

Tendered by S. Turner
04/04/09 RL.

Question asked by	Question	Status of the answer:
Hale p28	Is Over Time cheaper than paying a full time staff? Have you done an analysis	Question was not taken on notice but we refer the committee to the third paragraph of the DCS submission on page 34 which indicates the department's preference for using overtime to accommodate "contingencies in preference to creating fulltime positions.
Hale p29	Asked for comment on intimidation of staff. Turner spoke of Dignity & Respect Charter undertook to check if corrective services has signed	Question was not taken on notice. Further investigation indicates that DCS has not signed the Dignity and Respect Charter.
Khan p32	Mr Turner , the figures given were that inmate numbers some 10 years ago were at 6830 and as of 2007-2008 there has been an increase to 9618. I assume Commissioner Woodham's mathematical calculation is correct when he said that is a 41 per cent increase. One of the comments made by Commissioner Woodham in his evidence, both at the start and he repeated it on two or three occasions, was that the assertion has been made that the increase was 60 percent and not 41 percent. Are you wedded to a figure of 60 percent increase in prisoner numbers? Turner: I will take that on notice. We will research it properly and that will be in our submission on Friday	Inmate numbers detailed in the PSA submission at p11
Khan p32	He also said (Woodham) that the staff increase has been from 3377 to 4472... What I invite you to do is to give consideration in your submission to whether those are the	Staff numbers detailed in PSA submission at p11

Khan p33	<p>numbers that you are comfortable with and if they are not you should tell us</p> <p>..Are you able in terms of the various prisons, to identify how many prison officers you say have been in those institutions over a period of time and how many prisoners have been there over those periods of time so we can get some sort of idea as to what the actual frontline position is? Is that possible? Bindley: yes, Little: On Notice yes, Turner: To the degree that we can get that information, we will collect it and provide it.</p>	<p>Information is not readily available to the Association and could be provided by the Department from a collation of information from the monthly State Reports. We note that DCS has yet to respond to a similar request at question 50 (p19) of the DCS answers to Questions on Notice.</p>
Donnelly p38	<p>On the question of the island agreements that were referred to by the commissioner, we have not seen them and we do not know what is in them so we cannot compare them with the existing industrial instruments. Do the island agreement, in part at least, manage the issue of over time and sick leave in a different way to the existing instruments? If the answer is yes, can you explain what those differences are at least in broad terms. Turner: If it assists the inquiry, we can take that on notice and give a brief description of what we see as the main points of the island agreements, as Mr Woodham has called the, and compare that to the primary award in the jails.</p>	<p>Comparison has been made in PSA submission at p14-15</p>

Hale p38	(following from Donelly above) Could you also explain the basis of the 209 days as opposed to the 191 days, as the roster basis	This matter has been addressed in the PSA in submission at p10. The PSA also draws the committees attention to the response contained in the DCS submission at pp33-34 which explains how the 209 and 191 figures are arrived at. We note that the DCS list of matters that were not taken into account in the original calculation of 209 does not include Extended(Long Service) leave
----------	---	---