

Supplementary Questions – Minister Kamper

Questions from Hon Mark Latham MLC

Sport

Venues NSW - Gifts and Benefits, Travel and Culture

#	1
Question	Will the CEO now release the Venues 'Managing Gifts and Benefits Policy, given the evidence that too many of her staff are too close to ground hirers and the turf supplier, Evergreen, in particular?

Answer:

I am advised: Please see the response to Legislative Council Question on Notice 4140.

#	2
Question	How does the CEO respond to the critique that Venues NSW has developed a Good Time-Charlie culture, meaning that critical problems, such as the 3-year failure of the Allianz playing surface are ignored and covered up, in favour of the Board and senior management enjoying the ample hospitality and largesse opportunities this publicly funded body offers?

Answer:

I am advised: Staff at Venues NSW take their role as custodians of the state's network of sporting and entertainment venues seriously and take pride in delivering extraordinary live experiences and destinations for the people of NSW. Further, there has been no "3-year failure" of the playing surface at Allianz Stadium.

#	3
Question	How much did it cost Venues for its Chair Mr Gallop to visit London for the Lords Test, Australia vs South Africa in June, in (a) accommodation, (b) airfares and (c) other expenses?

Answer:

I am advised: Please see the response to Legislative Council Question on Notice 3984.

#	4
Question	What other overseas trips for Board members and staff has Venues NSW funded in the past three years? What are the details, including cost?

Answer:

I am advised: Details of overseas travel are published in the Venues NSW Annual Reports at www.venuesnsw.com.

#	5
Question	Since March 2023, which gifts (including free tickets and access to events) has Venues provided to (a) NSW MPs, (b) Federal MPs and (c) other personnel?

Answer:

I am advised: Venues NSW hosts a variety of stakeholders at events across their network including members of parliament and other personnel.

Venues NSW - Turf and Tendering Process

#	6
Question	Did you provide advice for the answer for Minister Kamper on the Notice Paper, Question 4044 (24 July 2025)?

Answer:

I am advised: Yes.

#	7
Question	Did you respond to Football Australia in a letter dated 22 April 2025, after Mr Johnson wrote to you outlining a series of critical safety concerns about the Allianz playing surface?

Answer:

I am advised: Yes.

#	8
Question	When did you first become aware as CEO of the drainage problems at Allianz and what did you do about it?

Answer:

I am advised: Drainage at Allianz Stadium first became an issue in 2024 and Venues NSW implemented a review.

#	9
Question	Of which occasions did you report to the Venues Board about the Allianz drainage problems, and on each occasion, what recommendations did you make?

Answer:

I am advised: The Board has been provided with ongoing updates on Allianz Stadium drainage since it was first identified as an issue.

Venues NSW - Board meetings

#	10
Question	How often does the Venues Board meet and what has been the average meeting duration over the past 18 months?

Answer:

I am advised: The Board meets every two months for as long as it takes to discuss the agenda items.

Venues NSW - Turf and Tendering Process

#	11
Question	Who conducted the most recent review of Allianz that has led to a decision to rip up the surface and drainage system and start again?

Answer:

I am advised: STRI Australia.

#	12
Question	What did the 2022 drainage system and surface/soil/sand/turf installation cost at Allianz prior to the opening of the ground?

Answer:

I am advised: The supply and installation of the drainage system, soil, and turf was included in the main works contract managed by John Holland Group, as the Principal Contractor, and not separately invoiced. All financial matters related to construction activities are considered commercial in confidence.

#	13
Question	Since the 2022 opening, how many times has the Allianz turf been replaced, in (a) part and (b) whole and what has been the cost of each of these replacement jobs?

Answer:

I am advised: Turf is replaced on an as-needed basis. Where replacement is a cost to Venues NSW, it is published in the Venues NSW Annual Reports at: www.venuesnsw.com.

#	14
Question	In each case in (13) above, which company undertook the turf replacement?

Answer:

I am advised: Evergreen.

#	15
Question	Given that the Evergreen turf farm at Hawkesbury repeatedly flooded in 2020, 201 and 2022, sometimes 5 metres under water, and huge amounts of silt settled on this product, why has Venues repeatedly accepted silt-contaminated turf from Evergreen, instead of dispensing with their services due to this professional negligence?

