

**Submission
No 22**

INQUIRY INTO LEASING OF ELECTRICITY INFRASTRUCTURE

Organisation: Taurus Funds Management Pty Ltd

Date received: 14/05/2015



Taurus Funds Management Pty Limited
Suite 2, Level 40
88 Phillip Street
Sydney, NSW 2000 Australia

Phone: 612 8314 5500

Fax: 612 8314 5555

AFSL: 307723

ACN: 121 452 560

ABN: 52 121 452 560

14th May 2015

The Director
Select Committee on the Leasing of Electricity Infrastructure
Parliament House
Macquarie Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Submission to the Select Committee on the Leasing of Electricity Infrastructure

I am writing to you on behalf of Taurus Funds Management Pty Limited ("Taurus"), an Australian based investment manager currently managing institutional capital of AUD1.25bln. Taurus provides equity and debt capital to mining companies worldwide, and has made substantial investments in Australia and NSW specifically. Taurus is the largest investor in NuCoal Resources Limited (~20% shareholding).

Key issues

Australia is considered by foreign investors to be a safe haven for foreign investment. It has not suffered civil unrest, crippling inflation or despotic rule (and the usual attendant instability in central banks' decision-making); all circumstances that frequently give rise to sovereign risk concerns in other countries.

What foreign investors don't know – but should – is that a long-standing practice and assumption of constitutional law in this country gives rise to an equivalently dire risk to their monies as Taurus and its international investors have experienced first hand. Under the Commonwealth Constitution, the Australian Government may only expropriate property on "just terms" (s 51(xxxi)). For the Australian States, however, there are no constitutional prohibitions or limitations on expropriation at all. It is predominantly the purview of the States to own land, grant leases, administer mining rights and commission infrastructure projects. As such, foreign investment is subject to the whims of the States and, in particular, changes of government. Investors in any project that is subject to state government approval, administration or legislation could find their assets impaired or wholly expropriated (with no compensation).

Evidence / Case Studies-

By way of background, NuCoal's 100% owned subsidiary Doyles Creek Mining Pty Ltd (DCM) had legal tenure over EL 7270, which was one of a number of exploration licences cancelled in early 2014 pursuant to the Mining Amendment (ICAC Operations Jasper and Acacia) Act 2014 (the Act). The Act was passed by the NSW Parliament following a hearing by the NSW Independent Commission Against Corruption (ICAC) into the circumstances of the awarding of certain licences. In essence, ICAC found that the process leading up to and including the giving of consent for the application for, and grant of, the Licence was tainted with corruption.



The corruption findings related to a period between 2007-2008, which was long before NuCoal purchased DCM in 2010 or when Taurus became an investor. Neither NuCoal, any of its Directors, or Taurus or any of the other NuCoal shareholders were found to be guilty of anything by ICAC – in fact it has been widely acknowledged publicly that NuCoal is an innocent party. ICAC has also admitted in the Supreme Court of NSW that NuCoal was an innocent party (during NuCoal's Judicial Review proceedings).

The specific law cancelled the licence, denied compensation, indemnified the state of NSW against any attempt to sue it and, indemnified the state of any responsibility for the alleged corrupt actions of the Minister. In addition, the law allowed the NSW Government to take, with no compensation, all of NuCoal's exploration data generated during the 4 years of exploration which cost NuCoal in excess of \$25m. In drafting the law, the NSW Government ignored one of the recommendations of the ICAC, that it should consider compensation to innocent parties.

The licence was cancelled despite no accusations of wrong doing being made against the licence holder or NuCoal nor any Court of Law making any corruption findings against anybody. In fact when the so-called evidence of corruption produced by ICAC has been tested in the Supreme Court of NSW in Court Proceedings of Poole v Chubb, in which insurance coverage was considered, Justice Stephenson found:

In respect to the relationship between Mr Macdonald and Mr Maitland: [para 121]... "there is no evidence before me that the Minister did behave inappropriately, let alone corruptly, in exercising his discretion to grant the Exploration Licence to DCM. There is no evidence, or suggestion, that the Minister did do Mr Maitland a "favour"..."

Furthermore in relation to the so-called corrupt conduct of the Doyles Creek Director Andrew Poole the Supreme Court of NSW found that: *"Mr Poole had not engaged in fraudulent non-disclosure or fraudulent misrepresentation."*

So in summary the entire basis upon which ICAC claimed that there had been corruption has been found to be a nonsense when argued in the Supreme Court of New South Wales. Taurus is in the process of completing a formal complaint to the ICAC Inspector David Levine. It is a matter of public record that the ICAC Inspector has been highly critical of the conduct of the ICAC post the High Court's decision in Cuneen, where the High Court found that ICAC had acted illegally.

Since the law was passed, NuCoal and Taurus have been pursuing legal options to seek justice for its shareholders and investors, and one of these is via the Free Trade Agreement (FTA) between Australia and the USA. Approximately 30% of NuCoal's shareholders are US registered shareholders and a successful claim would result in well over \$100 million plus interest and penalties being paid by the Commonwealth to NuCoal's US shareholders.

NuCoal also recently took the NSW Government to the High Court of Australia to have the specific law deemed invalid. In submissions, the Federal Government and all states except Tasmania, argued strongly that the status quo regarding the ability of the states to basically do what they want in terms of expropriation should remain as it is now. **In other words none of them wanted any strings put on their unfettered ability to expropriate without compensation.** The case was awarded in favour of the States, which highlights the significant sovereign risk issues outlined in this submission.

As a result of our representations to the US Government, in pursuit of a right to take the Australian Government to the International Court of Arbitration, some International Investors now know that expropriation without compensation can happen in Australia – and it already has.

As further evidence, the Victorian Government recently announced plans to cancel contracts for the construction of the multi-billion dollar East West link infrastructure project.



Finally, prior to the election, The NSW Opposition Labor Party threatened to cancel the \$800 million coal mine project at Wyong NSW in which investors – including the South Korean company Kores – have already spent \$110 million.

Legal action by NuCoal will continue into the foreseeable future and reporting of the matters will carry on within National and International media outlets, making this issue material during any planned sale of the Electricity Assets.

Summary / Recommendation-

If the NSW Government wishes to sell off a major infrastructure project to foreign investors they must disclose to all potential Investors that they could lose their investment, or be unfairly treated (particularly upon an election being called). This may happen in the event that the Government changes power or the Government of the day finds it politically expedient to implement law for whatever reason it determines, as recent examples have clearly demonstrated.

We would be happy to provide any further information or documentation on this significant issue.

Best regards
Taurus Funds Management Pty Limited

Best regards
Taurus Funds Management Pty Limited

Mike Davies
Director

Gordon Galt
Director