

Question Number	Question	Answer
1	Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: Would you be able to provide that legal advice? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I'll have to take that on notice. As you know, Ms Faehrmann, legal advice is subject to legal professional privilege, and our waiving of that— Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: It doesn't have to be. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: That's right, but our waiving of that is not something that we do lightly. Certainly, in relation to the CPHR legal advice, I'm not in a position to make any comment on that; I'll have to discuss that with Minister Sharpe. But I'll take on notice your request to have the legal advice or a summary of the legal advice made available. I'm not going to commit to that today; there are standard legal professional privileges that sit over that legal advice. But, as I said, I'm happy to articulate the fact that it raised question marks that were unanticipated.	I am advised: A fact sheet has been published to explain the situation and what we are doing about it.
2	The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: Minister, are you projecting, then, that there'll be 139,900 public housing and Aboriginal housing properties by 2030-31? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: A) I will have to take that figure on notice. That's not a figure that I have and am able to provide clarity in relation to, B) but I'm more than happy to take on notice what that figure refers to and provide clarity to you in relation to our projections.	I am advised: As of 30 June 2024, there were 155,905 social housing properties in NSW. This includes community housing provider (CHP) and Aboriginal CHP homes. In 2024/25, the NSW Government invested \$6.6 billion to deliver 8400 new homes (including 2,200 replacement homes) to 2030/31 to reduce homelessness and improve social housing. The CHP sector, supported by federal funding such as the Housing Australia Future Fund, will also help increase supply and add to the overall number of new homes delivered.

3	The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Again, without having the source of that data in front of me, it's challenging for me to know whether or not the component parts that have led to those figures are similar. I reiterate my answer before: There's absolutely no question that we are increasing stock by historic numbers. You don't put \$5.1 billion in the budget and project increases of 8,400 New South Wales government-funded homes alone, let alone those delivered by our community housing partners and funded under the Housing Australia Future Fund, and not project a significant increase in the number of not just social housing homes but also social housing bedrooms. I'll have to take on notice the comparison between the figures that you have provided, but my answer is very clear. Our projections are all a significant increase in stock, which is much needed.	I am advised: The response to Supplementary Question 245 from the March 2025 Budget Estimates hearing included incorrect data due to an Excel formula error, which overstated the number of four-bedroom or more public housing dwellings. Following this error, FACSIAR performed a manual calculation to check and correct this and the number of four or more bedroom dwellings in public housing is 26,949 and not 133,496. This means that for the 94,470 public housing dwellings as at 30 June 2024, the total number of bedrooms in public housing equals 208,457. This is not a loss of 40,000 bedrooms and is instead an increase once the 275,000 projected bedrooms have been completed through the Building Homes for NSW Program.
4	The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: Minister, why did DCJ quarterly statistics reports on social housing delivery end in June of 2024? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I don't know the answer to that. Do Mr Tidball or Ms Pinkstone have any information in relation to that? MICHAEL TIDBALL: We have produced additional information. Mr Farlow, if I can take that on notice, I'm happy to respond. But the amount of data we have provided has grown, so I'd need to look at whether it's been replaced by something else that we're providing in a different format, but happy to take it on notice. MICHAEL TIDBALL: We have produced additional information. Mr Farlow, if I can take that on notice, I'm happy to respond. But the amount of data we have provided has grown, so I'd need to look at whether it's been replaced by something else that we're providing in a different format, but happy to take it on notice.	I am advised: Refer to response provided by the DCJ Secretary, Mr Michael Tidball, on page 59 of the transcript.

5	The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: Minister, the productivity commission's Report on Government Services shows that recurrent funding to community housing providers was reduced in 2022-23, when it was \$178.4 million, to \$133 million in 2023-24—a 25 per cent cut. What's the figure in 2024-25? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I don't have the figure here. Ms Pinkstone, do you have that figure? REBECCA PINKSTONE: No, I can take that on notice for you.	I am advised: In 2024/25, CHPs received approximately \$243 million funding as follows: - Community Housing Innovation Fund suite of programs - \$61.51 million - Community Housing Leasing Program - \$105.3 million - Social Housing Management Transfers - \$51.137 million - Rent Choice to non SHMT CHPs - \$10.254 million - Refuge Maintenance Program - \$7.61 million - Affordable Rental Housing SEPP - \$475,000 - NRAS funding - \$6.4 million
6	The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: If you could also take on notice the projection over the forward estimates relating to that as well. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: That's the funding from the New South Wales Government to community housing providers? The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: Funding from the New South Wales Government to community housing providers. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: That's fine, we'll take that on notice for you, Mr Farlow.	I am advised: Homes NSW is currently preparing the future funding allocations.
7	The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: Let's go to that SOPA one. I understand that it was indicated that it's been transferred to Homes NSW and agreements have been exchanged, but the transfer of ownership hasn't been completed. Is that correct? REBECCA PINKSTONE: That's right. We've exchanged on the purchase of the site, and we're just going through the settlement process. On the site, it needs to be subdivided, so we're just in the process of doing that with SOPA. The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: How much has that site been costed at? REBECCA PINKSTONE: I can take that on notice and get back to you this afternoon.	I am advised: The final cost is yet to be determined and this will be agreed upon completion of a valuation process.

8	The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: With respect to the source of funding being the Building Homes for NSW package, how much has been apportioned within the Building Homes for NSW package for land purchases as a result of the land audit? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I will have to take that on notice. In a way, it's a little bit iterative, Mr Farlow. As you know, the land audit is the land audit that never ends—it just goes on and on, my friend. As sites become available into the future, it may be that Homes NSW identifies a site that currently is not in the land audit that they think, "Wow, this is a fantastic opportunity," and really want to snap that up. That will obviously have a budgetary impact. We have \$5.1 billion as part of the \$6.6 billion that was allocated for Housing and Homelessness specifically for capital delivery. Exactly how much of that is going to be used for the purchase of land under the land audit, I will have to take on notice.	I am advised: \$270 million is currently allocated in the budget for the Land Audit program.
9	The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: Do you have a provision within that budgetary allocation for land purchases in general? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Yes. The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: What is that provision? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Do you have that figure? REBECCA PINKSTONE: No. I can get it for you.	I am advised: The 2025/26 budget for land purchases is \$297 million, of which \$238 million is for land audit sites.

10	The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: Minister, when do you expect to commence construction on that site? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: If we can stick to the current time frame that I am anticipating—although, as we have already seen, sometimes I give time frames here at estimates that end up being challenging. Nonetheless, we are hoping to resolve those issues with Transport by the end of the year. We would hope that once that occurs, we could commence construction on that site next year. Most of that land is vacant. As I said, it does have some operational assets on it, but once they are removed, we should be able to commence construction. I will say, Mr Farlow, that the team at Homes NSW are not waiting for the land transactions to be resolved to commence the planning and design work for the homes. That is occurring concurrently. We don't currently own those sites; they are still owned by Transport for NSW, and we are negotiating with them. But our team is still doing design work and doing planning work so that once the ownership is transferred, we can commence construction as quickly as possible. The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: When do you expect that site to be completed? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I don't know if I have a date for that. Do you have a date for the North Eveleigh site? REBECCA PINKSTONE: No. It has to go through planning. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: We can take on notice what time frames we can provide for you.	I am advised: Construction of dwellings on the North Eveleigh site is expected to commence in 2028 and to be completed in 2030.
11	The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: With respect to the Box Hill site—my apologies, that is one that has been transferred across, as I understand it. When do you expect to commence construction on that site? REBECCA PINKSTONE: For the ones that we own, I can come back to you with the construction time frames.	I am advised: Construction of social dwellings is expected to commence in mid-2027.

