

Supplementary  
Submission  
No 96a

## INQUIRY INTO THE CONTINUED PUBLIC OWNERSHIP OF SNOWY HYDRO LIMITED

**Organisation:** Constitutional Property Rights Association  
**Name:** Ms June Weston  
**Telephone:**  
**Date Received:**

---

**Theme:**

**Summary**

**SnowyHydro SnowyHydro - snowy hdro inquiry att: Hon Gordon Moyes**

**From:** "June Weston"  
**To:** <snowyhydro@parliament.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 30/06/2006 4:20 PM  
**Subject:** snowy hdro inquiry att: Hon Gordon Moyes

Hi Stephen: further to my main submission written in haste, and which I thought I had corrected --- but I think the gist is still understandable.

To support the **contention that "backing down" was much more** about the governments realising that **FREEHOLD LAND cannot be unconstitutionally severed** [or more legally I believe ..... 'derogated'] I just want to make the comment that we have been pushing this argument since 2002.

People around the Nation and in NSW are facing **"criminal" charges** for **"clearing"** their own **freehold LAND** when the **Natural Resource element is CROWN registered to them and CROWN sealed in this binding commercial contract of sale between the Crown and the Grantee ---- by the Grace of God.**

**The "principles" of fee simple are as old as the Magna Carta** ....and Attorney Generals [in writing] inform us that the MC and the Bill of Rights underpin our Christian Constitutional Monarchy. The republican Movement may not agree; or even approve ....but until there is a **REFERENDUM of the PEOPLE** whom several of the **High Court Judges** hold that the **PEOPLE are SOVEREIGN** and not the Parliament [as most of the elected member try to brain-wash us] .

Any person would instinctively know that....else **with the Parliament saying it can make whatever laws it likes** [as now] we'd have a dictatorship rather than democratic freedom....and YES we do have a dictatorship or else no elected public servant would have attempted to sell what belongs to the people ....either in the freehold private sense ...or publicly.

To take you back to 1995 and to put you in the picture: Kim Yeadon publicly admitted to a number of Monaro graziers on a bus tour having a look at the Monaro Grasslands just after SEPP 46. He said: ..... Yes we got it wrong: we went outside the law because we knew that if we told you first....you'd all go out and "clear vegetation" in order to beat the Legislation. But don't ever try to make me admit it anywhere else ... because I'll deny I ever said it.

We sent URGENT FAX-LETTERS [re the proposed sale] to prominent Ministers and told them they couldn't Constitutionally sell: At least three senior ministers and two members of parliament ..... both sides of politics and in Fed and State ..... have privately agreed [in conversation] that "separation of the Vegetation and Water from the LAND is nothing more than a "political" decision. Legally and Constitutionally it *can't* be done ...but they'll get away with until challenged in Court". Again as with Yeadon in 1995 ..... *don't quote me on this .... well deny we ever said it.... you'll just have to keep lobbying OR go to Court.*

But the quick "backdown" only confirms the perception..... elsewhere [at a rally] .... one of the senior Ministers [again *don't quote me*] ... said *that's the official and public version .... one day the truth will emerge but for now, that will have to suffice.*  
 June Weston