomaly leading to the consent of DA: 2014/300; demolition of existing dwelling and development of LOT: SEC J DP: 978703, 62 Dawson Street, Cooks Hill.

An earlier development application (DA 2013/151) to demolish the existing weatherboard cottage (circa 1920) and erect a two-story dwelling was rejected by Council on 3rd September 2013. The application attracted 14 submissions in objection. The grounds for refusal were many and are

outlined in attachment A (Development Application Assessment 2013/151, 79C 2013.0151 _ND+D, Cooks Hill, Refusal_.pdf).

A subsequent development application (DA 2014/300) to again demolish the existing weatherboard cottage and erect a two-story dwelling of same design and scale was given consent by Council on 3rd July, 2014. The application attracted 11 submissions in objection. Inspection of architectural plans indicates little discernable design changes between Issue A and Issue F of plans. It is argued that final plans submitted to Council should not have warranted consent for DA 2014/300.

What is of concern is what may have occurred to facilitate an approval of the second development application (2014/300) when the previous and similar application was rejected.

It is argued that the justification for the refusal of development application 2013/151 continued to be of relevance to the second development application 2014/300. A number of departures from DCP 2012 (NDCP2012) remain evident in the development application 2014/300 which were the basis of rejection in development application 2013/151; Attachment B Development Assessment Panel Report, DAP Report. DA 2014.0300 - 62 Dawson Street Cooks Hill.pdf.

The Statement of Environmental Effects (KDC, March 2014) accompanying the second development application (DA 2014/300) indicates that the applicant met personally with former Lord Mayor Jeff McCloy on two occasions regarding the development application process.

22nd April 2013

It was resolved that Council would accept a revised dwelling design on the site which would achieve specific requirements specified by Council and their Heritage Consultant.

10th December, 2013 - The proponent attended a meeting with Lord Mayor Jeff McCloy to discuss the sequence of events to date, the changes we have made to the design along the way, and a way forward.

Statement of Environmental Effects, KDC, March 2014; page 2.

It would appear highly irregular and a procedural departure for the Lord Mayor to become personally involved in domestic development application matters.

It is of concern that the demolition of a dwelling that contributes to the historical character of the area and the site's subsequent development, at such scale, has been approved in a Heritage sensitive area when previously rejected.

This consent represents a precedent for further development in the Cooks Hill Heritage area that is of a gross scale and street frontage that is not in keeping with the heritage-scape. In addition, the incremental loss of character housing as evidenced at 62 Dawson Street, Cooks Hill, will over time, compromise the conservation area until it is no longer worthy of heritage listing.

I am concerned that the Lord Mayor has become personally involved in domestic development application matters and that this represents an anomaly to Council process.

It is unclear what has caused a fundamental shift in Council's thinking with regard to the consent for development (2014/300).

I request that the Members of the Newcastle Planning Committee give this matter their consideration.

Yours sincerely