12	The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: Minister, how many HAFF applications has the New South Wales Government submitted, and how many have been approved? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I don't know if I have information in relation to how many we submitted in the first two rounds. Ms Pinkstone, do you have that? Or we could take it on notice. REBECCA PINKSTONE: I can get you the total. We were successful for all in round two. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: That was 14. REBECCA PINKSTONE: Yes, the 14 sites. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: As I said, round two was better, with 14 applications and 14 sites. Round one, as I said, two sites—Lane Cove and Maroubra—but I will have to take on notice how many applications we put in.	I am advised: In Round 1, Homes NSW submitted four applications, and two were successful.
13	The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: You can ask Mr Barnes in the afternoon. The Hon. MARK BANASIAK: I couldn't get a clear answer from him from a briefing before, but it has a reasonably high compliance rate, so I'm curious as to how much we're going to increase that compliance rate by and whether that is value for taxpayer dollars? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: For example, as I have indicated, compliance in relation to the non-urban metering framework is significantly lower than that and, in fact, it has been— The Hon. MARK BANASIAK: There have been overall issues with the rollout of that. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I accept that, Mr Banasiak, and I have been open about the range of issues that have contributed to that. I merely make the point to you that there is quite a significant compliance gap in relation to that specific issue. But I will also say this: It is absolutely not fair to say that NRAR's only role and the only thing that they will be expending their budget on is compliance. They have a significant educative role, and we would be hoping that that work would be expanded. We accept that almost everyone wants to do the right thing and that education and support for people to do the right thing is as important, if not more important, than the compliance role, because most people	I am advised: Approximately 20% of NRAR's budget funds education, engagement and outreach activities. A further 20% funds targeted, proactive compliance campaigns designed to address sectors and activities where non-compliance has been identified. Another 25% funds Enforcement activities and associated legal support that investigate and resolve alleged breaches of the Water Management Act 2000. 30% of NRAR's budget goes to support functions that enable, improve and facilitate the activities described above – such as spatial imagery collection and analysis, implementation of technological equipment to support field operations, IT support, intelligence services to determine regulatory focus areas, program management services and performance monitoring. The final 5% goes to administrative support and ministerial services.

	do. The Hon. MARK BANASIAK: Are you able, on notice, to provide a bit of a breakdown in terms of how much of that \$19.9 million would go towards education versus compliance versus whatever other activities NRAR will be doing? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: That's fine. I can take that on notice, and perhaps in the afternoon— I know your time is limited—you could ask Mr Barnes some questions directly.	
14	Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: It seems appropriate and it seems sensible, so if that can happen, I assume it can also happen for environmental watering. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Yes, it can, and that is, Ms Faehrmann, the path that we are looking to use to resolve this issue. As I said, I really want to be clear to you: This isn't political and this isn't as a result of a change that we've made or a decision that we have undertaken. Quite genuinely, an unexpected piece of legal advice was received. I will take on notice how much of that I can share with you. We are using a regulatory pathway through our water management regulations to resolve that. That is literally being drafted by PCO in consultation with the relevant DCCEEW entities right now. We recognise we have to resolve this. We very much hope it is a short, temporary pause whilst these legal issues are resolved	I am advised: A fact sheet has been published to explain the situation and what we are doing about it.

15	Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: In terms of a short, temporary pause, quite a few people have expressed concern about the fact that there is due to be a release of water on 1 September that is critical for the breeding event of certain waterbirds. This is the spring breeding season. Will it be fixed in time for that? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I will have to take that on notice. I've seen the media reports that you are referring to that reference that event. That's not something that environmental water holders have specifically raised with me, although I remain open to engaging with them on that. I would say there's quite a bit of water in the system now. Thankfully, this issue has arisen at a time when we are not in dry conditions; we are in wet conditions. But let me take on notice that specific event and how we might manage it because, whilst I have read that media report, I haven't received any formal or official correspondence or information in relation to it.	I am advised: The Water Management (General) Amendment (Water Return Flow Rules and Exemptions) Regulation 2025 was gazetted on 10 September 2025. Whilst reviewing its environmental watering program in general, including the context of working towards compliance with the non-urban metering requirements, NSW DCCEEW identified a risk that some environmental water deliveries may cause water to be taken from a water source not in accordance with the Act.
16	Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: I understand that not having the water sharing plans in place, the same situation has continued as under the old water sharing plans. Is that correct? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Yes, that's correct. Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: So, 100 per cent allocations were made on 1 July to irrigators, including carryover ability and capacity. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I would have to check. Is that what occurred, Ms Jones? AMANDA JONES: We can confirm the water allocations this afternoon, Ms Faehrmann, but yes, the licences continue. Conditions on licences continue without the plans in place.	I am advised: The Minister can make available water determinations under s59 of the Water Management Act 2000. The mandatory conditions attached to water access licences allow for carryover. Mandatory conditions on WALs still apply if a water sharing plan is not in place.

17	Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: But you're confident that that's all—to allocate the water on 1 July without existing water sharing plans in place. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: The annual water determination allocations. I should clarify, Ms Faehrmann, I have received legal advice in relation to the operation of the Water Management Act and what occurs in the instance where the plans lapse. I have received legal advice in relation to that, which confirms the evidence that I am providing to you, that the provisions and conditions on the existing licences and plans operate. I can't recall whether that specifically referred to the issue that you have raised in relation to allocations. I will take on notice as to whether that specific issue was referenced in the brief that I received in relation to what occurs in the event of lapsed plans.	I am advised: The briefs on the lapsed plans did not include reference to available water determinations or carryover, as the briefs reference activities that cannot be carried out or are at risk.
18	Ms SUE HIGGINSON: Is there anything that is happening? If there is a call today in Lismore or that area for a mental health call-out or a welfare check, who would be the person that would go and undertake that at this point in time? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I imagine it would be police. I don't know. I am happy to take it on notice. Mr Flynn, you may know—although you may not—if the police have any, essentially, plan B provisions or other provisions in place to manage the vacancy in PACER. I'm not sure if they do. I'm happy to take that on notice. As I said, Ms Higginson, it has been raised with me. We've had specific and direct conversations about what we can	I am advised: The Acute Care Services respond to people with mental health crisis in the community, providing assessment, assertive care and treatment. The Lismore Mental Health Service has a good relationship with the Lismore Police Command and is working with them to recruit to the vacant PACER position.
19	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: In the past 12 months, have more than a handful of town water and sewer projects progressed to shovel-ready stage? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I can take on notice exactly how many projects have progressed to shovel-ready in the past 12 months.	I am advised: In the last financial year (2024/25) four projects progressed to construction phase in the Safe and Secure Water Program. Eight projects were completed through to full construction - five projects in the Safe and Secure Water Program, two projects under the Drought Program and one project under National Water Grid Program.

20	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: Was that an election commitment, Minister? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I believe that was an election commitment, so that is an example. We have also been working jointly with the Commonwealth under the National Water Grid to provide opportunities for water infrastructure funding in New South Wales. There have been a number of examples there. One that comes to mind is Kempsey, which has been the recipient of significant funding under the National Water Grid. There are others. I can provide the details on notice. So those are examples of areas where new additional water infrastructure funding has been allocated to try to support both water security and growth.	I am advised: In the last year, with NSW or applicant co-investment, the National Water Grid Program funded 9 new projects valued at over \$100 million. These include: - Stochastic Modelling - Far North Coast Preliminary Business Case - Bermagui Water Security Preliminary Business Case - Tabulam Water Supply Detailed Business Case - Orange Purified Recycled Water Treatment Demonstration Plant Preliminary Business Case - Yass Water Treatment Plant Upgrade (Construction) - Kempsey Water Treatment Plant (Construction) - Lightning Ridge Bore Pipeline (Construction) - Bathurst Stormwater Harvesting Detailed Business Case In June 2025, NSW put forward 14 applications for Australian Government funding consideration.
21	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: What about the Billabong Creek Floodplain Management Plan? Is it commenced, delivered, operating? AMANDA JONES: Billabong Creek, I think, is in progress. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Perhaps Ms Jones could take that on notice and provide clarification of the status of that plan this afternoon.	I am advised: The Billabong Creek Floodplain Management Plan is being developed and is scheduled to commence 1 July 2026. Public exhibition of the draft plan is scheduled to occur in within 2025.
22	Ms ABIGAIL BOYD: I understand that three out of 55 possible sites Homes NSW has purchased so far. How much money did Homes NSW spend on each of those three properties? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: We provided a figure earlier of \$30.39 million for all three. I don't know whether we have here the breakdown. Ms Pinkstone is saying we'll be able to provide that on notice for you, Ms Boyd. That 30.39 figure is for all three sites.	I am advised: The location and cost of the three sites purchased are: - Camden \$3,640,000 excl. GST - Box Hill \$19,750,000 excl. GST - Menai \$7,000,000 excl. GST.
23	Ms ABIGAIL BOYD: Did that money then go into the agencies that had the land? Is that where it has been funnelled through? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Yes, that's right. We did a land transaction with the agency that owned those sites, Camden, Menai and Box Hill. Ms ABIGAIL BOYD: Was any stamp duty paid for those purchases? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I might have to take that on notice. Apologies, Ms Boyd. If we could try	I am advised: No.

	to seek clarification on that quickly, that would be great.	
24	The CHAIR: In response to one of my questions earlier this morning, you talked about the consultation that's being undertaken about the alternative models for mental health emergencies. I've already been contacted by people who are listening to this hearing who are very interested in this and who have not heard anything about that consultation. Where can they find public information about the consultation that you're doing? How can people be involved if they haven't been directly invited? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: To reassure those people, it's literally just started this week. If they have not been engaged, it's not because we're not interested in hearing those voices; it's because we have really just started to reach out to some of the peak groups and core organisations that will be our partners in that consultation. I don't know whether we have even a public website yet or landing page. Elizabeth might take on notice just how people can be part of that and what programs we'll have underway to engage people, but, as I said, to reassure people, it's only because it's only just begun, not because there's any inclination not to include those voices.	I am advised: NSW Health and NSW Police Force are exploring the most appropriate and accessible mechanism for broad community engagement.
25	The CHAIR: Can I take from your answer a commitment to provide that information publicly with an opportunity for people to feed in who haven't been directly invited? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Sure. Elizabeth, who is running that consultation through NSW Health, can take on notice that and a commitment that we will work with you and others to make sure the voices that want to be heard as part of that absolutely can be.	I am advised: Please refer to Question on Notice 24.

