Submission No 672

# INQUIRY INTO COAL SEAM GAS

Name: Ms Marylou Potts

**Date received**: 6/10/2011



Submission to the NSW Legislative Council General Purpose Standing Committee No 5

## Inquiry concerning coal seam gas in NSW

"Along with air, water is one of the most fundamental requirements for the survival of living things. No other single substance has a greater impact on the environment and the uses to which it is put"

by Marylou Potts Pty Ltd an incorporated legal practice 6 October 2011

#### Disclaime

This submission has been prepared for the exclusive use of the NSW Legislative Council. Marylou Potts Pty Ltd accepts no liability whatsoever for it in respect of any use of or reliance upon this report by any person other than the NSW Legislative Council.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pigram JJ, 2006 *Australia's Water Resources* CSIRO Publishing p.1 2011 © Marylou Potts Pty Ltd ACN 074 696 263



## **Table of Contents**

Executive summary 1 Introduction

Table of suggested amendments to the Petroleum (Onshore) Act 1991 (POA)

References

## **Executive summary**

This submission reviews and suggests amendments to the Petroleum (Onshore) Act 1991 (NSW) (POA or Act) for the purpose of:

- (a) ensuring protection and conservation of water in petroleum exploration and production activities; and
- (b) establishing a better balance of rights and powers between the landholder and the miner in the Act.

The submission draws from recent investigations into:

- (c) a review of AGL Upstream Investments Pty Ltd's (**AGLUI**) Camden Gas Project (**CGP**) groundwater obligations under its Petroleum Exploration Licence 2 (PEL2) and Petroleum Production Leases (PPL's 1, 2 and 4), and its breach of those obligations<sup>2</sup> and the Government's apparent failure to require AGLUI to remedy those breaches<sup>3</sup>;
- (d) landholder rights to protect groundwater under the Act, related legislation and at common law. This demonstrates that without provisions in an access arrangement for the protection and conservation of water, a landholder has few other actions and difficulties in establishing those actions (if any), in relation to the protection of water;
- (e) a review of current drafts of access arrangements. This reveals that the miner has the dominant drafting hand, often writing off the landholder's legislative protections in the Act;
- (f) drafting access arrangements which include landholder protections; and
- (g) anecdotal evidence given by members of Government of the severe lack of resources within Government to police and enforce the provisions of the petroleum titles issued, leaving landholders, the environment and the water in a particularly vulnerable position.

<sup>2</sup> The breach being failure to monitor groundwater. This information was made known to the DPI in May 2011. To our knowledge AGLUI has not been directed to remedy these breaches in Stages 1 and 2 PPL's.

<sup>3</sup> Marylou Potts Pty Ltd 2011 Submission to the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure objecting to the AGLUI Northern Expansion of the CGP until it had fulfilled it's groundwater obligations for stages 1 and 2.

#### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Water

Australia is the world's driest continent, water is scarce and unreliable. Drought, coupled with fire, and flood are among the most recurrent natural hazards in Australia". Between 2000 and 2009 Australia experienced its worst ever drought conditions. In February 2007, towns in SE QLD were declared without water and Goulburn almost reached the same position. 96.3% of NSW was declared drought affected and another 2.7% declared marginal. All of the worst droughts in Australia are thought to be the result of intercontinental weather systems, and on the east coast of Australia, El Nino<sup>5</sup> with its nemesis, la Nina. Australia is now, in 2011, at the height of la Nina, with the possibility, that in less than 7 years, it will return to the height of el Nino drought conditions. Destruction of the integrity of groundwater systems, water wastage, pollution and or contamination now, will have serious, if not catastrophic, effects in el Nino conditions in 2016 and thereafter.

Clearly Government needs to make strategic decisions in relation to the prioritisation of its natural resources. The amendments sought to the *Petroleum* (*Onshore*) *Act 1991 (NSW*) in this submission are based upon building into the *Petroleum (Onshore) Act 1991 (NSW)* a regime for the protection and conservation of water. Potentially irreparably destroying groundwater aquifer integrity in the eastern states would appear to be absurd when there is sufficient gas off the Western Australian coast to supply demand in the east, and where fresh water is more valuable to the sustainability of the NSW economy than gas exploration and production could ever be. Any argument that CSG mining is required for the NSW economy loses force when one considers a cost benefit analysis which accounts for the loss of fresh water and groundwater systems.

It is widely known that groundwater, once polluted or contaminated, cannot easily be rehabilitated, and once lost, not quickly recharged. As most of Australia's fresh water is found in the ground and it is widely recognised that "groundwater is a more important resource over much of the arid interior than sparse and unreliable surface water"<sup>6</sup>, any activity that threatens the integrity of this resource must be seriously considered and regulated to ensure minimum loss or damage. As a public good, water needs to be protected by responsible governments in their allocation of resources to commercial entities, particularly miners,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Pigram JJ, 2006 *Australia's Water Resources* CSIRO Publishing p.28

<sup>5</sup> Pigram JJ, 2006 Australia's Water Resources CSIRO Publishing p.30

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Pigram JJ, 2006 *Australia's Water Resources* CSIRO Publishing p.24 2011 © Marylou Potts Pty Ltd ACN 074 696 263

whose interests are clearly in conflict. Protection of the integrity of aquifers should be a fundamental priority in any coal seam gas exploration and production activities and built into the *Petroleum (Onshore) Act 1992 (NSW)* regime.

This submission seeks to protect and conserve water by building protections into the Act such as:

- (a) including a definition of water which is consistent with the definition in the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997 (NSW) and the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 (NSW);
- (b) including water in the sections concerned with environmental and natural resource conservation and protection;
- (c) protecting cultivated land in the exploration phase;
- (d) extension of exempted areas to include water catchment areas and urban and town zones and a development buffer;
- (e) suggesting that landholders undertake a baseline study of the water on the property and thereafter monitoring, mitigation measures and rehabilitation measures and denial of access when safe levels have been breached until safe levels have been restored; and
- (f) allowing landholders to successfully object to the grant of applications and renewals on the basis of vulnerable aquifers, water catchment areas or other important water sources.

## 1.2 Re-establish a balance in landholder miner rights and powers

A significant concern in the *Petroleum (Onshore) Act 1991 (NSW)* is the imbalance in rights and powers between the miner and the landholder. While is it accepted that the State Government wishes to encourage onshore petroleum mining, it is submitted that it should not do so at the expense of the landholder's existing operations, the wider public interest in preservation of fresh water or so as to pit a landholder against a substantially greater resourced and sophisticated miner.

There are several methods of establishing a fairer balance of power in the Act. One of those methods, which would provide greater transparency and involvement of a landholder in the process, is simply to adopt the processes already utilised in the *Mining Act 1992 (NSW)* and the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (NSW)* and require the Minister to notify landholders, and allow landholder involvement in the pre-application, application, grant and renewal process.

Other methods include:

- (a) compensating the landholder for all expenses incurred. This will ensure a landholder can continue negotiation of an access arrangement until all matters are settled, rather than until it runs out of funds;
- (b) allowing the landholder legal representation in the arbitration process, and not subject to the consent of the arbitrator or the miner;
- (c) compensating the landholder for expenses of specialists, the landholder's own time and any loss sustained as a consequence of coal seam gas (CSG) mining, including diminution of land value;
- (d) clarifying the term of the access arrangement to ensure it continues for as long as the miner has a petroleum title over the landholder's land;
- (e) expanding the scope of the subject matter suggested by the Act for inclusion in an access arrangement, to allow the landholder to argue for their inclusion and guide arbitrators on what can be included;
- (f) guidance to arbitrators on circumstances in which they may refuse access, such as has been suggested by Mrs Justice Schmidt<sup>7</sup>, where there is inadequate protection of the land;
- (g) notify the landholders potentially affected by a petroleum title in the pre-application phase and allowing them to object on specified grounds with rights of appeal to the LEC;
- (h) requiring Government to notify affected landholders of a grant of a petroleum title and provide them with a copy of the title granted; and
- (i) include provisions for false or misleading conduct, such as had in the *Mining Act 1992 (NSW)*, and unconscionable conduct, such as is provided for consumer protection in the *Competition and Consumer Act 2011 (Cth)* to protect landholders, to ensure uninformed consent is unenforceable.

#### 1.3 Miscellaneous amendments

There are also a number of other matters which, given this opportunity, have been highlighted for consideration and amendment by Government. These include:

- (a) resolution of inconsistencies between instruments, such that an instrument issued under the POA prevails over any approval/consent issued under the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (NSW) (EP&A Act)*;
- (b) clarification in the POA of the application of the EP&A Act, given the repeal of Part 3A and the new provisions in Part 4 of the EP&A Act;
- (c) replacement of references to the NSW Minerals Council with the Australian Petroleum Production and Exploration Association;

Brown v CMA Pty Ltd [2010] 76 NSWLR 473
 2011 © Marylou Potts Pty Ltd ACN 074 696 263

- (d) removal or amendment of miner favourable provisions which result in significant detriment to either Government or landholders;
- (e) suggesting inclusion of false or misleading conduct provisions, with penalties similar to that found in the Mining Act 1992 (NSW); and
- (f) suggesting recording of the petroleum title on the land title register to alert unsuspecting purchasers of land of the petroleum title.

