

INQUIRY INTO SAME SEX MARRIAGE LAW IN NSW

Name: Mrs Tanya Trebilcock

Date received: 11/02/2013

A submission regarding the NSW Government debate about same sex marriage.

The relationship between males and females which leads into marriage is quite different to same sex relationships. I oppose the redefinition by law as it applies to the word "Marriage".

To claim that a mutual union between two males, or two females is equal to the union between male and female, and calling both relationships as "marriage" is wrong.

A romantic relationship between a male and a female leading to marriage and a loving sexual relationship, resulting in children and a homogenous family circle is unique. Under these conditions the word Marriage is appropriate. It is a clear definition of that relationship. Biblical and Historical definitions support this statement.

Same sex unions have a relationship of their own. Being of the same sex makes it quite different from the term, Marriage.

Procreation by same sex partners requires sperm or ova from a third party and is a distinct variation from the Male/female/family definition of marriage.

Laws can provide legal means of definition and 'same sex unions' should not be called Marriage

Please support my view when the matter of same sex marriage is debated in Parliament. Same sex marriage should not become legal.

Tanya Trebilcock