26	The CHAIR: With the changes coming to ADHD prescribing, there'll be a number of people able to access treatment for ADHD from GPs. Currently the IPTAAS reimbursement for travel for rural and remote patients doesn't allow reimbursement for people accessing a GP specialist. Would you support IPTAAS being expanded so that people can access a GP specialist such as an ADHD prescriber? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: That's a very good question, Dr Cohn. Allow me to take it on notice, merely because this is the first time it's been brought to my attention. I'm very happy to look at that and the team at NSW Health can assess that too. You rightly identify the intention of that reform is to provide pathways to access health care for people who are struggling to see a specialist. We want GPs to be able to play that role and, if there's a way that we can provide some support for that through the IPTAAS, let me take that on notice and come back to you as to what we might be able to do there.	I am advised: This question is best directed to the Minister for Health as he is responsible for management of IPTAAS, however, I have brought the issue to his attention for consideration.
27	The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Minister, you announced on 30 June that you've delivered 1,711 social homes. Of those, I think 1,408 were new. How many of those were allocated to victim survivors of domestic and family violence? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: We're on track to deliver our commitment of 50 per cent. I'll have to take on notice whether it was exactly 50 per cent of those homes. The commitment was 50 per cent of the homes that we're delivering under the Building Homes for NSW program, which is 8,400. It may be that it's 45 per cent in one year and 55 per cent in the next year, and so I can take on notice that exact figure. There are obviously some privacy concerns in relation to information around the allocation of homes and who they're going to but, nonetheless, I will take on notice what we can provide to you. But I am very clear with Homes NSW that we are well on track to deliver our commitment of 50 per cent of those homes to survivors.	I am advised: The NSW Government invested \$6.6 billion in social housing and homelessness through the 2024/25 budget as part of the Building Homes for NSW program. At least 50% of the additional social housing supply being delivered under this program will be made available by 2031 to women and children experiencing domestic and family violence. Homes NSW will report annually on this figure. The first report will be provided in November 2025 following the first year of program delivery and verification of data.

28	The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Thanks, and I'll cover that a little bit more this afternoon. Minister, what is the average length of stay in TA at the moment? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Do I have that here? Just give me a tick. I have a range of information. Do we have average length of stay in temporary accommodation? I might have to take that on notice or see if Ms Pinkstone is able to pull that up as we're answering questions.	I am advised: 28.2 days is the average length of stay.
29	The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: I'm also interested in the average length of stay for women and children in a refuge as well, if you have that. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Sure, so average length in temporary accommodation and average length in a crisis refuge for women and children. That's fine. As I said, I don't have that here, but we can—	I am advised: During 2023/24, on average, women and children spent 65 nights in Specialist Homelessness Services crisis women's refuges (including Core and Cluster refuges).
30	The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Once the decision is handed down by the IRC, how quickly will you implement their findings? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I would imagine that we would move to implement those findings as quickly as we can. I actually haven't been briefed on a time frame for that. Obviously, we don't know when we are going to receive that information. As I said, I don't know whether it is a matter of weeks or months. That is information that I have not been briefed on. I'm happy to take that on notice—although, to be clear, we absolutely are committed to respecting the findings of the Industrial Relations Commission and would intend to proceed to executing that outcome without delay.	I am advised: The timeline for implementation of changes following an Industrial Relations Commission decision is dependent on the complexity of the model to be applied and the number of updates required across NSW Health's integrated workforce and roster systems.

31	The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Minister, are you aware of the particular incidents involving police when they are conducting welfare checks, and would you commit to having the investigations made public and reporting on that? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I don't know what particular instances you are referring to, so it's hard for me to make comments about making information publicly available when I'm not clear what information— The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Police reports? I can't commit to making police reports public, if that's what you're referring to. The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: No, incidents where police are interacting, obviously, with an individual who has mental health issues. It has been reported quite broadly, hence why there is the working group. Moving forward, would you make a commitment that those instances would be reported annually? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I can take on notice what kind of data we might be able to provide. I imagine that you are referring to police reporting information.	I am advised: This question is best directed to the Minister for Police and Counter-Terrorism.
----	--	--

32	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: Minister, with the 8,400 social homes promised, outside the Wollongong, Newcastle and Central Coast areas, what is the percentage of that for regional areas across New South Wales? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: That is actually something that I will have available. I might take it on notice and just ask Ms Pinkstone if she is able to pull that up whilst your questions continue. I give you an assurance that we recognise that we have a lot of pressure on social housing in regional New South Wales, and we acknowledge that that pipeline has to include a significant proportion of new homes in regional New South Wales. Ms Pinkstone, if you wouldn't mind— REBECCA PINKSTONE: It's approximately 70 per cent in metro areas and 30 per cent in regional and rural New South Wales. The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: But in that 30 per cent, you are including Wollongong, Newcastle and Central Coast? REBECCA PINKSTONE: Outside metro areas, absolutely. The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: That's right. So 30 per cent for all of regional New South Wales— REBECCA PINKSTONE: Regional and rural.	I am advised: 27% of the homes are planned for regional areas, this excludes Wollongong and Newcastle which are counted as metro.
33	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: —including those areas. Minister, the social housing waitlists in the regions—how are they tracking? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I don't have a regional breakdown here, although that information is publicly available. There is a lot of pressure on the waitlist. I can provide information on notice in relation to the waitlist in specific districts, if you like. I have general, statewide waitlist information here, not broken down district by district. I accept the premise of your point, which is that there is high demand for social housing everywhere in New South Wales, and that includes in regional areas. In some regional areas, it's particularly significant.	I am advised: Please refer to website and the Social Housing Register by Allocation Zone which is updated monthly: https://dcj.nsw.gov.au/about-us/families-and-communities-statistics/social-housing-waiting-list-data.html

34	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: Indeed, there is. In August 2024 you issued a media release on the 3D-printed social housing in Dubbo, stating construction was expected to start in late September. When did construction commence? REBECCA PINKSTONE: We could take that on notice. It is complete now and tenanted.	I am advised: Construction started in November 2024.
35	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: You published another media release, Minister, in November 2024, stating construction had commenced. I am presuming that that is October/November 2024, rather than August. Are you aware of the reason why there was a delay for construction to commence? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I'm not. I'm happy to take that on notice. I would say my assumption would be that it could have been, one, weather-related—3D-printed housing is a little bit weather-dependent—or, two, because this is quite new—obviously, many times I have pointed out that this is the first time that we have delivered, in Australia, 3D printing for social housing. It's a new and innovative program. It may have just been that we required some—	I am advised: As a result of the 3D printing system being a relatively new construction method, additional requirements and certification were needed. Fire safety engineering certification, acoustic certification and vapour and condensation management are examples of reports that required extra time.
36	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: If you can take that on notice to clarify that, that would be great. Your media release also stated the build would take 16 weeks. How long did it take? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Again, I am happy to take that on notice, although I believe that it was around 16 weeks	I am advised: The homes were built in a timeframe of just 20 weeks. There were minor cosmetic issues that did not meet standards, and were rectified quickly and effectively as possible. The timeframe of the project is still well under the conventional build time for comparable properties. The construction time frame was five months and the remediation works for internal finishes took four weeks.
37	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: It was 20 weeks, actually. It similarly stated that tenants were expected to be able to move in come February 2025. When were the properties able to be occupied? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I don't have that. As Ms Pinkstone said, they're occupied now.	The homes were ready for occupation when the Final Crown Compliance Certificate was issued on 3 June 2025. The homes were then allocated to the Aboriginal Community Housing Provider and tenanted. The tenancy for Unit A began on 20 June 2025 and the tenancy for Unit B began on 02 July 2025.