Answer:

I am advised: Flooding in 2020, 201 and 2022 did not result in huge amounts of silt settling on the turf.

#	16
Question	Given the severity of the Hawkesbury/Nepean floods, which Venues staff visited the Evergreen site to inspect the extent of the damage and gauge whether Evergreen could continue as a Venues turf supplier? What are the details?

Answer:

I am advised: Staff responsible for the delivery of turf across the Venues NSW network visit the turf farms on an as-needed basis.

#	17
Question	As CEO do you accept responsibility for the 3-year Allianz debacle? Have you offered your resignation to the Minister and how did he respond?

Answer:

I am advised: There has been no “3-year Allianz debacle”.

#	18
Question	If not you, who have you identified within the Venues organisation as responsible for the Allianz/Evergreen debacle? What have you done about them as CEO?

Q.18: I am advised: There has been no “Allianz/Evergreen debacle”.

#	19
Question	What correspondence did Venues NSW receive from the FIFA Women's World Cup organisers (FA) in 2022 concerning the failure of the Allianz turf (Evergreen Matrix) to comply with FIFA's requirements? How did Venues respond to this problem?

Answer:

I am advised: Venues NSW received email correspondence from the 2023 FIFA Women’s World Cup organisers on the Allianz Stadium turf and responded by delivering the most successful women’s world cup in history with Allianz Stadium hosting six matches and welcoming tens of thousands of fans from around the world.

#	20
Question	For each of the major hirers/sporting codes at Allianz and the SCG, who is the contact person dealing with feedback about the quality of the playing surface?

Answer:

I am advised: The roles and responsibilities of staff at major hirers/sporting codes of Allianz Stadium are a matter for those organisations.

#	21
Question	Since Allianz opened, which complaints have been received from the (a) Sydney Roosters and (b) NRL?

Answer:

I am advised: Venues NSW receives feedback on a wide range of issues from venue hirers and sporting codes including the Sydney Roosters and the NRL. All feedback is responded to appropriately.

#	22
Question	Is it accepted within Venues that the NRL rarely lodges any official complaints as Peter V'Landys is listed as a referee for Evergreen and is also a close personal friend with the Evergreen owner?

Answer:

I am advised: The number of complaints made by the NRL is a matter for the NRL.

#	23
Question	For each of the Contingent Turf Supply Tenders Venues has put out since 2021, who have been the companies that (a) lodged EOIs (b) reached the final stage of assessment, and in each case, who were the referees for these companies and who was on the Venues evaluation panel? In each case, what declarations of interest were made by the panel members?

Answer:

I am advised: All procurement undertaken by Venues NSW has been in accordance with the NSW Government's Procurement Framework. Details of the tenders are commercial-in-confidence.

#	24
Question	Does the CEO regard it as an acceptable standard for members of the Venues Tender Evaluation Panel to double up as referees for the tendering companies and not certify any conflict of interest? What action has she taken against this corrupt practice at Venues NSW?

Answer:

I am advised: All procurement undertaken by Venues NSW has been in accordance with the NSW Government's Procurement Framework.

#	25
Question	How many times since 2021 has Evergreen supplied turf products to Venues NSW directly without tender? What are the details for each time this has happened: that is, ground, product, cost and the Venues officer responsible for organising the product and works? In particular, how many times has (a) Johnny Naofal and (b) Adam Lewis been involved?

Answer:

I am advised: None.

#	26
Question	Who has won the tender for supplying the turf at the new Penrith Stadium?

Answer:

I am advised: The supply and installation of soil and turf for the Penrith Stadium project is included in the main works contract managed by John Holland Group, as the Design and Construct (D&C) Head Contractor.

Procurement for specific subcontracts, including turf, will be staged to align with the overall construction program and released to market at the appropriate time.

#	27
Question	How does Venues NSW manage the conflict of interest by which Peter V'landys (head of the NRL) acts as a referee for Evergreen in its tender applications (and he has a close personal and financial relationship with Evergreen's owner Graeme Colless) yet Mr V'landys also runs a major ground hiring sports code? Mr V'Landys is known to threaten Venues NSW with moving major rugby league games (such as the grand final) away from our State and obviously he would be hostile if Evergreen lost its monopoly of Venues' turf supplies, contracts and work. How is this conflict of interest and coercive power managed?