The following table of the *Petroleum (Onshore) Act* 1991 (NSW) is made up of 4 columns: column 1 listing the section of the Act; column 2 the section name; column 3 the issue of concern; and in column 4, if possible, the suggested amendment.

The table does not purport to be an exhaustive review of the Act, nor does it purport to be internally consistent, it does however attempt to highlight issues in the Act and provide possible remedies for the lack of protection and conservation of water, and to rebalance the substantial imbalance of power between the miner and the landholder.

Section	Name	Issue	Recommended change
Part 1	Preliminary		
3	Definitions		
	Cultivated land	There is currently no definition of "cultivated land" in the Act. This leaves the interpretation open to	Replace references to "cultivated land"
		its ordinary meaning.	with "agricultural land" throughout the Act.
		It is submitted that references to "cultivated land" be replaced with "agricultural land" and insert in	Insert a definition "agricultural land has
		the definitions section, the definition of "agricultural land" found in Schedule 2 of the <i>Mining Act</i>	the meaning given in Schedule 2 of the
		1992 (NSW).	Mining Act 1992 (NSW)."
	Environment	There is currently no definition of "environment" in the Act.	Insert a definition "environment has the meaning given in the Protection of the
		It is submitted that a definition of "environment" be included and that the definition be the same as	Environment Operations Act 1997
		in the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 (NSW).	(NSW)."
	Land	The current definition of "land" appears to exclude water. Related legislation such as the	Replace the definition of "land" with the
		Contaminated Land Management Act 1997 (NSW) has a definition of "land " which includes water.	following definition "land has the meaning
			given in the Contaminated Land
		It is submitted the definition of "land" be consistent with the definition of "land" in the Contaminated	Management Act 1997 (NSW)."

	Land Management Act 1997 (NSW). In the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997 (NSW) is	
	"land" includes water on or below the surface of land and the bed of such water".	
	The purpose of this insertion is to ensure all provisions in the Act relating to protection,	
	conservation, rehabilitation and compensation of "land", also relate to water.	
Rehabilitate	There is currently no definition of "rehabilitate" in the Act.	Insert a definition "rehabilitate means to
		restore to original, or better than original,
	It is submitted a definition which requires "rehabilitation" to restore to original, or better, condition,	condition."
	will be more likely to ensure sustainable land use into the future.	
Restricted area	There is no definition of "restricted area" in the Act. Section 72 of the Act sets out the restricted	Insert a definition "restricted areas are
	areas.	defined in section 72 of the Act."
	For ease of reference it is submitted that a definition be given to "restricted area" as the areas	
	_	
	defined in the section 72(1) of the Act.	
Water	There is currently no definition of "water" in the Act.	Insert a definition "water has the meaning
		given in the Contaminated Land
	It is widely acknowledged that water, particularly groundwater, is the most vulnerable resource in	Management Act 1997 (NSW)."
	relation to coal seam gas (CSG) mining.	. ,
	It is submitted that the Act needs to make express provision for protection, conservation and	
	compensation for loss of access to water, and recommends that a definition of water be inserted	
	into the definitions section of the Act.	
	That definition should be consistent with other related legislation, such as the Contaminated Land	
	Management Act 1997 (NSW) and the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 (NSW).	
	It is recommended that the definition utilised be the same as that used in the <i>Contaminated Land</i>	

9

		Management Act 1997 (NSW). That definition is as follows:	
		"waters means the whole or any part of:	
		(a) any river, stream, lake, lagoon, swamp, wetlands, unconfined surface water, natural or	
		artificial watercourse, dam or tidal waters (including the sea), or	
		(b) any underground or artesian water."	
Part 3	Petroleum	Recommendation to establish a fairer balance between landholders and miners by	
	titles	increasing transparency and landholder involvement in the pre application, application,	
		grant, cancellation and suspension, and renewal process	
8	Invitation of	Currently the Act does not provide for landholder input in the pre application or application stage	Pre application stage: Notice from
	applications	for a petroleum title.	Minister
			Insert a new section 8A Objections which
		Pre application stage: Notice from Minister	provides "Before the Minister invites
		Landholders, as interested persons, have invested substantial sums in acquiring their land, more	applications for petroleum titles, the
		often than not, have invested significant amounts of time running a business from their land and	Minister must cause notice of the
		are often intimately aware of the nature and significance of their land, its geology, its agricultural	proposal to be served on any landholder
		potential and its groundwater.	of the land concerned.
		It is submitted, before the Minister invites applications for petroleum titles over a specified area of	The notice must state that the Minister
		land, that the Minister first cause a notice to be served on the potentially affected landholders,	proposes to invite applications, describe
		allowing them to object to an invitation for applications over land which is:	the land to which the invitation will relate
		(i) cultivated land [currently protected in the production phase (s71 of the Act)];	and state that objections may be made
		(ii) restricted areas [currently protected in all petroleum titles (s72 of the Act) [for example urban or	within 60 days of the date the notice is

 $<sup>^{8}</sup>$  Schedule 1 Division 4 clause 21(1) and (2) Mining Act 1992 (NSW)  $\,$ 

<sup>9</sup> Atkinson 2002

<sup>10</sup> For mining leases, see s21 Schedule 1 of the Mining Act 1992 (NSW).

Not simply placed in a news paper, in a 2cmx2cm advertisement.

<sup>12</sup> Schedule 1 Division 4 clause 21(3), (4) and (5) Mining Act 1992 (NSW) 2011 © Marylou Potts Pty Ltd ACN 074 696 263

town development area]], and

(iii) sensitive areas [currently protected in the petroleum titles (eg Second Schedule PEL2) [in relation to flora or fauna]].

It is submitted that these protections all apply from the pre application stage, rather than at various stages in the petroleum mining process, and form the bases upon which landholders can successfully object to the Minister inviting applications. It is further submitted that this set of protections also include protection of water.

Requesting input from landholders and interested persons, who have intimate knowledge of the land concerned, allows the Government to properly assess the potentially affected landholder concerns before creating legitimate expectations in miners.

Inserting this additional step of notifying the landholder before applications are sought and setting out those matters upon which a landholder may successfully object, will allay much of the shock, frustration and angst of the landholder, the miner and inevitably, the Government, currently experienced with the existing process.

The *Mining Act 1992 (NSW)* and the *Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 (NSW)* (**EP&A Act**) already utilise these processes to allow for persons to have access to information and object and appeal. This pre application notification of landholders process is already utilised in the *Mining Act* 1992 (NSW)<sup>8</sup> at the application for mining lease stage. It is submitted that because CSG exploration is as damaging as production<sup>9</sup>, that protection be brought forward to the pre exploration phase of the process and include protection of water.

Carving out these matters for protection from the beginning, will undoubtedly result in less concern about water security [because it will allow for protection of aquifers and catchment areas], food security [because it will protect cultivated land], landholder rights [because landholders will have the right to object before applications are sought] and bio-security [because sensitive areas can be

served to the granting of the title on the grounds that:

- the land is cultivated land 13
- the land overlies vulnerable aquifers, water catchment areas and or fresh water sources.
- the land is in a restricted area as defined in s72(1)
- the land is defined as a sensitive area,

The objections must be taken into account by the Minister.

The Minister may not seek applications if the landholders can substantiate their objections on the grounds provided.

Objectors have the right of appeal to the Land and Environment Court (**LEC**)."

#### Application stage

Insert a new section 8B Notice to be given to landholders as follows:

"An applicant for a petroleum title must, within 21 days of lodging an application,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Schedule 1 Division 4 clause 22 Mining Act 1992 (NSW), landholders can object on the basis that the land is agricultural land. 2011 © Marylou Potts Pty Ltd ACN 074 696 263

		protected from the outset rather than in retrospect] in onshore petroleum mining.	cause notice of that application to be
			served on the landholders of the land
		Application stage: Applicant to provide notice	concerned.
		Currently an applicant for a petroleum title, which includes exploration licences and production	
		leases, is not required to notify the landholders concerned directly that an application is being	That notice must state that the applicant
		made.	has lodged an application, describe the
			land, state that objections may be made
		It is submitted an applicant for a petroleum title should be required, as one is under the Mining Act	within 60 days of the date the notice is
		1992 (NSW) <sup>10</sup> , within 21 days of lodging an application, to cause notice of that application to be	served and lodge a copy of the notice
		properly served on the landholders of the land concerned. 11	with the Director General.
		That notice must state that the applicant for the petroleum title has lodged an application, describe	The objections must be taken into
		the land in sufficient detail to enable a landholder to determine how and the extent to which the	account by the Minister.
		application affects their land, state that objections may be made and lodge a copy of the notice	
		with the Director General.	The Minister may not grant applications if
			the landholders can substantiate their
		These requirements are already in the <i>Mining Act 1992 (NSW)</i> <sup>12</sup> . It is submitted that they also be	objections on the grounds provided.
		included in the POA, for applications for all petroleum titles.	
			Objectors have the right of appeal to the
			LEC."
9(1)(a)	Grant	Currently this section provides that the Minister may grant a petroleum title over any onshore land	Minister to gazette as no go zones for the
		within the State except in those areas listed where the Minister may not grant a petroleum title.	granting of petroleum titles:
		Those "no go" zones include areas gazetted by the Minister.	
			- water catchment areas
		It is submitted that recognition be given to the importance of water catchment areas and significant	- significant groundwater basins
		groundwater basins as areas in which no petroleum title may be granted due to the potential	- urban and town zones + sufficient buffer
		detrimental geomechanical effects <sup>14</sup> of CSG mining to onshore fresh water resources, particularly	for future development
		aquifers, and the consequential irreparable damage.	