38	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: Again, you have another media release that was issued on 15 May 2025 suggesting that the tenants would be moving in in May 2025. What was the reason for the delay between the construction being completed and tenants being able to move in? You'd committed to February 2025 but did not move in until May 2025. Why? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I will take that on notice. Based on the timeline that you have articulated in my media releases, if we were able to turn over two incredibly high-quality social homes between even October 2024 and May 2025 with tenants moving in—	I am advised: The Aboriginal Housing Office (AHO) is committed to delivering high-quality properties that are ready to be lived in. The date of occupation was adjusted due to the need to address cosmetic issues. As a pilot project, using new methods meant some adjustment was expected and the AHO was quick to respond to unforeseen issues. Improvements are expected in executing future projects, including the time taken to achieve occupation.
39	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: It has still taken seven months from construction starting to when the tenants were able to move in, not 20 weeks as the media release explained. The reason for the delay—were there additional works required to be undertaken? Were there any defects, for example, that had to be attended to in these particular projects? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Not that I'm aware of, but I'm happy to take that on notice. I have already indicated that I'm happy to take the questions in relation to the slight delay on notice.	I am advised: Some cosmetic issues were identified which did not meet the Aboriginal Housing Office's (AHO) standards and were addressed as quickly as possible. The AHO was also aware of potential cracking and took preventative measures, including factoring in the use of improved expansion and articulation joints. In future, performance metrics will be used to evaluate results and inform improvements in use of the technology on a continuing basis. Various environmental parameters will be monitored, using a suite of sensors to evaluate Indoor Environmental Quality.
40	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: You also claimed that the costs were going to be 10 per cent to 20 per cent less than. How much were the costs to complete these projects in entirety? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Again, I will take that on notice. That's not a figure I have.	I am advised: The cost was \$853,000 for the two homes.
41	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: Your media releases said \$815,000. I'd like to know whether that was the finalised cost, or were there any additional works that may or may not have required additional funding prior to the tenants moving in in May 2025? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Absolutely. I'm happy to take that on notice.	I am advised: \$853,000 for the two homes including variations. The cosmetic issues were rectified through a variation for rendering, however this helped to provide a more aesthetically pleasing finish on the homes.

42	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: It is \$445,000 according to realestate.com. That might also factor into the figures that you use are the 10 to 20 per cent less in terms of the construction costs. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I imagine that that is a sale price that you've referred to— The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: That's a median. It's a median, not average—absolutely. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: —not a cost of delivery price. Just to be clear, they are different figures. The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: I'm aware. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Again, I'll take that on notice.	I am advised: Based on the cost of \$853,000, each home would have cost 50% or \$426,500. This is estimated to be 10 or 20% cheaper, in comparison to conventional builds in the region.
43	The CHAIR: I appreciate your comment that you are willing to take a look at it. In particular, there is this provision to remove people from the working group. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Do you know whether that has happened, Dr Cohn? The CHAIR: That was going to be one of my questions. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I don't know whether that has happened. A) I'm happy to take on notice whether that's happened and, yes, if it has happened because people have spoken publicly or been critical or something along those lines that people convening the working group didn't appreciate, then I accept your point that, in that circumstance, that would seem problematic to me. I don't know whether that has occurred. If you don't, I can I take it on notice and provide you with information. The CHAIR: I don't, and certainly this has been brought to my attention by people with lived experience in the region who don't want to participate in this lived experience working group under these conditions. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Understood. It's good feedback to hear that. As I said, we will take on B) notice the detail here and any specific examples that we're able to ascertain and perhaps talk to you about how we can ensure that people who have lived experience, whose voices we want to hear, feel welcome as part of the working group.	I am advised: The Terms of Reference for the Albury Wodonga Regional Hospital Project Mental Health Lived and Living Experience Consumer Working Group were developed by Albury Wodonga Health and align with other codesign projects across NSW Health. The provisions of the Terms of Reference include requirements for confidentiality and prior authorisation for public comment, and the right to withdraw membership at discretion following fair consideration. I am advised these provisions support safe, accurate engagement, and are not intended to restrict lawful speech or participation. Albury Wodonga Health has advised that one invitation to participate in the Working Group has been withdrawn. I will consider the feedback of the member and work with districts to ensure these groups operate with best procedure on lived experience participation.

44	The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Previously, I understand, the site on level 1—the courtyard, the open space—could be overlooked by others. There are obviously privacy concerns and, to be honest, we don't want other health patients and consumers gawking at mental health consumers as they use their outdoor space. The decision to have the site on level 6 and the courtyard there so that it could not be overlooked was for that reason. That's just the advice I've received. I have to say, that did not refer to work that was done in 2021. It may have provided alternative options, so you'll have to let me take that element on notice. The CHAIR: I'd be delighted to provide you with a copy of the 2021 clinical services plan, if you are interested. In terms of the advice you're being given about what alternatives are, this is a redevelopment that has been significantly pared back—"value-managed"—by Health Infrastructure to fit within the funding envelope that was allocated by the previous Government; it actually wasn't by your Government. The challenge I would leave you with is—the question on notice—were all options considered for delivery of a standalone best practice mental health unit? Is it the value-management or the funding constraints that have resulted in a suboptimal solution on level 6? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: As I said, I will take that on notice. I'm not sure why those decisions were made and the basis for them, but I am happy to that on notice and try to provide you with some additional information.	I am advised: The new unit will provide an environment that is safe, comfortable, therapeutic and supports healing and recovery-oriented care. I am advised the location and design will enable the unit to be private and safe, allowing for discreet, non-public transfers of consumers and allow rapid response of the specialist team to other clinical areas in emergencies. Consultation with consumers, carers and clinical staff at Albury Wodonga Health is being undertaken and will continue to influence the design as the project progresses. I have not been advised these changes are being made because of funding envelopes.
45	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: That would be great, rather than going through each one. That's all I would like to know, just the whole figure, because it is— The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Sure. It's publicly available information, but that's fine.	I am advised: 2,192 people were counted sleeping rough across NSW during the 2025 NSW Street Count. - 851: Metro, including Wollongong and Newcastle. - 1,340: Regional, noting 650 of those are Northern Rivers.

46	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: The figures are different from the 2021 census. That's where I'm going with this, noting that the 2021 census was much lower than what Homeless NSW suggested were the actual figures. That's the point that I'm trying to ask you about, Minister. Let's move on to homelessness figures more broadly. Are you aware of the figures for veterans who are experiencing homelessness? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Again, I don't know that figure off the top of my head, but I am familiar with the fact that we do see an overrepresentation of veterans in homelessness. The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare estimates 5,800 veterans are homeless every year. This is not a disparagement in any way, but I'm sure that you would be able to tell me the figures for women and youth homelessness, if asked.	I am advised: Homes NSW does collect information on veterans through the SHS data collection. For the 2020/21 period, it was 0.4 per cent of clients presenting. This has remained stable up until 2023/24 at 0.4 per cent. Data for 2024/25 is not currently available.
47	The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: As in, do I have a figure in my head, without referencing notes, of how many women and children are experiencing homelessness? The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: Yes. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: No, I'd have to look at my notes for that.	I am advised: Streetcount data is not available to this level of detail.

48	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: To come back to veterans, Homelessness NSW has previously said that one in 10 people sleeping rough in New South Wales is a veteran. Is there any provision in the current budget for veterans specifically in this regard? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: The way that we manage homelessness services in the budget is through a number of different programs. We fund specialist homelessness services, which do provide dedicated and specialist care. We have a \$100 million Homelessness Innovation Fund. There are 70 projects that we've funded through that fund. I can't recall whether any of them are specific to veterans, but I'm happy to take that on notice. We generally try to operate a "no wrong door" policy in relation to homelessness, which means that the services that we fund meet any homelessness need in the community, so that we're not bouncing people between different doors. Having said that, we do recognise that there should be specialist service provision for priority cohorts, and so there are specific programs that are for some of those different cohorts within our funding. But as I said, I'd have to take on notice whether or not, for example, under the Homelessness Innovation Fund, we've had any specific propositions in relation to veterans	I am advised: Rent Choice Veterans has a budget of \$634,510 for 2025/26, currently supporting 48 clients with a three year subsidy for private rental. Calls answered on Veterans Link2Home for 2024/25 is 626. In 2024/25, \$756,268 was allocated to supporting Veterans through the Rent Choice Veterans program. RSL Life Care is contracted by Homes NSW to deliver this program. The contract has been extended until 30 June 2028.
----	---	---

49	A) The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: The way that we manage homelessness services in the budget is through a number of different programs. We fund specialist homelessness services, which do provide dedicated and specialist care. We have a \$100 million Homelessness Innovation Fund. There are 70 projects that we've funded through that fund. I can't recall whether any of them are specific to veterans, but I'm happy to take that on notice. We generally try to operate a "no wrong door" policy in relation to homelessness, which means that the services that we fund meet any homelessness need in the community, so that we're not bouncing people between different doors. Having said that, we do recognise that there should be specialist service provision for priority cohorts, and so there are specific programs that are for some of those different cohorts within our funding. But as I said, I'd have to take on notice whether or not, for example, under the Homelessness Innovation Fund, we've had any specific propositions in relation to veterans The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I don't know whether I have that information here. I may have to take that on notice. I don't have that information here. I'll have to take that on notice. B) The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: I believe media reports have suggested those rose \$400,000 to \$1.4 million, with a 40 per cent increase. You'll take on notice to confirm whether that's the case? Is that correct? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I don't know whether that's correct, but I will take it on notice to confirm that.	I am advised: There are no veteran-specific Homelessness Innovation Fund (HIF) projects. This year, Homes NSW confirmed funding for an additional three years for RSL LifeCare Ltd. to continue to deliver the Rent Choice Veterans Program. The total cost of the extension is \$427,048.83 (incl GST) for the period from 1 August 2025 until 31 July 2028. This brings the new total contract value since the start of the contract to \$976,974.52 (incl GST).
50	Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: How many staff do you have? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: In my ministerial office, excluding DLOs?	I am advised: Please refer to Supplementary Question 498.