Answer:

I am advised: All procurement undertaken by Venues NSW has been in accordance with the NSW Government's Procurement Framework.

Questions from Dr Amanda Cohn MLC

Sport

Disability Inclusion Action Plan

#	28
Question	What is the implementation status of the Disability Inclusion Action Plan (2023-2026)?

Answer:

I am advised: The Disability Inclusion Action Plan is currently being implemented. Some actions have been completed; and some actions will take four years to complete.

#	29
Question	What actions have been taken under the plan since 2024?

Answer:

I am advised: In 2024/25, the following actions were delivered:

- disability sport forum;
- commencement of disability inclusion activities at sport and recreation camps;
- employment of disability interns; and
- consultation and implementation of the Office of Sport Workplace Adjustment Policy and Procedure.

#	30
Question	Will the plan be renewed beyond 2026?

Answer:

I am advised: The office will review the need during 2026 but currently expects to develop a new plan.

Organisation Support Program

#	31
Question	Under the Organisation Support Program, do any of the agreements reflect broader inclusion initiatives for people with disability or from multicultural backgrounds, and LGBTIQ+ people? (a) If so, can you provide a model agreement?

Answer:

I am advised: The Organisation Support Program aims to provide flexible financial support to build capacity, invest in governance processes, increase participation, including of underrepresented groups in sport at all levels, create and deliver sporting activities and competitions at all levels in NSW and support Office of Sport and NSW Government priorities.

Funded programs must align to one or more of six objectives, two of which are “increase the capability of the sector to create fair, safe and inclusive environments for everyone, including children” and “increase participation, including of under-represented groups (particularly the participation of women and girls).”

Program Guidelines are available on the Office’s website:

<https://www.sport.nsw.gov.au/sites/default/files/2024-10/Organisation-Support-Program-Guidelines-2024-25-2025-26.pdf>.

#	32
Question	96 applications were successful for the Organisation Support Program in 2024/25 - 2025/26. How many were rejected? (a) What was the criteria under which they were rejected? (b) Were any projects rejected that met eligibility and selection criteria? (c) How many projects were rejected on the basis that their application related to infrastructure or sport facilities, amenities and sporting fields?

Answer:

I am advised: No applications from recognised State Sporting Organisations or State Sporting Organisations for People with Disability under the Organisation Support Program in 2024/25 and 2025/26 were rejected.

To assist application success, the guidelines for the Organisation Support Program include examples of programs that meet each objective.

The Organisation Support Program is not designed to fund infrastructure projects. It aims to provide flexible financial support to build capacity, invest in governance processes, increase participation, including of underrepresented groups in sport at all levels, create and deliver sporting activities and competitions at all levels in NSW and support Office of Sport and NSW Government priorities.

Level the Playing Field

#	33
Question	26 of 212 applications were successful for Level the Playing field in 2023/24. (a) What was the rationale(s) for which the remaining 186 were rejected? (b) How many projects were rejected that met eligibility and selection criteria?

Answer:

I am advised: Thirty (30) of 212 applications were awarded funding under Level the Playing field Program 2023/24. Of the 212 applications received, 182 were not awarded as follows:

- Four (4) applications were deemed ineligible;
- Ninety-five (95) eligible applications were excluded from consideration under the approved assessment methodology due to low score on key merit criteria; and
- Eighty-three (83) applications remained in contention for funding. Of these 13 applications were placed on a reserve list.

#	34
Question	The Government committed \$30 million to the Program in 2023-24 and spent \$28,601.881. (a) Why was the remaining \$1,398,119 not expended? (b) Where were these funds diverted?

Answer:

I am advised: \$750,000 (2.5%) was set aside for grant administration, in line with NSW Treasury agreement.

Remaining unallocated funding was committed for the activation of reserve list projects.

#	35
Question	Has construction commenced for all successful applications?

Answer:

I am advised: All projects are on track to their current funding agreement or approved variation agreement, with the exception of one project that has recently submitted a variation request.

#	36
Question	Have any projects been completed? (a) If so, what outputs and outcomes have been reported since completion of any projects?