<sup>14</sup> Dusseault 2010 2011 © Marylou Potts Pty Ltd ACN 074 696 263

		It is further submitted that no petroleum title be granted in any urban or town zone or within, as has	
		been touted in QLD, at least 2km [or a 10 year buffer zone for future development] of any urban or	
		town zone.	
		town zone.	
9(5)	Notification of	Currently notification of the grant or refusal of a petroleum title is made in the Gazette. From	Insert the words "and given to the
, ,	grant or refusal	experience the Gazette is a clumsy and awkward database which is difficult to navigate and even	landholders affected, including if granted,
		more difficult to access information from To expect landholders to have their eyes on the Gazette	a copy of the petroleum title and easy
		in order to determine if a title has or has not been granted over their land is unreasonable.	access to an electronic copy" at the end
		<b>3</b>	of this subsection 9(5).
		Notify landholders of grant or refusal	, ,
		It is submitted the Minister notify affected landholders directly of the grant or refusal. If there has	Insert a new paragraph "(f) the decision
		been a grant, the Minister must provide those landholders directly affected with a copy of the title	maker reasonably considers that the
		and easy access to an electronic copy of the title.	applicant provided false or misleading
			information in or in connection with the
		Anecdotally, there have been occasions in the Southern Highlands when landholders have had	application."
		miners turning up on their door step declaring they had title to explore and did not. Further, it is	
		the standard position that miners do not provide copies of their titles to landholders leaving	
		landholders with no idea what rights the miner actually has in relation to the landholder's land. This	
		is an untenable position for a landholder to be placed in and should be remedied by the entity that	
		places the landholder in that position, the Government. The Government should provide the	
		landholder with a copy of the title.	
13, 14,	Applications	Currently the information attached to applications is not transparent to the public.	See suggestions in this column for ss8
15, 16			and 9 of the Act
		It is submitted all information required to be provided by an applicant in relation to the making of an	
		application for a petroleum title or its renewal be made available on a Government website [similar	

<sup>15</sup> There are no references at all in the POA to false or misleading information. However, throughout the Mining Act 1992 (NSW) there are consequences for providing false or misleading information. Note Mining Act 1992 (NSW) sss22(2)(b) in relation to licences, 41(2)(b), 63(2)(b) in relation to mining leases, 64(3)(b) in relation to tenders, 114(2)(c) in relation to renewal applications, 121(2)(b) in relation to transfer approvals, 125(b2) in relation to grounds of cancellation of authority, 190(2)(b) in relation to mineral claims, 198(2)(c), 201(2)(b), 203(1)(c2), 228(2)(b) in relation to opals, 233(1)(b2), s246Q Certification of audit report, 246R offences relating to audit information, s289(3)(b) Returns, s378C False or misleading information.

	1	to that for planning applicational and provining he invested in the DOA similar to those in the	
		to that for planning applications] and provisions be inserted in the POA similar to those in the	
		Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (NSW) [former s75H(3)] which require an	
		application and all its accompanying documentation be made publicly available, together with the	
		right of objection and the right to appeal any decision of the decision maker to the LEC.	
		False or misleading information	
		Unlike s22(2)(b) <sup>15</sup> and many other provisions of the <i>Mining Act 1992 (NSW)</i> , the POA does not	
		allow the decision maker to refuse applications or impose penalties if the applicant provides false	
		or misleading information in connection with an application for a petroleum title.	
		It is submitted that this section be amended to provide the Minister with the power to refuse	
		applications or renewals where the miner has provided false or misleading information.	
20	Continuation	Currently a petroleum title continues in force beyond its expiration date indefinitely if an application	Insert a new subsection 20(2)as follows:
	pending renewal	for renewal has been made within time.	
			"(2) Section 20(1) does not operate to
		It is submitted that there needs to be a cut off date beyond which a determination on a renewal of	extend the term of the petroleum title by
		a petroleum title can no longer be made.	more than 6 months after the date on
			which it would otherwise expire."
		Other similar Acts provide time limits. Section 29(2) of the Mining Act 1992 (NSW) sets a time limit	
		on the determination of an application for a mining lease from the exploration licence as being 2	
		years. It is submitted that this concept be imported into the POA with a significantly shorter time	
		limit, of say 6 months. This allows the landholder and the miner some certainty in knowing whether	
		or not the title will be renewed. Allowing the title to simply continue indefinitely without certainty of	
		timing fails to consider the substantial sums outlaid by the miner and the inability of the landholder	
		to plan it's use of the land.	
		It is recommended that an application for renewal expire, along with the title, if not granted within 6	
		months of the date on which it would otherwise expire. Need to explain why 6 months?	
		, , , ,	

20A	Waiver of minor	This section requires significant overhaul or deletion. It does not relate to minor procedural matters	Delete this section.
	procedural	and allows major breaches by the miner to be waived.	
	matters		Alternatively, amend the section to reflect
		Subsection 20A(1) allows a miner to fail to comply with the Act or the regulations or be late in	the title, so that it relates solely to minor
		doing so and to fail to furnish documents and information. As a consequence the miner may fail to	procedural matters.
		furnish a work program, fail to establish its financial credentials, fail to notify the Minister of the	
		discovery of petroleum.	
		Section 20A(2) is ineffective to protect either the Government or the landholder from these failings	
		of the miner.	
		The miner holds a privileged position which should not be able to be abused by the provision of	
		such a legislative waiver of obligations.	
		It is submitted that this section be deleted, or in the least, amended to reflect the title, that is, relate	
		solely to minor procedural matters.	
21(c)	Grounds on	Currently the Act provides that the Minister may refuse to grant an application or renewal if a miner	Add a new subsection "21(2) The Minister
	which an	fails to meet minimum standards for a work program, technical capability or financial capability or	must make its minimum standards and
	application may	ability to meet the terms of a tenement.	public interest considerations available to
	be refused		the public."
		It is submitted the Minister's minimum standards be readily available to the public, that is, its	
		minimum work program standards, financial or technical requirements, and its parameters on the	Insert a new subsection "21(f) the
		public interest be publicly available on a website.	applicant does not meet the Minister's
			minimum standards in relation to the
		Further, these standards should include minimum standards for the protection and conservation of	protection and conservation of water."
		water.	
21	Grounds on	Currently the Act provides no grounds for refusal to grant an application for a petroleum title based	Insert a new paragraph 21(f) "the

	which an	on previous breaches of title.	applicant has failed to fulfil or has
	application may		contravened:
	be refused –	It is submitted the section be amended to allow for any person to object to a grant or renewal of a	(i) any of the conditions of a previous title,
	objections	petroleum title, on the basis that the miner has:	the Act, the regulations or the law; and or
	based on	(a) failed to satisfy the Minister's minimum standards;	(ii) the Minister's minimum standards
	previous	(b) previously breached provisions of the title, the Act, the regulations or the law (for example in	referred to in this section 21."
	conduct	relation to a previous title in relation to the same land such as the exploration licence)	
		and to provide the Minister with the power to refuse to grant the title on these bases.	
21	Grounds on	Currently the Act provides no grounds for refusal to grant an application based on environmental	Insert a new subsection 21(f) the grant of
	which	considerations.	the application would adversely affect the
	application may		protection and conservation of:
	be refused	It is submitted that the Minister be given power to refuse applications on the grounds of protection	(i) vulnerable aquifers, water catchment
		and conservation of:	areas and or other vital fresh water
		(i) vulnerable aquifers, water catchment areas and or other vital fresh water sources;	sources;
		(ii) cultivated land;	(ii) cultivated land;
		(iii) restricted areas [as defined in s72(1) of the Act]; and or	(iii) restricted areas, as defined in s72(1)
		(iv) sensitive areas [as defined in the petroleum title].	of the Act; and
			(iv) sensitive areas, as defined in the
			petroleum title.
22(1)	Cancellation or	Currently the Minister has the power to cancel the title for failure, contravention, lack of good faith,	
	suspension	or use for a purpose other than set out in the title.	
		It is submitted that the Minister be given the power to also cancel or suspend a title in order to	
		protect and conserve the environmental particularly around "act of god" conditions, such as	
		drought, fire, flood or deterioration of water conditions, in order to allow the restoration of the	
		environment. For example, if water conditions change materially due to drought and or aquifers	
		are substantially depleted or their quality has deteriorated, in whatever circumstances, the	