51	A) The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: Yes. I suppose I'm getting to the point of the increase. Is that for just your ministerial office or would that increase apply to your staffing more broadly? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I don't know where that figure has come from, so I'm not sure of the component parts of it. I can try to provide information in relation to how many ministerial staff I have and how many DLOs are in the office. My understanding is that, in general, office budget figures are inclusive of staff costs and other costs attendant— B) The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: Salary on-costs as well? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Perhaps even stationery and other things. The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: Office costs too, yes. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: As I said, my understanding is that it is a broader category of costs that are captured in that figure but, without having the detail, I'll have to take it on notice	I am advised: Please refer to Supplementary Question 489. Information concerning Ministers' Office Budgets can be found in Section 4 of the Ministers Office Handbook. https://www.nsw.gov.au/sites/default/files/noin dex/2025-02/Ministers%20Office%20Handbook.pdf. Ministers Office Budget and Expenditure information is published in the Premier's Department's Annual Report.
52	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: I am happy to have that detail provided on notice. That would be helpful. Your motor vehicle costs are up as well. Are you using your private vehicle more for your duties as well? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I don't know that that motor vehicle cost captures my use of my private vehicle. The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: Again, that might be on notice as part of that detail. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Again, I don't know how that figure is captured, so I'll just take that on notice. But I don't think that includes any private vehicle costs. I've certainly never reported any, so I can't imagine how that would be captured.	I am advised: Information concerning the allocation of costs for Ministerial Vehicles and Drivers can be found in Section 6 of the Ministers Office Handbook. https://www.nsw.gov.au/sites/default/files/noin dex/2025-02/Ministers%20Office%20Handbook.pdf
53	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: Perhaps you'll need to take this on notice as well: Are you able to provide for confirmation the overall office expenditure increase? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: Yes, as I said, I'm happy to take those figures on notice.	I am advised: Please refer to Question on Notice 51.

54	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: I'm sure that you won't have this to hand, but perhaps you could take it on notice as well: a breakdown of those who did make the applications, if that's possible. The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: That's right. I don't have that to hand, but I am happy to provide that information.	I am advised: A breakdown of 2025 Round 1 Washing Machine Exchange Program approved applications is outlined in a table by concession type in the Attachment to this Answer.
55	The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: On 20 August 2023—nearly two years ago—you commenced a feasibility study. How has that study progressed and how much has it cost? The Hon. ROSE JACKSON: I'd have to take on notice the cost of the feasibility study. It has progressed. The feasibility study did a range of consultation and a range government agency engagement and consultation. It was part of surfacing some of those risks that I have just mentioned. Now that we have that, we are just exploring ways that we might be able to manage or mitigate those. As I said, I don't want to pretend that it is straightforward because when you have a site that is in any way linked to the drinking water network, you have to be extremely careful about managing that. WaterNSW, for example—with its assets elsewhere—manages extremely stringent special catchment provisions in relation to sites that provide Sydney drinking water, as they should be. So we do need to be mindful of that. That's part of what has been surfaced as part of the feasibility study. Nonetheless, we're creative and determined to find solutions that meet our goals—our goals being people in Western Sydney should have as much access as they can to beautiful, natural, free recreation and swim spots. We've been able to do that a little bit of that work at alternative sites and we're just still working through that at Prospect Reservoir.	I am advised: The Feasibility Study has cost \$2.1 million since work began in 2023, including staff time and stakeholder consultation, water quality modelling, options design and assessment. Excluding department time, the work has cost \$1.1 million.

56	The CHAIR: Welcome back, everyone. We will start this afternoon's session with questions from the crossbench and some of my own questions, which will be for the Mental Health Branch and other health witnesses, if that helps you organise your time. My first question is about medical agency commission costs. It might be for Mr D'Amato. I understand that in 2023-24, \$43.8 million was spent on commission fees to agencies for recruitment of locum doctors. Have you got that figure for 2024-25? ALFA D'AMATO: Give me a second and I'll pull up the figures. It sounds about right; it sounds correct. It takes a little bit of time for the system to upload, sorry. The CHAIR: No problem. I quoted the figure for 2023-24 and I'm after the figure for 2024-25. ALFA D'AMATO: For 2024-25 we haven't finalised the accounts yet. It will be probably another couple of months before the financial statement is finalised. I can only provide an estimate that is unaudited. The CHAIR: Sure, that's all right; I'd appreciate an estimate. I can move on and come back to you, if that would be helpful? ALFA D'AMATO: Yes.	I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided by Alfa D'Amato, Deputy Secretary, Financial and Corporate Service and Chief Financial Officer, NSW Health on transcript page 40.
57	The CHAIR: For prescribers who are currently already prescribing under the other designated prescriber scheme, will that be recognised or will those GPs need to complete training again? MURRAY WRIGHT: I think we should take that on notice because it is being run through the office of the Chief Health Officer and I wouldn't want to mislead you.	I am advised: This question is best directed to the Minister for Health.

58	The CHAIR: Is my understanding correct that GPs are being compensated for their time to undertake that training? MURRAY WRIGHT: That is my understanding. The CHAIR: You might be about to take this question on notice as well, but I am interested, with the GPs that have already put in their expressions of interest for the tier one training that is due to start in September, what is the balance of metropolitan and rural and regional GPs who will be participating in that first training? MURRAY WRIGHT: I know there's a sensitivity to that issue and that issue is represented in the working group which I'm a member of, but I couldn't tell you what the breakdown is. I can take that on notice.	I am advised: This question is best directed to the Minister for Health.
59	The CHAIR: I appreciate that answer on notice. My other question in relation to medication is about supply disruption for a number of common ADHD medications at the moment. I understand that is a nationwide issue, not a uniquely New South Wales issue. Is the department doing any work to support people who might have difficulty accessing ADHD medication? MURRAY WRIGHT: I think one of the issues is about substitution of the different medications, and that's certainly an issue that's important to address. That becomes important when you have a specialist initiating a prescription and then you have a continuation prescriber. That has been addressed in the working group; I can't tell you exactly where it's landed. But the issue of securing the supply, that's not something that I'm privy to. We can take that on notice.	I am advised: This question is best directed to the Minister for Health.

60	Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: To be clear, when you're saying that a lot more work needs to be done and there is still a lot of noncompliance, are you issuing stop work orders for those other big irrigators as soon as you find noncompliance? GRANT BARNES: Yes. Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: So every time they're told to stop and fix? GRANT BARNES: When we first encounter, what we need to do is assess whether or not the works are within scope for the regulations. Our second assessment is whether or not the works may be afforded an exemption. Once that calculation is made, we are then looking at the circumstances of the water user. You'll know that one of the reasons why the non-urban metering regs were reviewed was because of difficulty obtaining meters and difficulty accessing duly qualified persons. That was all taken into account a couple of years ago. Despite that, we have found numerous circumstances where water users have not satisfied us that they're taking the right steps and they have been served with directions. I will come back to you on record, but my recollection is I'm thinking 20 to 30 directions have been issued to works approval holders over the past 18 months.	I am advised: NRAR has issued 56 Directions in relation to metering matters over the last 18 months.
61	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: Can I talk with someone about The domestic and stock rights review: What we heard report. AMANDA JONES: You can speak to me about that. The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: Can you tell us where that currently stands? AMANDA JONES: It's been released; it's been published. The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: And publicly available? AMANDA JONES: Yes, that's correct. The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: When did that happen? AMANDA JONES: I think in the last week or so. I'd need to confirm the date, but I can do that.	I am advised: The Domestic and stock rights review – What We Heard Report was published on the Department's website on 14 August 2025.