Answer:

I am advised: Three projects have been completed. Outputs and outcomes are outlined below:

Outputs

- Installation of 100 lux LED lighting, compliant with national standards.
- Upgrade to 500 lux floodlighting for match-level visibility.
- Installation of subsurface drainage systems to improve field quality and usability.

Outcomes

- Participation & Inclusion: Increased access and safer environments for women and girls, encouraging greater participation in sport and recreation.
- Community & Social Impact: Enhanced community use of facilities, fostering social connection, wellbeing, and inclusive events.
- Performance & Safety: Improved playing surfaces and lighting reduce injury risk and support athlete performance.
- Economic & Environmental Benefits: Lower operating costs, energy efficiency, and potential for hosting events that boost local economies.

- Cultural Change: Promotes equity, confidence, and long-term engagement for women and girls in sport, both on and off the field.
- Outcomes for these projects will be confirmed in the program evaluation which is yet to be finalised.

#	37
Question	On what basis did the Minister use their discretionary power to award funding for Hockey Coffs Coast Inc?

Answer:

I am advised: The project was on the approved reserve list. As Minister, I exercised my discretionary power to award funding for Hockey Coffs Coast Inc. based on the project's regional significance, urgent need, value for money and strong strategic alignment.

Questions from Ms Sue Higginson MLC

Lands and Property

Coffs Harbour Jetty Foreshore

#	38
Question	A recent GIPA has revealed that PDNSW have spent over \$17m on the Coffs Harbour Jetty Foreshores Revitalisation Project, despite a Coffs Harbour City Council media release in 2020 stating that “The NSW Government has committed an initial \$20m to the revitalisation and activation of the Coffs Harbour Jetty Foreshore Precinct. Of that, \$5m has been allocated to a new community building on the site of the TS Vendetta Building, while the remaining \$15m has been allocated to the design and construction of essential services including water, power, sewer, stormwater and telecommunications infrastructure within the precinct.” Why, to date, has there been no construction of any services as outlined above? (a) Why has the \$15m budgeted for infrastructure not been used for that purpose? (b) Can you provide a breakdown of expenditure on the planning proposal versus the expenditure on actual infrastructure, given that the community building is not part of this \$15m? (c) Given that the City of Coffs Harbour Council have advised that the new community building has been capitalised at under \$5m, can you explain why the community building is being allocated a cost of \$9.6m?

Answer:

I am advised: The \$20 million budget was allocated for the delivery of Council infrastructure (the Community Building and the purpose-built structure for the relocated South Solitary Island Lighthouse Optic), strategic land acquisition, infrastructure assessment and planning and delivery of a precinct planning proposal - rezoning of land uses is required before the design and construction of major works for essential services. At the time of the GIPA request in May, \$17 million had been incurred on these items, including \$9.6 million attributed to the design and construction of the Community Building.

Upon completion of the community building, an allocation of the cost was made based on a percentage of the total project expenditure, which was determined to be \$9.6m.

The value attributed by the City of Coffs Harbour Council is a matter for the Council.

#	39
Question	Budget papers show that the \$20m was allocated to the Jetty Foreshore precinct in the 2020/21 NSW Budget Papers. This allocation was shown in all subsequent budget papers, except for NSW Budget papers for 2022/23. Why was it not shown in that particular financial year?

Answer:

I am advised: Questions relating to the NSW Budget papers should be referred to the Treasurer.

#	40
Question	The parking and traffic study undertaken for the Jetty Foreshore Project in January 2022 was done during a period where the country was still emerging from COVID, and tourism numbers were still affected by this. Why was this particular period selected, given parking and traffic studies done at a later stage when the country had emerged from covid would have been more reliable? (a) The parking and traffic study undertaken in January 2022 fails to identify the dates data was actually collected. This should be compulsory in any study. Can you please advise the exact dates that the data was collected?

Answer:

I am advised: The timing of the parking and traffic study, undertaken on Sunday 16 January 2022 and Tuesday 18 January 2022, aligned with the agreed scope of works.

#	41
Question	Muttonbird Island has been shown as part of the Coffs Harbour Jetty Foreshore Precinct since the beginning of PDNSW's project and was also shown as part of the precinct in its application to the State Significant Rezoning Evaluation Panel. Muttonbird Island is one of the most significant Aboriginal sites in the area and is also a huge tourist drawcard. What is the reason that Muttonbird Island has been removed from the precinct in the Planning Proposal?