		Minister should be given the right to suspend or cancel the petroleum title. In this respect some	
		interconnection needs to be made with s132 collection of water samples. The miner should	
		provide samples at minimum benchmarked intervals, and at any time that the Minster is	
		considering any action with respect to the title, including environmental events.	
27	Discovery of	This section makes it clear that if petroleum is discovered, the Minister may direct the holder to	Set out clear delineation: no production in
	petroleum	apply for a production lease.	exploration phase, if wish to do pilot study
			take out a production lease.
		Currently, it is understood that the Government is allowing a miner to conduct a pilot study under	
		other than production lease conditions. This would appear to be contrary to the tenor of the Act	
		and denies landholders the right to object to the grant on the basis that it is over cultivated land.	
		It also allows the miner to circumvent s71 concerning prevention of mining operations on cultivated land.	
		It is submitted that there should be a clear division between exploration and production activities.	
		Pilot studies should be conducted under production lease conditions.	
Part 4	Consent of	The overlap between the operation of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act	
	other	1979 (NSW) (EP&A Act) and the POA is complex and would benefit from clarification	
	Government authorities	particularly with regard to process and application and the repeal of Part 3A of the EP&A Act.	
48, 54A,		It is submitted that what needs to be clarified are the following:	Amendment required to bring up to speed
62, 67			with EP&A Act amendments and to
		- clear setting out of the process in the application of the POA and the EP&A Act, for example, set	ensure that there is no grant of a PPL
		out of the main steps in interrelationship of both Acts in the POA application and EP&A Act	without the EP&A Act application and
		consent/approval/determination process.	consent process having been complied
			with and the consent under the EP&A Act
		- clarification of priority of instrument in the event of an inconsistency between the EP&A Act	first granted.

		approval/consent/determination conditions and the terms of the petroleum title. It is submitted that	
		the terms of the petroleum title must prevail in the event of an inconsistency.	
		- Part 4 of the POA needs to be amended to accommodate the repeal of Part 3A of the EP&A Act,	
		particularly ss 48, 54A.	
Part 4A	Access	The access arrangement provides the landholder with contractual rights and remedies	
	Arrangements	against the miner in relation to the activities of the miner on the landholder's land. It is an	
	for prospecting	essential ingredient in mining on private land and, it is submitted, that landholders be	
	titles	provided with as much legislative support and protection as possible, particularly in order	
		to place them in a position of equal bargaining power vis a vis the miner.	
		It is essential that the legislation provide the landholder with sufficient contractual rights	
		and remedies against the miner to:	
		(i) protect the landholder's property and the value in it,	
		(ii) protect and conserve the environment;	
		(iii) protect and conserve the water on the property;	
		(iv) protect the landholder's current use of the property and or properly compensate him for	
		interruption or denial of that use; and	
		(v) provide just and equitable compensation for any loss sustained as a consequence of the	
		miner's activities.	
		Essentially, only the landholder has the primary interest in protecting and conserving the	
		value in the property, the environment and the water. The miner's primary interest is to	
		extract petroleum cheaply and quickly, and the Government's interest is to receive a royalty	
		and create employment. Given that it is the landholder whose primary interest is to protect	
		and conserve the environment, the legislation should give the landholder the legislative	
		tools to ensure the landholder can do just that, for example:	
		(a) allow the landholder to demand a security from the miner;	
		(b) allow the landholder to demand an ultimate holding company guarantee from the miner;	
		, ,	

		(c) require the miner to take out and maintain public liability and environmental protection insurance; (d) ensure that the term of the access arrangement continues for as long as the miner has a petroleum title over the landholder's land; (e) allow the landholder to establish the baseline data, monitoring, mitigation measures, rehabilitation measures by engaging specialists and having their fees paid for by the miner; (f) require the miner to provide just and equitable compensation to the landholder for any loss the landholder sustains, including the loss sustained to the whole of the landholder's property, and its value, not simply areas directly affected; (g) ensure that the landholder is always able to receive expert legal or specialist advice on its rights under the Act (as expert as that received by the miners) and have that advice paid for by the miner. (h) require the miner, at the request of the landholder, to provide to the landholder copies of all information made available to the Government (not all landholders have access to the internet, or printers, nor are they necessarily able to leave the property and travel to see the public register.) (i) build in provisions for unconscionable conduct of the miner, for example, uninformed consent should be unenforceable. Where a miner fails to properly and fully inform the	
		landholder of the consequences of providing its consent to have access to the restricted areas, any consent gained from the landholder is unenforceable against the landholder.	
69A(1)	Application of	Clarify the term	Amend s69A(1) to include after the words
	Part	Currently a landholder has the right to refuse access for prospecting operations until an access	"This Part applies" the words "to all
		arrangement has either been agreed or determined. The landholder however does not have the	petroleum titles" and delete the words
		same right during production.	from "the carrying out of" to the end of the
			subsection.

<sup>16</sup> Sydney Gas (Camden) Operations Pty Ltd v Alan Gatenby et al 5 August 2005 NSW Mining Warden's Court.
17 See NSW Minerals Council template

18 Unlike mining under the *Mining Act 1992 (NSW)*, where the miner often acquires the land over which it holds a mining lease, in CSG mining such acquisitions have not taken place.

		It is submitted a landholder be given the right to refuse access until an access arrangement has	
		either been agreed or determined for production. It is in production that the disturbance to the	Amend the remainder of Part 4A to
		landholder's activities, the property and the environment is going to be greatest and most long	replace "prospecting title" with "petroleum
		lasting, and it is during this period that the landholder, the property and the environment need the	title'.
		greatest protection.	
		The fact that there is no legislative basis to demand an access arrangement in the production	
		phase has led to confusion of rights of access to the production lease area between the miner,	
		under the legislation, and the landholder's common law rights to prevent trespass. This has	
		resulted in significant disputes between landholders and miners, the Gatenby <sup>16</sup> case a clear	
		example. This is the case if the miner:	
		(i) simply refuses to allow the term to extend into production <sup>17</sup> ;	
		(ii) at no time during the exploration phase, seeks to enter upon the landholder's land (giving the	
		landholder no opportunity to demand an access arrangement).	
		(iii) does not make an application for production lease, and the Minister calls for applications from	
		others.	
		It is submitted that the Act be amended to prevent a miner from commencing production	
		operations until it has agreed, or has had determined, an access arrangement with the	
		landholder. 18	
69C(1)	Prospecting to	Currently this clause only applies to prospecting titles and prospecting operations. It is submitted	Delete the word "prospecting" where it
	be carried out in	that it apply to all petroleum titles.	first appears and insert the word
	accordance with		"petroleum" before the word "title" and
	access		delete the word "prospecting" where it
	arrangement		appears before the word "operations".
69D(1)	Matters for	This section sets out some of the matters for which an access arrangement may make provision.	
	which access		
	arrangement to	It is submitted this section be expanded to include the following matters:	

	provide		
		determination of and preservation of water on the property	
		provision of a security in favour of the landholder, to ensure the landholder has ready	
		access to funds to remedy defaults of the miner under the access arrangement and	
		ready access to funds if the miner fails to pay the specialists' invoices whether for the	
		initial baseline data or the ongoing advice at each change of the miner's activities, for	
		example at each approval stage;	
		provision of an ultimate holding company guarantee, particularly important if the miner is	
		a \$2 company, which is quite possible by circumventing the approval process under the	
		transfer provisions of the Act. Further, if the miner is a project specific entity, [which is the	
		common practice] and winds up or becomes insolvent, without an ultimate holding	
		company guarantee, the landholder has no one to call on to perform the miner's	
		obligations under the access arrangement.	
		provision of public liability insurance and environment insurance liability	
		the taking of a baseline data on water, soil, air, animals, humans, improvements,	
		sensitive areas, restricted areas, aquifers, aquifer interconnectivity, geology. It is	
		submitted that this baseline data be taken by specialists engaged by the landholder and	
		paid by the miner, and be utilised by the landholder throughout the term of any tenement	
		on the property to measure the effects of the mining on the property and the	
		environment. Without this data a landholder has limited grounds to argue damage and	
		seek compensation from the miner.	
COD(4)(i)	Consument		Include a new COD(4)(i) a breast of the
69D(1)(j)	Concurrent	Currently the Act does not make provision for the inclusion in the access arrangement of a	Include a new 69D(1)(j) a breach of the
	breach provision	concurrent breach provision, allowing the landholder remedies against the miner if the miner	Act, the regulations, any environmental
		breaches the provisions of the petroleum title.	protection law or the terms of the
		I his substitude a supplied to the control of the c	petroleum title or its related documents, is
		It is submitted a new paragraph 69D(1)(j) be inserted into the Act, that the access arrangement	a breach of the access arrangement,
		include a concurrent breach provision, such that breaches of the petroleum title, its associated	entitling the landholder to deny access
		documentation, the Act, the regulations and any environmental law, is a breach of the access	until that breach is remedied.
		arrangement, entitling the landholder to deny access.	