62	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: Thank you for the clarification—I think. We also briefly mentioned the Murray Valley floodplain management plan that you said had commenced. AMANDA JONES: Apologies, I misheard you. I thought you said Murrumbidgee, and it's the Murrumbidgee plan that has been finalised and released. The Murray is in development. The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: In development? AMANDA JONES: And being consulted on. The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: Is there a time frame for that? AMANDA JONES: I can take that on notice and come back with the time frame.	I am advised: The Murray valley Floodplain Management Plan is being developed and is scheduled to commence 1 July 2026. Public exhibition of the draft plan is scheduled to occur within 2025.
63	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: How much is WaterNSW paying in interest each year? ANDREW GEORGE: I'll have to take the interest bill on notice.	I am advised: Please refer to page 69 of the transcript.
64	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: How much has the New South Wales Government spent on the non-urban metering reform rollout to date? AMANDA JONES: I can take on notice the question of how much the non-urban metering reform cost in terms of establishing what the reform should be. It's not a cost to government for the rollout of the reform.	I am advised: Please refer to Question on Notice Attachment 64.
65	The CHAIR: I have some questions for the Acting Mental Health Commissioner. If you could come up, Ms Dixon. While you're getting settled, I have a couple of quick ones to the Mental Health Branch relating to the virtual hub. Have you got any figures of how many calls that hub has been receiving per day, per week, per month, or however you're recording that? BRENDAN FLYNN: I do have some data, Dr Cohn. This data is as of 27 July, so it's a little old. But, up until then, for the western New South Wales hub there have been 1,686 referrals, and the Hunter New England hub, which is largely doing more work inside the district rather than serving other districts, was 588 consultations. That's now a little old and I am aware that, in the past month, since that data has been sent, we know the western New South Wales hub has gotten busier. We understand some new metropolitan services have come online and	I am advised: The Western NSW Virtual Psychiatry Hub has been operational 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, since 4 August 2025. From 3 February 2025 to 24 August 2025, the Hunter New England Virtual Psychiatry Hub completed 756 referrals, and the Western NSW Virtual Psychiatry Hub completed 2,642.

	also western New South Wales has started some 24/7 coverage. I'm not sure if that's seven days a week, but it certainly is doing some overnight coverage. The CHAIR: Could you take that detail on notice? BRENDAN FLYNN: Yes, absolutely.	
66	The CHAIR: You might not have this to hand, but have you got that retention figure for previous years—that figure of 79 per cent compared to previous? JULIE DIXON: I don't. I can take it on notice. I'll take it on notice and come back to you on that. I do have it somewhere.	I am advised: Please see attachment Question on Notice 66.

67	The CHAIR: Can you let us know who the populations are on your list? JULIE DIXON: I've got a list. It's 23—it's an extremely long list. Do you want me to read them all out? The CHAIR: If you take that question on notice, then you can provide it in writing. JULIE DIXON: Yes, I will. I'll take it on notice.	I am advised: There are 23 priority populations identified for consultation, including: - Carers, families and kinship groups - Children - Cross border communities - Defence force personnel and veterans - First Nations peoples - First responders - LGBTIQ+ people - Neurodivergent people - New parents (perinatal health) - Older people - People affected by eating disorders - People affected by socio-economic disadvantage, homelessness and housing stress - People affected by the justice or forensic mental health system - People affected by violence, abuse and neglect - People at risk of harm from alcohol and other drugs - People experiencing complex and enduring mental health issues - People from culturally and linguistically diverse communities - People impacted by harmful gambling - People impacted by physical health issues - People living in regional, rural and remote NSW - People with disability - Sole parents - Young people The consultation approach has included: The Have Your Say platform which included a survey and submission process available to anyone over the age of 18 years and any organisation. Respondents were encouraged to indicate their demographics, however this wasn't mandatory. Roundtable discussions and interviews were also held with organisations representing and advocating for the priority populations. This included organisations delivering programs and services, as well as academic institutions and colleges.
68	AMANDA JONES: What we have done is actually ask the Crown Solicitor to draft a guidance so that that could be made public. Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: What's the time frame on that, Ms Jones? AMANDA JONES: I can come back to you, but it's in the coming month or so.	I am advised: Guidance on giving effect to the duty in section 9 of the Water Management Act 2000 has been drafted by the Department. This will be reviewed by the Crown Solicitor's Office. That review is subject to professional privilege and will not be disclosed. A final copy of the guidance will be made public. There is no

		timeline on when that guidance will be finalised but the Department is aiming for 6-8 weeks.
69	The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Do you have the figure for VMOs? RICHARD GRIFFITHS: I do, if you bear with me. Locums, though, because they're specialist locums—they're psychiatrists—they're paid as VMOs on a day rate. I can get you the total number. The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Of those who had their contracts ended, did any of them have a new contract put in place or have they gone back into working in the public sector at all? RICHARD GRIFFITHS: Sorry, do you mean the staff specialists that have resigned? The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Yes. RICHARD GRIFFITHS: Out of the staff specialists that have resigned, we've had about 11 of them come and take up a locum role. Sorry, just bear with me, I've just got to find the number of— The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: I'm happy for you to take it on notice, if it's easier. RICHARD GRIFFITHS: I might need to. The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Yes, just a breakdown of the two. RICHARD GRIFFITHS: I can come back to it a little bit, afterwards, if you like—this afternoon.	I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided by Richard Griffiths, Acting Deputy Secretary, People, Culture and Governance, NSW Health on transcript page 41 & 63.
70	The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: In relation to the \$16 million that's been spent since it began, to what date was that? RICHARD GRIFFITHS: I might need to take that on notice as well, if that's okay.	I am advised: The \$16 million cost of VMO and locums is up to 30 June 2025.

71	A) The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Do you have that breakdown? Is it done by district or by SHS? REBECCA PINKSTONE: Yes, I can get that by district, absolutely. The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Are you able to do it by SHS or by suburb? B) REBECCA PINKSTONE: Let me take that on notice. The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: I'm interested in getting a breakdown— REBECCA PINKSTONE: If there's a trend— The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: —in whatever way it's captured that's easy, to get an indication of how many people have been turned away annually and maybe quarterly and also if it's captured by age group, and whether it's women and children or single adults, males, and that sort of stuff. C) REBECCA PINKSTONE: I think we could get it in the categories of the service delivery by the SHS, absolutely.	I am advised: A) Suburb data is unavailable as funding isn't allocated at this granular level. B) & C) Please see attachment: Question on Notice 71
72	A) The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: How much has been extended of that budget so far? REBECCA PINKSTONE: I can get that for you. B) The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Are all of those 70 listed on the website? REBECCA PINKSTONE: They should be. We use the SmartyGrants website to do that. Let me just check the HIF for you. The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: I'm happy for you to take it on notice, if it's easier.	I am advised: A) \$26,986,330.70 (incl. GST) has been provided to the sector through grants. B) Details of successful HIF proposals can be found at https://www.nsw.gov.au/grants-and-funding/service-reform-and-innovation-grant-hif/recipients for the Service Reform and Innovation Stream and at https://www.nsw.gov.au/grants-and-funding/reforming-temporary-accommodation-grant-hif/ recipients for the Reforming Temporary Accommodation Stream.
73	A) The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: In relation to the street sleeping register, have funds been allocated for that? REBECCA PINKSTONE: They have. We're currently just looking to finalise a tender to establish the register as well. The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: How much has been allocated for it? REBECCA PINKSTONE: I'll take that on notice for you. B) The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: That's fine. Also, the time frame for when you expect it to be up and running. REBECCA PINKSTONE: Absolutely, I can take	I am advised: \$1.5 million has been allocated in 2025/26 for development, implementation and operation of the Street Sleeper Register. The tender and contracting process is currently open.

	that for you. It's out to tender at the moment. I think it's about to close.	
74	REBECCA PINKSTONE: The entire temporary accommodation budget for last financial year was \$166,083,000. That is primarily commercial hotel or motel accommodation. The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Could you take on notice that breakdown? REBECCA PINKSTONE: Between supported temporary accommodation with an NGO versus—	I am advised: In 2024/25, Homes NSW funded supported temporary accommodation (TA) providers under contract to the value of \$15.7 million. A range of other supported TA services were paid on a fee-for-service basis, similar to commercial providers. The total funding spent on these services in 2024/25 is not readily available, as CIMS does not have a coding for 'supported' nor does it hold transactional data and it would require a line by line analysis of each TA assistance, however around 23% of total TA placements in 2024/25 took place in a supported TA service.
75	The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Commercial, yes. Also, do you have a separate breakdown of the annual funding for the last financial year for SHSs and the Homeless Youth Assistance Program? REBECCA PINKSTONE: Yes, I absolutely can.	I am advised: Individual contract and funding information for Specialist Homelessness Services and Homeless Youth Assistance Program contracts valued over \$150,000 is available on the NSW e-tendering website at https://buy.nsw.gov.au

