

## INQUIRY INTO SAME SEX MARRIAGE LAW IN NSW

**Name:** Name suppressed

**Date received:** 1/03/2013

---

Partially Confidential

To

Members of the Social Issues committee,

As You Know The NSW Parliament is conducting **an inquiry into same-sex marriage**. And has called for submissions on that subject. There is a major problem involved in the current discussion. It has either deliberately or unintentionally missed the point of the main issues at stake.

If this is deliberate it links to a process that is aimed at destroying the moral foundation of the state in the wider process of destroying the moral foundations of the nation. You wonder how to control the lawlessness that is flourishing in this country. You have over generations of government continued to solve this problem first by taking from the state's educational systems all teaching of anything to do with morality.

When I was a child at school, every curriculum had included instructions on moral judgements and behavior that were based on the patterns set by examples of courage and decency founded on the Christian principles laid down in our earlier constitutions and on which our laws were once founded. By the time I was beginning teaching in public schools in NSW all such moral education was being removed from the curriculum and all such textbooks were being removed from the government's schools.

Immoral people have always worked to avoid condemnation of their actions and there was a time when governments had the practical sense to see that morality encouraged fosters a nation that is easier to govern. We now have immoral choices being made throughout the nation from people elected to leadership in the parliaments of the country to the poorest of the poor. The lower the moral standards the more crime increases.

The reason that crime is increasing is that the moral fibre of the nation has been being deliberately whittled away until there is almost no wood left to carve.

Generations of individuals have grown up with the understanding that "everything is about me". That incorporates an ever increasing focus on pleasure as a right instead of a privilege and that is a complete inversion of the nature of national development for which governments are elected.

The downslide I have seen during my own lifetime (as a fifth generation Australian and perhaps a lot more generations) is continuous because now our parliamentarians are more concerned with their own needs than the real foundations of nationhood.

Let's see. The nation was founded as a penal colony to get the rid of the complaining poor and those who had taken to crime to make ends meet. With them were some hardened to a life of crime. As far as possible the problem of their existence was simply overcome by moving it to another place because the English government was too incapable to provide good moral government and provide for the needs of its people. This starts to sound familiar. It lays the basis for where we are now at. We as Australians who are non-Indigenous came into this country and those with enough assets of one kind or another took

everything from the people who lived here and call it our Nation of Thieves called Australia. Destroying a treasure we were too ignorant to appreciate After the first and second world wars Australians rebelled against sacrifice and began to build for their own future at all levels, from labourers to business executives.

It was and still is “all about me”. It does sound fun put that way but it has been the uncompromising selfishness of those in government that lies behind.

Take out teaching about morals and then “I” am the focus of my world. Now that I accept the selfishness of that as a normal human right, and focus on my rights, My rights can be stretched to mean that anyone with enough leverage can get what they want. It means it is my right to freedom from responsibility has caused abortion to be legislated to be accepted and legalized. Abortion from the time of a child’s first conception is now accepted to the extent no-one considers it immoral or to be murder. So what was killing a baby is no longer killing a baby **because the law was changed** not because the deed has changed.

Now we come to the present issue which is also “about me”. “Give me what I want”. Gay relationships have a high frequency of turnover and are not for the procreation of and development and nurture of children in an ongoing healthy environment with two parents. They are not about marriage so they want to change the law to twist the definition away from “What doesn’t please of indulge me in my “all about me” lifestyle

Nations do not grow strong under governments that are “all about me”.

The issue for this government is whether they will choose to follow the gay lobby and change the moral basis of marriage as a man and wife committed for life and for the safe procreation and raising of children. The large numbers of sexually abused children in this country are already a strong indication of the tragedies in line for Australia if governments weaken on the protection of children and the definition of marriage.

You must choose what is right against what the immoral minority claiming to be a majority and claiming immorality as their rights. Now they are claiming the right to change the definition of words in the language on the basis that they are not comfortable with their immorality being labelled in the way it is and twisted so that their way of living appears to be moral and just.

The question is does this parliament have the courage to stand against immorality or have the immoral minority been able to control enough of the media to control the political choices of the people's representatives? Is the government of this country so fond of listening to pressure groups and trying to maintain their approval that you the government, can no longer look at the issues hidden in their claims?