69D(1A)	References to	Currently the Act provides for the NSW Farmers' Association to negotiate a template access	Replace NSW Minerals Council with
and (2A)	the NSW	arrangement with the NSW Minerals Council. However, the NSW Minerals Council is not the peak	APPEA.
	Minerals Council	body for petroleum producers and does not represent them.	
		It is submitted that NSW Minerals Council be replaced with the Australian Petroleum Production	
		and Exploration Association (APPEA) and it be APPEA who is charged with the requirement to	
		negotiate with the NSW Farmers' Association the terms of a template access arrangement under the Act.	
		the Act.	
		There is no agreed template between the NSW Farmers' Association and the NSW Minerals	
		Council. The former agreed 1997 template for minerals cut across landholder rights and the	
		current NSW Minerals Council template significantly cuts across landholder rights 19.	
		It is submitted any template must be evenly cast between the miner and the landholder and	
		preserve, rather then eliminate, the rights of the landholder under the Act.	
69D(2A)	Legal fees	The Act provides that the miner is to pay the reasonable legal fees of the landholder in obtaining	Insert the words "accounting, valuation
		initial advice about the making of the access arrangement. Anecdotal evidence indicates that	and" before the word "legal", and delete
		miners are offering \$500 to cover the landholder's initial legal fees. Expert legal fees of a medium	the word "initial" before the word "advice".
		to large Sydney law firm range from \$650-1000/hour. Clearly, \$500 would not cover the reading of	
		an access arrangement, let alone preparing one for the landholder which included the landholder's	Alternatively, insert before the word
		rights, or advising a landholder on the rights to be included.	"legal" the words "landholder's time, and,
			accounting, valuation, specialist [including
		It is submitted that this section be amended to ensure all reasonable legal fees of the landholder	hydrogeologist, agronomist, surveyor,
		be paid by the miner with no cap.	environmental scientist, vet and doctor]
			and".
		The Queensland Government has recognised that all reasonable legal fees of the landholder must	
		be paid by the miner <sup>20</sup> .	Replace "obtaining initial advice" with

<sup>19</sup> Note that the rights in s72 and clause 4.1 NSWMC Land Access Arrangement for Mineral include no reference to water. 2011 © Marylou Potts Pty Ltd ACN 074 696 263

			"connection with the settlement and
		It is worth noting the recent decision of the Queensland Government to also provide free legal aid	administration of the access
		to landholders (not means tested), to assist landholders in dealing with miners in relation to access	arrangement."
		arrangements.	
			NSW Government should also provide a
		The Queensland Government recognises the significant complexity of access arrangements and	free legal aid service [non means tested]
		the fact that landholders do not have sufficient resources to properly negotiate an access	to landholders to assist them in
		arrangement with a sophisticated miner. The NSW Government should also recognise this	negotiating access arrangements under
		complexity and provide a similar free legal aid service, by a legal practitioner with expertise in the	the Act.
		field.	
		The Queensland Government has also recognised that a number of other professionals' fees are	
		payable by a landholder as a consequence of the Government granting a miner a petroleum title	
		over its land. The Queensland Government has responded by ensuring that all these	
		professionals' fees are payable by the miner.	
		It is submitted that this section be amended to provide that the miner pay at least the legal,	
		accounting and valuation expenses of the landholder in settling the access arrangement. It would	
		be preferable that the legislation provide an even broader base, such as "all fees of all specialists	
		engaged by the landholder, and the landholder's time, in connection with the granting of the	
		petroleum title and protection of the environment and the landholder's property". Alternatively, "all	
		fees of all specialists engaged by the landholder, and the landholder's time, in connection with the	
		settlement and administration of the access arrangement."	
69D(2A)	Other expenses	The Miner and the Government benefit from the operation of petroleum mining, at the significant	
		expense of the landholder (even if we simply look at the amount of time a landholder has to spend	
		working out what its rights are, what it can do, who it can talk to, etc).	
		A landholder would almost always have no experience with mining, mining rights, mining activities,	

		and its own activities in relation to those of the miner, and even less with CSG production activities, given there are only 6 production leases [as at May 2011] in all of NSW, one could not expect otherwise.  All expenses of the landholder and any loss incurred should also be payable by the miner for there to be any equitable arrangement for the landholder.	
69D(3)	Inconsistency of provisions	Currently in the event of an inconsistency between the Act and a provision of the access arrangement, the Act prevails.	Add the words to the end of the subsection "unless the provisions of the access arrangement provide greater
		It is unfortunate that this provision does not allow for an improved position to prevail which is agreed between the parties.	protection, conservation or rehabilitation of the environment than is set out in the Act, the petroleum title or the regulations."
		It is submitted that this provision be amended to allow the access agreement provisions to prevail if they provide better protection, conservation or rehabilitation of the environment than is set out in the Act, the title or the regulations.	
69D(4)	Contravention of the access arrangement	This section contemplates a dispute between the landholder and the miner in relation to an alleged contravention of the access arrangement and provides the landholder with the remedy of denial of access.  It is submitted the contravention be extended to include a contravention of the petroleum title, the Act, the regulations or an environmental law.	Insert the words ", the petroleum title, the Act, the regulations and or an environmental law" after the words "access arrangement".
69I(2)(b)	Right of appearance	Currently the landholder is at the mercy of the miner and the arbitrator as to whether or not he or she may have legal representation in an arbitration for the determination of the terms of an access arrangement.  It is submitted that this places the landholder in a significantly vulnerable position and must be remedied. A miner has substantial resources; in house counsel, expertise in relation to this	Delete paragraphs (a) and (b) and insert the words "by an Australian legal practitioner whose reasonable legal costs are payable by the miner" after the words "may be represented".

	Г		
		legislation and mining experience and expertise at a level that the landholder would not be able to	
		match nor the resources to fight. It is disturbing that the legislation expressly puts a landholder in	
		an even more vulnerable position by providing the miner with the power to deny the landholder	
		legal representation in the arbitration process.	
		The access arrangement has a significant impact on the landholder's ability to utilise their land and	
		is the only vehicle available to protect their rights vis a vis the miner. It is hoped that arbitrators	
		preserve the landholder's rights under the Act. The Act should not pit such unequally matched	
		players against each other without trying to level the playing field for the weaker player. When	
		such substantial and significant assets are at stake, essentially the landholder's livelihood, legal	
		representation is essential to ensure some balance of power between the landholder and the	
		miner in this process.	
		It is submitted that this subsection be amended to allow a landholder legal representation in any	
		arbitration conducted under the Act.	
69N(2)	Arbitrator's	Currently the Act provides for the arbitrator to come to an interim determination, and if that is	
and	interim and final	objected to, a final determination. At each of these stages, the arbitrator is given the power to	
69L(1)(a)	determination	refuse access to the miner, or set out the terms upon which access is granted.	
		Some arbitrators have assumed refusal of access can only be determined in "exceptional	
		circumstances". This assumption is unfounded in the legislation, and arbitrators should not be	
		setting such a high bar when the legislation does not so provide. The Act does not specify any	
		criteria upon which the arbitrator may refuse access. Schmidt J in Brown v CMA Pty Ltd [2010] 76	
		NSWLR 473 at para [118] indicates that "inadequate protection of the property provide[s] a	
		proper basis for refusal of access."	
		It is submitted that arbitrators be given guidance as to when they may refuse access and that that	
		guidance include the circumstances set out by Mrs Justice Schmidt. These circumstances could	
		include where a landholder can show that CSG exploration on its property and the methodologies	

25

Part 5	Restrictions on titles	sought to be used will provide "inadequate protection to the property", and specifically, "inadequate protection of the aquifers or the cultivated land on the property". That guidance could be in the regulations or listed in the Act itself.	
70(4)	Definition of exempted areas	This section provides the holders of petroleum titles may not, except with the consent of the Minister, exercise any rights conferred by the title on land in an exempted area.  It is submitted that areas of land within water catchment areas be classified as "exempt areas" in subsection 70(4) of the Act.  Petroleum exploration and production onshore involves the extraction of large amounts of gas and	Include a new paragraph "the Sydney Water Catchment Area and any urban or town water catchment area to preserve the integrity of catchment areas from geomechanical damage."
71	Restrictions on	water from under the ground. As a consequence, there may be geomechanical subsidence <sup>21</sup> of the land resulting in damage to overlying aquifers. As catchment areas depend on the integrity of the geological structures to hold the water, no activity should be permitted in these areas which would jeopardise the integrity of that geological structure.  Define cultivated land	Replace the words "production lease" with
	rights of holders of leases over cultivated land	Currently a miner is not allowed to "carry out any mining operations or erect any works on the surface of any land that is under cultivation", except with the consent of the landholder.  The only detail in the Act on "cultivated land" is set out in subsection 71(3) as excluding "cultivation for the spread of pasture grasses".	"petroleum title".  Delete subsection 71(4) of the Act and insert "If a dispute arises as to whether or not land is cultivated land within the meaning of this section, any party to the
		It is submitted more detail is necessary to give landholders clarity on whether their land is or is not	dispute may apply to the Land and

<sup>21</sup> Dusseault 2010
22 Presumably the reason is that that State is keen to determine its petroleum resources and this is a means of obtaining this information by having the miner do the exploration for it.