76	The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Then, separate to that, any additional funding that might have gone towards pilot programs over the last two years. REBECCA PINKSTONE: For example, the street outreach programs and those types of things? The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Yes, REBECCA PINKSTONE: Absolutely I can.	I am advised: Sustaining Tenancies in Social Housing Services: 2023/24 - \$8,216,780 2024/25 - \$9,408,053 Reintegration Housing Support Program Services: 2023/24 - 0 2024/25 - \$2,584,376 Assertive Outreach (not including HOST or STEP B): 2023/24 - \$5,178,685 2024/25 - \$5,379,477 Universal Screening and Support: 2023/24 - \$1,294,315 2024/25 - \$1,291,061 Mental Health Housing In-Reach Service: 2023/24 - \$513,600 2024/25 - \$515,000
77	The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Do you have a breakdown of how many households were assisted in the last financial year? REBECCA PINKSTONE: In terms of new public housing? The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: From a homelessness perspective—so households. REBECCA PINKSTONE: No, I don't have the final data for this last financial year yet. The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Could you take that on notice?	I am advised: Of the 67,891 people assisted by Specialist Homelessness Services (SHS) in 2023/24, 38,615, or 57% indicated their living arrangement at presentation as a household. This includes clients who identified their living arrangement as one parent with children, a couple with children, a couple without children or other family. This information is available in the data tables published by the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare as part of the SHS annual report 2023/24; Table CLIENTS.10: Clients, by living arrangement, and by state and territory, 2023/24. Refer to link: https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/homelessness-services/specialist-homelessness-services-annual-report/contents/clients-services-and-outcomes

78	The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Touching on the out-of-home care space, "establishing mandatory arrangements to enable timely monitoring of children in out-of-home care who present at homelessness services", has any work been done around that? MICHAEL TIDBALL: If I can assist, Mrs Maclaren-Jones, I think my evidence touched on that the other day in the course of Minister Washington's estimates. As you may recall, the Ombudsman's inquiry required us to keep a dashboard, which has been developed, which is in place. The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Is that public yet? MICHAEL TIDBALL: It can be. The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: Yes, that'd be great. MICHAEL TIDBALL: I'm very happy to provide it—to table it—and to clarify that point.	I am advised: The Department of Communities and Justice (DCJ) has updated ChildStory child protection reports to identify children and young people presenting alone to Specialist Homelessness Services (SHS) or the Homeless Youth Assistance Program (HYAP). To support collaboration between DCJ and SHS/HYAP providers, DCJ has: - Appointed district contacts for coordination. - Included an escalation pathway in the revised HYAP policy for SHS providers to raise concerns about insufficient DCJ engagement. More details are available in the Unaccompanied Children and Young People 12–15 Years Accessing SHS Policy, which is available at: https://www.nsw.gov.au/departments-and-agencies/homes-nsw/social-housing-resources/shs-policy-unaccompanied-children-12-15 A dashboard titled "Unaccompanied Children and Young People in SHS" has also been developed, showing data reported to the DCJ Helpline and related child protection and out-of-home care interventions.
79	The Hon. NATASHA MACLAREN-JONES: In relation to the strategy, just one question. I think it's page 15. You refer to the SHARE model. What is that? It's based out of the UK. REBECCA PINKSTONE: I'll take that on notice and come back to you. There is a number of research reports that sat underneath that strategy.	I am advised: SHARE is a data platform to frame and assess preventing and ending homelessness, run by the UK Centre for Homelessness Impact. It allows you to weigh up your interventions, the cost and the benefit to the clients.
80	Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: Ms Jones, I just wanted to see if we could get any more clarity around the decision in terms of the environmental water freeze. At the beginning of the day, the legal advice was referred to—that legal advice needed to be sought because of environmental watering action or event. What was that? Have you found that out? AMANDA JONES: I haven't, so I'd need to find out what specific event, but it was instigated by CPHR of DCCEEW. Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: Sure, Ms Jones, but this is budget estimates, and it's a very significant event, something which the department is now looking at working up new regs to	I am advised: The decision to pause environmental watering was made by DCCEEW CPHR, and not the Water group. Water group will sometimes seek advice on the more complicated environmental watering events to confirm they are consistent with NSW legislation. A recent event that caused Water group to assess the technical aspects, was the proposed delivery of River Murray unregulated flows (RMUF) from Hume Dam to wetlands in unregulated water sources. Following on from this, NSW DEECW identified a risk that some environmental water deliveries may cause water to be taken from a water source not in accordance with the Act.

	incorporate. I'm sure the history is well known through the department in terms of what the environmental watering event was that triggered the need for legal advice. Again, it's still very opaque. AMANDA JONES: I'll take that on notice.	
81	Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: But the question is, does WaterNSW have an obligation to notify any Federal agency in relation to the World Heritage listed sites or a risk to them? ANDREW GEORGE: My understanding is no, but I'll take that on notice to confirm. I'm not aware that there was a requirement to notify a Federal agency.	I am advised: In the circumstances referenced, under the provisions of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth) (EPBC Act), WaterNSW does not have an obligation to notify a federal agency. The Environmental Protection Authority (EPA), National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS), NSW Health, Fire & Rescue NSW and other relevant NSW authorities were notified.
82	Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: Where is that flowing into when you say—is that Cascades and Lake Medlow? ANDREW GEORGE: Correct. That's right. They're in sequence. They spill down. Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: That's World Heritage, though, where they're spilling into. ANDREW GEORGE: No, I don't believe so. Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: That water with PFAS in it doesn't make its way to— ANDREW GEORGE: Eventually down into the Hawkesbury. Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: It doesn't make its way through the World Heritage— ANDREW GEORGE: I don't believe so, but I'm happy to take that on notice. It's on the northern side of the Blue Mountains.	I am advised: None of WaterNSW's Blue Mountains Dams, nor their spillways, are located within the boundary of the World Heritage National Park. Water that spills from the Greaves Creek and Cascade dams eventually flows into the Hawkesbury River, via Govetts Creek, the Grose River and the Nepean River. Govetts Creek and the Grose River pass through the Blue Mountains National Park and World Heritage Area.

83	The CHAIR: Coming back to the mental health branch, I'm continuing to follow up recommendations of the mental health inquiry that were supported by the Government. The next one I wanted to ask about was the framework for change regarding trauma-informed care in mental health services. I understand that previously health services were provided with a trauma-informed mental health care organisational self-assessment tool from the ACI. Since then, what have been the results of that? What has been the change that's occurred? BRENDAN FLYNN: I don't have an update and would need to take any evaluation on notice to come back to you around. Certainly it's a recommendation from the inquiry that was noted. We were comfortable. At previous estimates we might have discussed the intervention being very much around the ACI's framework for trauma-informed care and trying to ensure that all health practitioners, not just those in mental health, were attending to that, were becoming skilled in that and were practising that. I will need to take on notice both the existence and outcome of any evaluation around that framework.	I am advised: The Agency for Clinical Innovation (ACI) developed and released the resource Trauma-informed care for mental health services across NSW: A framework for change in 2022 and the Trauma-informed care mental health services: Organisational self-assessment tool in 2023. Formal evaluation of the framework and self-assessment tool have not been conducted.
84	The CHAIR: If I could come to recommendation 16, which was around data collection and sharing. At the time of the Government response to the inquiry, I think you were finalising some work for the AIHW to support sharing of aggregated State and national mental health data. BRENDAN FLYNN: Again, the branch within Health is not the data owner of mental health information, and we would need to ask the relevant part of NSW Health, which is inform, to come back with that information. But obviously, in preparation for today, I did note that, and I think we talked about AIHW data integration and also looking at some of the information sharing with CMOs. The CHAIR: Could I ask about the peer work framework?	I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided by Dr Brendan Flynn, Executive Director, Mental Health Branch, NSW Ministry of Health on transcript page 62.

85	BRENDAN FLYNN: We really try very hard to get to our target. Our target is 255.3 and the latest update is 239.6, which I think is a significant improvement, potentially, since we last reported. The CHAIR: Absolutely. There was also a question of award reform and the need for negotiation with the Health Services Union for peer workers specifically. BRENDAN FLYNN: Yes. The CHAIR: Is there an update on that front? BRENDAN FLYNN: I am really not in a position to update that. Award reform is not something that's within the remit of the Mental Health Branch, but I do know that there was some consultation, and that issue was raised by the HSU. We would probably need to take it on notice, unless Mr Griffiths felt able to respond to that now. Otherwise, we might need to take that one on notice.	I am advised: The Ministry of Health and the Health Services Union meet regularly to discuss award reform for health professionals and associated staff, including lived experience workers.
86	The CHAIR: Excellent. That's a great update. While I have you, Mr Griffiths—this is tangentially related to mental health. I asked Minister Park some questions about the safe staffing level rollout yesterday. Can you provide an update on the figures exactly for the hospitals that have been included so far of where the recruitment of nurses is up to? RICHARD GRIFFITHS: I might take that on notice if that's okay. We can provide you that update in the numbers, but I'll get some information and take it on notice.	I am advised: This question is best directed to the Minister for Health.
87	The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: With respect to the Aboriginal Housing Office and the repair of the 3,500 Aboriginal Housing Office homes, how is that program progressing at the moment? REBECCA PINKSTONE: In the last financial year we've been undertaking a number of programs. The major one was the transfer of properties from the Housing portfolio to the AHO and Aboriginal community housing providers. The maintenance expenditure, I can get for you for the AHO. I'll come back to that one for you. It's here somewhere.	I am advised: The Aboriginal Housing Office has spent \$59.3 million in the 2025 financial year to significantly upgrade 808 homes. In the 2026 financial year, 1,000 homes will be upgraded.