Answer:

I am advised: Muttonbird Island, Crown land managed by NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service, has not been removed from the precinct. From a technical planning perspective, it has no proposed LEP amendments.

Crown Land Protection

#	42
Question	Since 28 August 2024, have you taken any specific actions to assist Crown Lands in the goal of protecting 30 per cent of Commonwealth land and water by 2030?

Answer:

I am advised: In the 2024-25 reporting period, which includes the period since 28 August 2024, examples of how the Crown estate has contributed to the protection of land and water include:

- adding approximately 37,700 hectares of Crown land to the National Park estate;
- providing funding of approximately \$4 million for conservation initiatives and pest and weed control on Crown land; and
- conserving more of the estate within biodiversity conservation agreements, bringing the total up to 33 sites covering over 169,000 hectares for conservation in perpetuity.

There are currently around 840 reserves with a purpose of environmental protection, covering approximately 113,000 hectares.

Land Audit

#	43
Question	Is it still government policy, as stated in the NSW Social Housing Accelerator Implementation Plan published in September 2023, that a “minimum target of 30% social and affordable housing for developments on surplus government land” applies to land identified through the Property Audit for Housing audit? (a) If so, does this apply to surplus government land sold to the private market?

	(b) If so, is the intention for 30% of all dwellings built on surplus government land, or 30% of all floor space of surplus government land, to be social and affordable housing? (c) If not, when and why did this policy change? i. On what basis was this change made? (d) To date, how many properties have been audited? (e) To date, how many properties are yet to be audited? (f) To date, what is the total square metreage of all properties that have been audited? (g) Of the properties that have been audited, how many: i. Currently have habitable dwellings on them? ii. Have been identified as suitable for housing and sold to Homes NSW? iii. Have been identified as suitable for housing and sold on the private market? iv. Have been identified as suitable for housing and sold to another government entity that is not Homes NSW? v. Have been identified as suitable for housing and are currently for sale on the private market? vi. Have been identified as not suitable for housing and have been sold or are on the market for sale? vii. Are located in areas impacted by the changes to the Housing SEPP to facilitate: 1. Transit oriented development; and 2. Diverse and well-located housing?
--	--

Answer:

I am advised: The NSW Government's focus is on delivering up to 377,000 new well-located homes across the State by 2029, with up to 30,000 new homes being delivered on surplus government land.

The NSW Government anticipates that 30 per cent of the 30,000 homes to be delivered will be social or affordable.

Individual sites will be subject to local planning controls following the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.

As at 13 August 2025, the Property Audit had assessed over 23,000 lots.

The audit will continue to operate for the duration it has funding approval to do so. This is currently to 30 June 2026.

Data regarding the total square metreage of all properties that have been audited is not centrally collated for all properties assessed through the Property Audit.

Data regarding properties that have been audited and currently have habitable dwellings on them is not centrally collated by the Property Audit team.

As at 20 August 2025, 18 sites have been transferred or are undergoing due diligence by Homes NSW, Landcom and PDNSW. These sites include a mix of social and affordable housing from 100 per cent to 10 per cent.

A total of 32 lots have been sold via public auction across 10 sites.

Data regarding properties identified as suitable for housing and which are currently for sale on the private market, and properties identified as not suitable for housing, and which have been sold or are on the market for sale is information that is commercial in confidence.

Data regarding properties that are located in areas impacted by changes to the Housing SEPP is not collated centrally by the Property Audit team.

#	44
Question	What is the median square metreage of all properties that have been audited?

Answer:

I am advised: This data is not centrally collated by the Property Audit team.

#	45
Question	Are any caveats imposed on the sale to private entities of properties identified through this audit requiring their use as housing? (a) If so, do these include any requirements specifically for public or social housing? i. If so, what are these requirements?

Answer:

I am advised: Properties identified through the Government land audit are sold either via auction or tender to the private market. Properties sold by public auction, do not generally include requirements to deliver housing beyond the requirements of the Local Government Authority and the requirements of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*.