<sup>23</sup> Atkinson 2002

"under cultivation".

The *Mining Act 1992 (NSW)* provides more detail in its definition of "agricultural land" in Schedule 2. It is submitted that the POA be amended to replace "cultivated land" with "agricultural land" and utilise the definition in Schedule 2 of the *Mining Act* in the POA to define agricultural land.

#### Provide the protection of cultivated land in the exploration phase

Currently the protection of cultivated land is only available to the landholder in the production phase. As a consequence, a miner will expend funds exploring on cultivated land, but not, without the landholder's consent, be able to produce on that cultivated land. <sup>22</sup> One means of ensuring no expectation of the miner to produce on cultivated land, or needless expenditure of funds when a landholder will not grant consent, is to provide that the miner may not explore or produce on cultivated land, without the landholder's consent.

Although it is understood that this exploration is for the benefit of the Government in determining the State's resources, the detriment to the landholder is significant because CSG exploration activities, in particular bore hole drilling, are almost as damaging to underlying aquifers as production activities.<sup>23</sup>

This protection may be effected by replacing the words "production lease" with "petroleum title in this section.

If it is accepted that references to "cultivated land" be amended to "agricultural land", the suggested amendments in this section should accordingly refer to "agricultural land".

## Disputes on cultivated land to go to LEC

Currently disputes on the determination of cultivated land are determined by the Minister.

It is submitted that due to the significance of the determination on the livelihood of the landholder, disputes concerning whether or not land is under cultivation be referred to the LEC. This clause

Environment Court for a determination on the matter."

If it is accepted that references to "cultivated land" be amended to "agricultural land", the suggested amendments in this section should accordingly refer to "agricultural land".

		has significant ramifications for landholders who operate businesses on their land and should not	
		be left to an administrative decision open to judicial review. Decisions such as these should be	
		made on the merits and by impartial courts, such as the LEC.	
72	Restrictions on	This section makes it clear that a landholder may prevent a miner from exploring or mining within	
	rights of holders	restricted areas on his or her land, by not giving his or her consent. It is also clear, that if consent	
	of titles over	is given, it is irrevocable. Irrevocability, although providing surety to the miner, is at a substantial	
	other land	loss to an inadvertent landholder. It appears that landholders do inadvertently give away this right	
		and on that basis some amendment to this section is sought.	
		The current practice of agreeing access arrangements appears to be that the miner provides its	
		draft to the landholder and the landholders are signing access arrangements without legal advice.	
		This results in landholders inadvertently giving away these substantial legal protections to their	
		house, garden and improvements and doing so irrevocably.	
		As an example, the current draft of the NSW Minerals Council (NSWMC) Land Access	
		Arrangement for Mineral Exploration July 2010 does not prevent prospecting and mining	
		operations within 200m of the principal dwelling house, 50m of the garden, vineyard or orchard, or	
		on land on which is situated any improvement. Clause 4 of the NSWMC access arrangement	
		allows the miner to prospect in these restricted areas as long as it causes minimum	
		damage/interference. A landholder who is unaware of its legislative protections [allowing him or	
		her to prevent such activities in these areas] may see this provision as innocuous and agree to it.	
		Such significant rights of a landholder should not be able to be so easily thwarted.	
		It is submitted that miners be required to advise landholders to obtain independent legal advice on	
		the access arrangement and a landholder be required to have a certificate of independent legal	
		advice before signing an access arrangement.	
		Further, that a miner be required to advise the landholder of its rights under this section 72, and	

		s71, and have a sign off of that advice, before the landholder may irrevocably sign away these	
		rights.	
		Another option, could be to remove subsection 72(2), providing that the consent is irrevocable, and	
		inserting a provision providing that false or misleading conduct and or unconscionable conduct by	
		the miner in relation to the settlement of an access arrangement, entitles the landholder to deny	
		access or terminate the access arrangement and seek compensation for any loss sustained.	
Part 6	Protection of	Division 1 Environment to be considered before grant of petroleum titles	
	the		
	Environment		
74(1)	Need to protect	The Act provides the Minister, before making grants of petroleum titles, "is to take into account the	Insert a new paragraph "74(1)(c) the
	natural	need to conserve and protect (a) flora, fauna, fish, fisheries and scenic attractions; (b) features of	nature of, use of, integrity of, proximity to
	resources etc to	aboriginalor geological interest, in or on the land over which the petroleum title is sought."	and vulnerability of water,".
	be taken into		
	account	It is submitted the Minister's actual considerations be made publicly available.	
		Further, currently the section makes no reference to the protection or conservation of water.	
		It is submitted that protection and conservation of water be inserted as a new paragraph 74(1)(c)	
		and water be defined as set out in the definitions section 3.	
74(0)		Lindardhia aubaating the Minister has the aguards around an improved improved tridia	
74(2)		Under this subsection, the Minister has the power to request environmental impact studies.	Include "agricultural and water impact
		It is submitted that this costion he symanded to size the Minister the necessity and a size that the	study" after the words "environmental
		It is submitted that this section be expanded to give the Minister the power to carry out agricultural	impact studies".
		and water impact studies.	
l			

	Division 2 Conditions for protecting the environment	
Inclusion of conditions for protecting the environment	This section currently sets out those matters for which conditions can be placed in the petroleum title in relation to protection of the environment however the section makes no reference to the protection or conservation of water.	Include a new "75(1)(c) the nature of, use of, integrity of, proximity to and vulnerability of water,".
	It is submitted that protection and conservation of water be added in this section as a new paragraph 75(1)(c) and water be defined as set out in the definitions section 3.	
Rehabilitation etc of area damaged by operations	This section sets out those matters for which conditions can be placed in the petroleum title in relation to rehabilitation of areas damaged by operations. The section makes no reference to the rehabilitation of water.  It is submitted that protection and conservation of water be added in this section as a new paragraph 76(1)(c) and water be defined as set out in the definitions section 3.  In this respect the definition of "land" also needs amending to ensure that rehabilitation includes	Include a new "76(1)(c) the rehabilitation of water,".
	It is further submitted that recognition be given to the potential for geomechanical subsidence caused by CSG mining. When large amounts of gas and water are extracted from under the ground it is inevitable that subsidence of the area above the mining will occur. This subsidence also acts to shear, bend and collapse bore holes, resulting in leaking of hydrocarbons in the surrounding environment.  It is submitted that a policy decision be made to:  (i) amend the <i>Mine Subsidence Compensation Act 1961 (NSW)</i> to deal with subsidence caused by	
	conditions for protecting the environment  Rehabilitation etc of area damaged by	title in relation to protection of the environment however the section makes no reference to the protecting the environment lt is submitted that protection and conservation of water be added in this section as a new paragraph 75(1)(c) and water be defined as set out in the definitions section 3.  Rehabilitation etc of area damaged by operations  This section sets out those matters for which conditions can be placed in the petroleum title in relation to rehabilitation of areas damaged by operations. The section makes no reference to the rehabilitation of water.  It is submitted that protection and conservation of water be added in this section as a new paragraph 76(1)(c) and water be defined as set out in the definitions section 3.  In this respect the definition of "land" also needs amending to ensure that rehabilitation includes rehabilitation of water.  It is further submitted that recognition be given to the potential for geomechanical subsidence caused by CSG mining. When large amounts of gas and water are extracted from under the ground it is inevitable that subsidence of the area above the mining will occur. This subsidence also acts to shear, bend and collapse bore holes, resulting in leaking of hydrocarbons in the surrounding environment.  It is submitted that a policy decision be made to:

Part 7	Royalties and fees	other legislative instrument providing such protection; and  (ii) reduce the number of holes a miner may drill and restrict drilling to places where there is little likelihood of subsidence effects on surrounding aquifers. This is to prevent drilling where there is a reasonable likelihood of subsidence damage to aquifers.	
85	Royalty	Currently there is no royalty payable on CSG produced for the first 5 years of production and thereafter increased 1% a year from 5% for 5 years up to a maximum of 10%. The recent NSW Budget threatens to increase coal royalties yet leaves CSG royalties untouched.  It is submitted, with the considerable uncertainty regarding the irreparable damage which could be caused by CSG mining to overlying valuable fresh water aquifers, the giving away of CSG is an absurd policy. Given the possible high risk and the current community concerns, the NSW Government should place at least a 10% royalty rate on CSG from the beginning of production and use that royalty:  (i) to employ more personnel to properly police the CSG activities,  (ii) to undertake the groundwater studies on the cumulative effects of CSG mining, particularly in Camden and Narrabri; and  (iii) to assist landholders negotiate access arrangements that are fair and equitable by providing a free legal aid service [non means tested].	Amend the regulations to make at 10% royalty immediately payable on CSG produced.
		The giving away of CSG for the first 5 years of production simply encourages CSG miners to exploit the resource to the maximum extent possible in order to exhaust the resource before the royalty kicks in. This policy could be seen to encourage reckless behaviour on the part of miners, with little benefit to landholders, the environment and the Government.  When governments set royalties, they must have regard to the economic value of alternative uses	

		of the land and balance the value of mining against those alternative uses. As CSG mining has the	
		potential to irreparably damage groundwater systems it is important to set royalties to ensure that	
		CSG mining only takes place when the value of the royalty gained by the NSW community	
		outweighs the economic cost of the loss of a ground water system.	
		outweighs the economic cost of the loss of a ground water system.	
		As a matter of good decision making the Government should, in administering the Act, weigh up	
		the economic considerations [which would include the economic cost of the irretrievable loss of	
		groundwater systems], alternative uses of the land, likelihood of damage to the environment,	
		against the value of mining. The Government should always publish is reasoning where it has to	
		weigh up competing considerations. It should support its decisions with studies undertaken by	
		economists or other appropriate specialists. Before any decisions become final, affected persons	
		should be notified of the proposed decision, the reasoning behind it and given the opportunity to	
		comment. An increased royalty revenue would make this possible.	
		A higher royalty would allow the Government to properly administer petroleum titles, and at the	
		same time, increase transparency and protect and conserve the environment. With higher	
		royalties, less titles could be granted and miners would be less likely to engage in speculative	
		mining to the detriment of the environment.	
Part 8	Registration of		
	titles and		
	dealings		
95(1)	Records of titles	The position in property law needs to be investigated for the purpose of ascertaining whether	Insert a new subsection 95(4) The miner
		disclosures are required of petroleum titles to unsuspecting purchasers of real estate.	must record with the Registrar General of
			the land titles office the petroleum title
		Currently there is no requirement in the Act that a record of the petroleum title be placed on the	interest on the land titles which are
		land title register.	affected by that petroleum title.
		It is submitted that this section, and query other property legislation, be amended to require the	

		miner to record the petroleum title on the land title register. Registration of the petroleum title on	
		the land title will ensure that purchasers of land are not surprised that the land which they have	
		purchased is covered by petroleum title.	
		This is a significant obligation with respect to PELs as they cover large areas of land. However, it	
		is submitted that it is of significant importance to an unsuspecting purchaser to know what	
		petroleum interests are held over land it proposes to acquire, before it acquires that land.	
95(3)	Records of titles	Currently this section provides that records of titles are to be made available to the public at the	Delete the words "at the head office of the
		head office of the Department free of charge. The Department has interpreted this as meaning that	Department".
		electronic versions are not free of charge and is charging \$160/hour, with a minimum charge of	
		\$160, for electronic access to titles.	
		Often miners do not give landholders a copy of the title. This means that a landholder has to locate	
		and purchase the title from the department in order to determine the rights the miner.	
		It is submitted that titles be made available by the department free of charge electronically. An	
		increase in the CSG royalty could pay for such an activity.	
Part 11	Compensation		
107	Compensation	Injuriously affected to include loss in market value to the balance of the land	Insert a new s107(5) The holder of a
-	F	Currently the holder of a petroleum title must compensate every person having any estate and	petroleum title is not authorised to
		interest in the land injuriously affected or likely to be so affected by reason of any operations	exercise any rights under that title unless
		conducted or other action taken in pursuance of this Act, title regs etc.	the amount of any compensation payable

Some commentary<sup>24</sup> has argued that these words would not include damage to the value of the balance of the land because the words "injuriously affected" or "diminution in value of the land' are not included in s109, which is concerned with the measure of the compensation.

Further, s107(3) provides that compensation is not payable where operations do not affect a portion of the land. The meaning of "affect" is not defined, and as a consequence it could be argued "affect" includes the intangible affect of loss of land value or that "affect" is limited to the tangible affect. As there is uncertainty of application of this section, it is submitted that the words "injuriously affected" be clarified either in this section or in s109, to ensure that they permit compensation for injuriously affecting the balance of the land, or more particularly for diminution of land value.

The Queensland Government has recognised the significant effect of CSG mining on land values and included as a "compensable effect" payable by the miner to the landholder any and all diminution of land value.<sup>25</sup>

It is submitted compensation be payable by the miner to a landholder for loss of market value of the property as a consequence of CSG mining operations.

#### No rights until compensation paid

Under s265(4) of the *Mining Act 1992 (NSW)* the miner is not authorised to exercise its rights under the mining lease unless the landholder concerned has been paid compensation, either agreed or assessed. The POA does not have such a provision and it is submitted that the POA should have such a provision.

It is submitted the holder of a petroleum title, or alternatively, at least a production lease, should not be authorised to exercise any of the rights in the title until the amount of compensation payable

to the landholder under subsection (1) in respect of that part of the area is the subject of a valid agreement or of an assessment made by the Land and Environment Court."

<sup>24</sup> Scarr 2004 p571

<sup>25</sup> see s79Q of the Petroleum Act 1923 (Qld) and s532 Petroleum and Gas (Production and Safety) Act 2004 (Qld) 2011 © Marylou Potts Pty Ltd ACN 074 696 263

		to the landholder has been agreed or determined.	
109(1)	Measure of	Currently the measure of the compensation is confined to damage to the surface of the land.	Delete "surface" and "surface of"
	compensation		wherever they appear in this clause.
		A landholder of the estate in fee simple at common law owns the land to the centre of the earth	
		and to the sky above <sup>26</sup> subject to legislative carve outs, such as under the POA and the Mining Act	Include a paragraph 109(1)(e1) ", damage
		for petroleum and minerals. As a consequence it is submitted the landholder be compensated for	to, or loss of, or pollution or contamination
		damage to any part of the land, surface and subsurface, and the words "surface of" or "surface" be	of, water, and".
		removed where ever they appear in this section.	
			Include a paragraph 109(1)(e2) "by
		As the damage done by the miner includes damage to the subsurface, and more particularly,	diminution of market value of the land,
		potentially groundwater aquifers, it is submitted that this section be amended to ensure a	and"
		landholder is compensated for:	
		(i) loss as a consequence of damage to groundwater aquifers,	
		(ii) loss of water quantity or quality, and	
		(iii) loss as a consequence of pollution and or contamination of water on the property.	
		Further, this section should be amended to ensure that a landholder is compensated for diminution	
		of land value, as has been recognised and legislated for in Queensland. There is anecdotal	
		evidence that properties in Gunnedah cannot be sold ostensibly because of the CSG activities	
		occurring on the land.	

<sup>26</sup> Butt P 2010 pp8-15 At common law "the person who owns the land owns it from the heavens above to the centre of the earth below" unless the grant of the land imposes height and depth dimensions, or there are legislative carve outs, such as, for petroleum or minerals under the POA or the Mining Act 1992 (NSW). Although there is no case law in Australia on the extent, or for that matter, limitations on subsurface rights, there is much case law in the English and US providing it is trespass for tunnelling into or excavating into or drilling under another person's land without their consent.

Part 13	Release of information	released for 2 to 5 years after it is given. Given the miner's exclusive rights under its	Amend the provisions in this part to allow				
			for immediate public access to documentation reported by miners.				
					to know what the miners are doing and going to do on the land.		
				Part 14	Miscellaneous		
	129	Notice to be	Currently if an inspector considers any thing or practice connected with a miner's operations to be	Insert in paragraph 129(1)(a) after the			
	given of cause	so dangerous or defective as in the inspector's opinion to threaten or tend to injure the health or	words "registered holder of the title" the				
	of danger	body of a person, the inspector may give notice to the holder of the title and require the operations	words "and to the landholder".				
		to be remedied and or to require the operator to cease operations.					
		It is submitted that a copy of this notice be given immediately to the landholders concerned.					
134B	Consents of	Currently if a landholder "cannot, after diligent inquiry, be found" a miner may enter on the property					
	landholders	and "the operations may be carried out or the works erected without the consent of the landholder					
		or other person". Could this effectively mean, in a worse case scenario, that a miner may demolish					
		the house on a property to put down a well in circumstances where the landholder cannot be found?					
		It is submitted that "cannot, after diligent inquiry, be found" is a completely inadequate benchmark to protect the landholder and the landholder's property from the activities of a miner in the					
		landholder's absence. A landholder's absence should not allow a miner to enter private property					
		without the landholder's knowledge and consent, with no access arrangement and no compensation.					
		The first position should be that the miner may not enter.					

