

Submission  
No 201

## INQUIRY INTO SOCIAL, PUBLIC AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING

**Name:** Name suppressed

**Date received:** 28/02/2014

---

Partially Confidential

I do feel that, the government should maintain owning and operating public housing because if they didn't tenants would be exploited/end up homeless. Individuals are entitled to secure shelter.

Has anyone taken into consideration that, when relocating tenants to a different area for development purposes: some individuals cannot handle a change in their environment? They may lose the friends and social networks they have made? What guarantee is there for tenants to return to the area they previously resided in?

The indecisiveness of what is to come has many people on edge. The UAP has NOT gone out of their way to discuss plans with tenants or private owners. The few meetings they have called for those able-bodied to attend (and those of us who are active community members who pushed to attend), had quite a lot of IFS in content. Leaving some individuals more confused than before they attended the meeting/s.

The meetings claimed to have been “surveys” mostly included “select groups” undoubtedly consisting of those too afraid to voice to the contrary! Controlled surveys!

What zoning restrictions will be altered to allow for the antiquity and aesthetics of the proposed area to be demolished?