For properties sold via tender, tenderers are assessed against 'Housing Delivery' criteria, which includes the proposed housing mix in their concept design. The Contract of Sale imposes the following conditions on the purchaser:

- to lodge a development application consistent with that design, usually within 9 months from exchange of contracts to ensure that the purchaser does not landbank the property; and
- achieve substantial commencement of the property within 24 months from the date of settlement, to ensure the timely delivery of housing in NSW.

#	46
Question	How many audited properties sold on the private market had habitable dwellings on them?

Answer:

I am advised: The following properties identified through the Government Property Audit have been sold on the private market and contain habitable dwellings:

- 125 Showground Road, Castle Hill (1 dwelling); and
- 6, 8 & 10 Lilyfield Road, Rozelle (3 dwellings).

#	47
Question	As of today's date, what is the total value of proceeds from the sale on the private market of properties identified through the land audit? (a) What government department(s) has received the proceeds of these sales? Where more than one government department(s) has received the proceeds of sales, please specify the value received. (b) What is the total value of proceeds from the sale on the private market of properties identified through the land audit that Homes NSW has received?

Answer:

I am advised: As of 29 August 2025, 18 properties identified through the Government Property Audit have been sold, realising proceeds of approximately \$46.3 million.

Homes NSW is funded via the NSW Government Budget process.

This question was also partially answered in the hearing by Ms Fishburn. Please refer to Page 24 of the hearing transcript.

#	48
Question	What is the methodology for: (a) Prioritising the order in which sites are subject to a desktop evaluation? (b) Evaluating whether a property is suitable for housing?

Answer:

I am advised: All sites which are surplus to the landowning agency's requirements are assessed through the land audit pathway as outlined in the Government Property Framework.

Additional priority areas for review are endorsed by the Land Audit Working Group and have been focused on areas of planning reform (e.g. TOD precincts, Low Mid Rise Housing areas) and priority locations as advised by Homes NSW and Landcom.

#	49
Question	In relation to the ongoing audit of public land for the purposes of identifying "surplus" land suitable for use as housing: (a) How many properties, by local government area, have been assessed through the audit? (b) How many properties, by local government area, have been deemed suitable for use as housing? (c) How many properties, by local government area, have been deemed unsuitable for use as housing but appropriate for other infrastructure (e.g. schools, health facilities)? i. Please specify these other uses.

Answer:

I am advised: Total number of lots reviewed by LGA is detailed below. Decisions and data relating to the audit are internal to government and released in accordance with established processes. Relevant information will be made publicly available through official media releases or via actual property transactions as they occur.

LGA	Lots reviewed	LGA	Lots reviewed
Armidale Regional	7	Lane Cove	88
Asquith	3	Lismore	1,139
Ballina	2	Liverpool	132
Bayside	462	Maitland	29
Bega Valley	6	Mid-Coast	5
Bellingen	7	Mid-Western Regional	4
Blacktown	713	Monaro	1
Bland	2	Moree Plains	1
Blue Mountains	13	Mosman	67
Bourke	1	Murrumbidgee	3
Broken Hill	2	Muswellbrook	1
Burwood	141	Nambucca Valley	4
Byron	2	Narrandera	6
Cabonne	1	Newcastle	291
Camden	99	North Sydney	422
Campbelltown	412	Northern Beaches	549
Canada Bay	480	Orange	4
Canterbury-Bankstown	6,378	Penrith	319
Central Coast	210	Port Stephens	1
Cessnock	4	Queanbeyan-Palerang Regional	1
City Of Parramatta	285	Randwick	256
Clarence Valley	5	Richmond Valley	4
Cobar	10	Ryde	584
Coffs Harbour	7	Shellharbour	2
Coonamble	1	Shoalhaven	23
Cowra	2	Snowy Monaro	3
Cumberland	509	Snowy Valleys	3
Dubbo Regional	7	Strathfield	230
Fairfield	414	Sutherland Shire	938
Federation	1	Sydney	3,152
Georges River	516	Tamworth Regional	4
Gosford	9	Tenterfield	4
Goulburn Mulwaree	7	The Hills Shire	319
Greater Hume Shire	3	Tweed	5
Gunnedah Shire	2	Unincorporated - Sydney	6
Gwydir	5	Harbour Area	
Hawkesbury	1	Upper Hunter	5
Hilltops	1	Wagga Wagga	1
Hornsby	333	Wahroonga	1

Hunters Hill	49	Waitara	14
Inner West	1,224	Walgett	2
Inverell	3	Waverley	55
Kempsey	1	Weddin	1
Kiama	6	Willoughby	271
Ku-Ring-Gai	178	Wollondilly Shire	2
Lachlan	2	Wollongong	377
Lake Macquarie	117	Woollahra	154

#	50
Question	How far is the audit from completion in terms of: (a) Months/weeks? (b) The number of properties yet to be assessed?