88	The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: Do you have any forward estimates for how many you're planning on delivering each year? REBECCA PINKSTONE: I can take that on notice for you. It's 90 and ramping up across the future years.	I am advised: The Aboriginal Housing Office (AHO) plan to deliver 16 projects using Modern Methods of Construction (MMC) in the 2025/26 financial year, and has yet to lock down MMC targets to be delivered by June 2027. AHO's new supply programs that use MMC average between 20-25% of total projects over the last number of years. AHO aims to continue this rate into the future.
89	The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: With respect to the secondary dwellings, how many properties—and you might want to take this on notice—under the possession of Homes NSW would have a secondary dwelling as part of it? of it? REBECCA PINKSTONE: I'll take that one on notice for you.	I am advised: The Homes NSW Housing Portfolio has a total of 13 secondary dwellings existing or underway - three are complete, with the remaining to be completed by year-end. Within the Teacher & Police Housing Portfolio, there are seven secondary dwellings in total. The Aboriginal Housing Office has 43 properties with a secondary dwelling. 10 more are planned to be built and completed this financial year.
90	The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: Fantastic. Thank you very much. What's the status of the development at 6-10 Southview Street, Bulli? REBECCA PINKSTONE: Is that in the media reports today? The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: I don't know of any media reports today. Maybe you can tell me about them. REBECCA PINKSTONE: I think I've seen one in the media today. We are planning a residential flat building in Bulli, a three-storey development. That's a construction of knocking down two older dwellings and constructing a new residential flat building in Bulli. The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: Is that site zoned for residential flat building at present? REBECCA PINKSTONE: I'll take that on notice for you.	I am advised: The site is zoned R2 Low Density Residential (R2) under the Wollongong Local Environmental Plan 2009. Residential Flat Buildings are currently permissible in the R2 zone.

91	A) The CHAIR: Mr Griffiths, I have more questions about safe staffing levels. I will argue that clinicians who are understaffed can't provide trauma-informed care, so this question is very relevant to mental health. My understanding is there are a couple of areas that are still under negotiation in terms of what safe staffing levels will look like; one of those is very small hospitals. Can you provide an update on the status of negotiations about peer group D hospitals? RICHARD GRIFFITHS: Again, I'll have to take that on notice, if that's okay. B) The CHAIR: That's all right. Can I add MPSs to your list of things to take on notice? RICHARD GRIFFITHS: Okay.	I am advised: This question is best directed to the Minister for Health.
92	The CHAIR: And are you able to provide an update on the review of Birthrate Plus? RICHARD GRIFFITHS: Again, I'll take that on notice for you, just to be sure that we provide the accurate response.	I am advised: This question is best directed to the Minister for Health.
93	The CHAIR: That's all right. That was very quick. That's the end of my questions for you. If I can come back to the mental health branch. I understand that there was a Federal budget initiative about a year ago to do with wraparound care for complex patients in primary care. That was previously referenced by the Government in terms of an opportunity for improving integration between primary care and mental health services, or embedding mental health care within primary care. Has there been any progress on that? BRENDAN FLYNN: I'm loath to say there's no progress. I'm probably needing a little bit more information around the program to identify it. Was it in the Federal budget? The CHAIR: Yes, it was in the Federal budget and it was referred to in the Government response to our inquiry. This is what I continue to refer to. At the time, it was a recent announcement, but it's now about a year old. It was \$71.7 million over four years. BRENDAN FLYNN: I think it's being delivered by Primary Health Networks, though, isn't it? The CHAIR: I haven't got that in front of me.	I am advised: Requests for information about progress of this budget initiative should be referred to the Australian Government.

94	Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: Is there somebody that can talk about the Safe and Secure Water Program funding? AMANDA JONES: Yes, I can. Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: I understand that some of that funding has had to be handed back by local water utilities. Is that correct? AMANDA JONES: No, that's not correct. Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: The information I've got in front of me is that Rous County Council is about to have to hand back half a million dollars of the Safe and Secure Water Program funding because of grant expiry timelines. Maybe they're overreacting. Is that what you're saying? AMANDA JONES: I'm not sure handing back is what they might need to do. I'm happy to take that particular instance on notice. Ms CATE FAEHRMANN: Thank you.	I am advised: Rous County Council received a total of \$2,009,400.00 in funding for the Rous Water Customers Water Supply Scheme (SSWP479). To date, they have achieved milestones worth \$1,445,170.00 which has been paid to Council. Under their funding deed, they have \$564,230.00 left to claim once milestones are completed. The project has experienced significant delays, extending its expected completion beyond the current Program term, which concludes on 30 June 2026. Council is aware that if they do not fully achieve all outstanding milestones by 30 June 2026, they will be ineligible for any outstanding payments. The Department remains actively engaged with Council to explore practical solutions and is committed to working collaboratively to support the project's timely and effective delivery.
95	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: Ms Jones, one more for you—Lake Centenary, Temora. Where and what is happening with that particular project as well? AMANDA JONES: I'm not aware of the project that you're referring to, so did you have any more information about it? The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: I don't. That's the only information I've been provided. AMANDA JONES: I'll take on notice then. But I can let you know that the Murray floodplain management plan will be exhibited from 29 September to 16 November.	I am advised: Lake Centenary is a man-made lake used for recreational purposes that was mistakenly identified as a prescribed wetland in the draft Lachlan unregulated water sharing plan. This has been rectified in the revised approach ensuring features that were not intended to be captured as 'prescribed wetlands' are excluded.
96	The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: In the exploration of modern methods of construction and the fact that you're testing some of these to ensure that we're getting efficiencies of scale and time, when it comes to the 3D printing—you talked about the 90 more properties that are planned for the modern methods of construction. What the number of the 3D-printed homes that are planned as part of that? REBECCA PINKSTONE: I don't have that with me. I'll have to come back to you. The Hon. NICHOLE OVERALL: That'd be great. If there's a schedule around that, I'd be very interested to know.	I am advised: Homes NSW is delivering homes using a range of Modern Methods of Construction techniques, including modular and prefabricated components. The 90 homes delivered will use prefabricated and modular components. There are no 3D printed homes in the 90 dwellings planned for FY26.

97	A) The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: With respect to the Waterloo South redevelopment, what's the progress of that development? REBECCA PINKSTONE: We've signed the contracts. As you're aware, we're in the stages of planning for that site. I can get you a detailed breakdown of planning milestones for Waterloo South. B) The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: That would be good. In that vicinity as well, are you aware that the common room in the current Waterloo South building is currently uninhabitable and that tenants have been left without communal space? C) REBECCA PINKSTONE: No, that hasn't been raised with me. I can follow-up on that.	I am advised: A) The first significant planning milestone is for the Renewal Partner to lodge the concept plan for approval. This is expected to occur in quarter 1 of 2026. B) There is one common room in Waterloo South. This room is called the Dobell Community Room, located at 250 Pitt Street. This room is for all tenants and must be booked through Homes NSW Housing Services. The common room is currently fully operational. There are also two common rooms in Waterloo North: the Turanga Community Room (1 Philip Street), and the Matawai Community Room (3 Philip Street). Both rooms have been refurbished and are fully operational. In addition, there is the Waterloo Neighbourhood Centre (95 Wellington Street), which is used six days per week by the community support services and tenants. The centre is fully operational.
98	The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: I have a question with respect to the Mandalay precinct activation. What is the estimated breakdown of the 380 dwellings between social, affordable, key worker and private housing? REBECCA PINKSTONE: Can you prompt me, Mr Farlow? Mandalay? The Hon. SCOTT FARLOW: Mandalay in Nowra. This is around the Nowra foreshore precinct. REBECCA PINKSTONE: I will have to take that one on notice.	I am advised: A minimum of 30% of new dwellings on Homes NSW land are currently proposed to be social and affordable housing, which equates to around 115 new social and affordable homes replacing the existing 25 dwellings. The remaining dwellings will be a mixture of key worker and market dwellings.