	If entry must be admitted it is submitted that entry may only be permitted:  (i) with a court order; and  (ii) on the conditions one would see in an access arrangement which is balanced and protects the position and the rights of the landholder under the Act;  (iii) with compensation payable into a trust to be held on behalf of the landholder, such compensation determined by the court and payable when it grants the power of entry, and on the landholder's "return" all previously determined conditions are terminable in the discretion of the landholder.
False or misleading conduct	Unlike the <i>Mining Act 1992 (NSW)</i> the POA does not contain penalties for false or misleading conduct on the part of the miner.  It is submitted that such provisions be inserted to deter miners from providing false or misleading information both to landholders in the access arrangement process and to Government in the application, grant, term and renewal the title.

## References

AGL Camden Gas Project Northern Expansion Environmental Assessment October 2010 Vol 1 found at http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/index.pl?action=view\_job&job\_id=2921

Aronson M, Dyer B, Groves M, 2009 Judicial Review of Administrative Action 4<sup>th</sup> Edn Lawbook Co. Sydney

Atkinson C, 2002 Environmental Hazards of Oil Exploration Prepared for National Parks Association of NSW Inc. Sydney

Baldwin L 2006 Mining in Greenhouses A precautionary tale AMPLA Yearbook 2006 pp533-437

Baye M. 2009 Managerial Economics and Business Strategy 6th Edition McGraw- Hill Irwin pp524

Brans E, De Haan E, Nollkaemper A, Rinzema J, 1997 The Scarcity of Water Emerging Legal and Policy responses Kluwer Law International

Butt P., 1980 Introduction to Land Law The Law Book Company Sydney

Cameron D, 2011 From Seam Challenges for the coal seam gas industry Civil Engineering Australia April 2011

Connell D. 2007 Water Politics in the Murray Darling Basin The Federation Press

Connell D, Grafton Q, 2008 Planning for Water Security in the Murray Darling Basin Public Policy Volume 3 Number 1 2008 67-86

Daintith T, 2011 Principles of Oil and Gas Course Materials for Postgraduate Students Post Graduate Law Sydney University

Dusseault MB. 2010 Compaction Geometrics: Mechanisms, Screening Power point presentation University of Ontario Canada

EDO, 2010 Rural Landholder's guide to Environmental Law in NSW 3rd Edn NSW Environmental Trust

EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2011 *Draft Plan to Study the potential impacts of Hydraulic Fracturing on Drinking Water Resources*, Office of Research and Development February 7, 2011

Fairnstein M. Harman J. Dickson A. 2001 "ABARE Economics Australian Gas Supply and Demand Balance Report to the Commonwealth Department of Industry, Tourism and Resources August 2002

Fisher D 2009 The Law and Governance of Water Resources The challenge of sustainability Edward Elgar Cheltenham UK

Gardner A, Bartlett R, Gray J 2009 Water Resources Law LexisNexis Butterworths

Gardiner D 2005 Mining in Greenhouses: Digging Deeper into Environmental Impact Assessment AMPLA Yearbook 2005 pp 492 - 437

Grafton Q, 2009 Economics of Water Resources Vol I and II An Elgar Reference Collection Cheltenham UK

Helmuth M., 2008 Scoping Study: Groundwater Impacts of Coal Seam Gas Development – Assessment and Monitoring, Centre for Water in Minerals Industry Sustainable Minerals Institute University of Queensland, Doc reference P08-010-002.doc

Hillier J., 2010 Groundwater connections between the Walloon Coal Measures and the Alluvium of the Condamine River A report for the Central Downs Irrigators Limited August 2010 John R Hillier Consulting Hydrogeologist

Hotop SD., 1983 Cases and Materials on Review of Administrative Action 2<sup>nd</sup> edition The Law Book Company, Sydney

Hunt M., 2009 Mining Law in Western Australia 4th Edn The Federation Press

Lloyd-Smith Dr M., Senjen Dr R, 2011 Briefing Paper Hydraulic Fracturing in Coal Seam Gas Mining: The Risks to Our Health, Communities, Environment and Climate National Toxics Network April 2011

Lucy J., 2008 Water Regulation the Laws of Australia Thomson Reuters

Lyster R, Lipman Z, Franklin N, Wiffen G, Pearson L., 2009 Environmental and Planning Law in New South Wales 2<sup>nd</sup> Edn The Federation Press

Matthew D 2005 The nature of gas in coal: Technical Challenges of co-location of coal and coalbed methane AMPLA Yearbook 2005 pp368 -379

Moran C, Vink S., 2010 Assessment of Impacts of the Proposed coal seam gas operations on surface and groundwater systems in the Murray Darling Basin Centre for Water in the Minerals industry, Sustainable Minerals Institute, The University of Queensland

Mudd G., 2010 Environmental and Groundwater Issues and AGL's Hunter Coal Seam Gas Project Final Report to Hunter Valley Protection Alliance

Myers T., 2009, *Groundwater management and coal bed methane development in the Powder River Basin of Montana* Journal of Hydrology, 2009, V. 368, 1-4, pp178-19

Osborn S, Vengosh A, Warner N, Jackson R., 2011 *Methane contamination of drinking water accompanying gas-well drilling and hydraulic fracturing* Centre for Global Change, Nicholas School of the Environment, Division of Earth and Ocena Sciences, Nicholas School of the Environment, and Biology Department, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708

Parker J, Glindemann R., Regulation of contamination in energy resources projects 2004 AMPLA Yearbook 2004 pp438-479

Pearce D, 2007 Administrative Appeals Tribunal 2<sup>nd</sup> Edn LexisNexis Butterworths Australia

Pigram J 2006 Australia's Water Resources From use to Management CSIRO Publishing

Queensland Government Department of Employment, Economic Development and Innovation 2010 *Investigation Report Leakage testing of Coal Seam gas wells in the Tara "rural residential estates" vicinity* 1 June 2010

Roth L, 2011 Regulation of coal seam gas industry in NSW e-Brief 1/2011 NSW Parliamentary Library Research Service

Scarr P, 2004 Sullivan v Oil Company of Australia Limited and Santos Petroleum Operations Pty Ltd and its Relevance throughout Australia AMPLA Yearbook 2004 p561

SKM SMI 2009 Framework for assessing Local and cumulative effects of mining on Groundwater Resources Report 6 Jurisdictional processes for undertaking Assessments for groundwarter effects. Version 3 12 November 2009

Su X, Zhang L, Zhang R, 2003 The abnormal pressure regime of the Pennsylvania No 8 coal bed methane reservoir in Liulin – Wupu District, Eastern Ordos Basin China International Journal of Coal Geology 53 (2003) 227-239

Trindade F, Cane P 2001 The Law of Torts in Australia 3rd Edn Oxford University Press

URS 2009 Gladstone Environmental Impact Statement Section 6.5.1.6 Potential impacts and mitigation measures

Worley Parsons "Camden Gas Project Stage 3 Peer Review of Groundwater Component of EA 19 November 2010 engaged by Cambelltown City Council. Found at http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/index.pl?action=view\_job&job\_id=2921 in public submissions.

## Legislation

#### NSW

Contaminated Land Management Act 1997 (NSW)

Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (NSW)

Land Acquisition (Just Terms Compensation) Act 1991 (NSW)

Mining Act 1992 (NSW)

Mine Subsidence Compensation Act 1961 (NSW)

Petroleum (Onshore) Act 1991 (NSW)

Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 (NSW)

Water Management Act 2000 (NSW)

Water Act 1912 (NSW)

## Queensland

Petroleum Act 1923 (QLD)

Petroleum and Gas (Production and Safety) Act 2004 (QLD

#### Cases

Landholders in Arkansas (Class Action) v BHP Billiton (PLC or Ltd?) US District Court in Little Rock and Faulkner County Circuit Court in Conway Arkansas

O'Connor v Arrow (Daandine) Pty Ltd, Margaret Wilson J., Supreme Court of Queensland 23 December 2009

Graham Barclay Oysters Pty Ltd v Ryan anors (2002) 194 ALR 337 following Wyong Shire Council v Shirt (1980) 146 CLR 40 following the Bolton v Stone 1951 AC 850 negligence calculus

Sydney Gas (Camden) Operations Pty Ltd v Alan Gatenby Mining Warden's Court August 2005

Sullivan v Oil Company of Australia Ltd & anor [2002] QLRT 109, and [2003] QCA 570 Unreported 19 December 2003

Ulan Coal Mines V Minister for Mineral Resources BC200812052 [2008] NSWCA 251, [2007] NSWSC 1299

Brown v CMA Pty Ltd [2010] 76 NSWLR 473