Answer:

I am advised: The audit is an ongoing government process. The NSW Government's focus is on delivering up to 377,000 new well-located homes across the State by 2029, with up to 30,000 new homes being delivered on surplus government land.

#	51
Question	How many full time equivalent staff within the Department of Lands and Property or other relevant government agency have been allocated to the land audit since its commencement?

Answer:

I am advised: Staff numbers are included in the annual reports of Department(s)/ Agency(s).

Sport**Sport Facilities Per Electorate**

#	52
Question	For sports facilities within the Newtown electorate: (a) What was the total funding allocation for FY24-25; i. In which funding stream(/s) was this allocated? ii. What is the breakdown of funding allocation by funding stream?

Answer:

I am advised: Information relating to grants administered by the Office of Sport is available in the agency's Annual Report.

Published Annual reports can be accessed on the Office of Sport website.

#	53
Question	For sports fields and courts within the Newtown electorate: (a) What was the total funding allocation for FY24-25? i. In which funding stream(/s) was this allocated; ii. What is the breakdown of funding allocation by funding stream?

Answer:

I am advised: Information relating to grants administered by the Office of Sport is available in the agency's Annual Report.

Published Annual reports can be accessed on the Office of Sport website.

#	54
Question	For sports facilities within the Ballina electorate: (a) What was the total funding allocation for FY24-25; i. In which funding stream(/s) was this allocated? ii. What is the breakdown of funding allocation by funding stream?

Answer:

I am advised: Information relating to grants administered by the Office of Sport is available in the agency's Annual Report.

Published Annual reports can be accessed on the Office of Sport website.

#	55
Question	For sports fields and courts within the Ballina electorate: (a) What was the total funding allocation for FY24-25? i. In which funding stream(/s) was this allocated;

	ii. What is the breakdown of funding allocation by funding stream?
--	--

Answer:

I am advised: Information relating to grants administered by the Office of Sport is available in the agency's Annual Report.

Published Annual reports can be accessed on the Office of Sport website.

#	56
Question	For sports facilities within the Balmain electorate: (a) What was the total funding allocation for FY24-25; i. In which funding stream(/s) was this allocated? ii. What is the breakdown of funding allocation by funding stream?

Answer:

I am advised: Information relating to grants administered by the Office of Sport is available in the agency's Annual Report.

Published Annual reports can be accessed on the Office of Sport website.

#	57
Question	For sports fields and courts within the Balmain electorate: (a) What was the total funding allocation for FY24-25? i. In which funding stream(/s) was this allocated; ii. What is the breakdown of funding allocation by funding stream?

Answer:

I am advised: Information relating to grants administered by the Office of Sport is available in the agency's Annual Report.

Published Annual reports can be accessed on the Office of Sport website.

Questions from Ms Abigal Boyd MLC

Multiculturalism portfolio

#	58
Question	As Minister for Multiculturalism, have you met with the Minister for the Prevention of Domestic Violence to discuss the barriers that women from migrant and refugee backgrounds face when accessing domestic and family violence response and prevention?

Answer:

I am advised: I meet regularly with all of my fellow Ministerial colleagues to discuss various issues relevant to my portfolios.

#	59
Question	DCJ currently does not include women on temporary visas in all targets and reporting regarding domestic and family violence. Has Multicultural NSW advocated for improved data collection to better understand the need, length of stay, outcomes, cost and turn away rates for women on temporary visas?

Answer:

I am advised: Questions relating to DCJ programs and associated data collection are a matter for the Minister for the Prevention of Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault.

Questions from the Opposition

Lands and Property

Delivery Progress from the Land Audit

#	60
Question	Please provide an updated list of all sites publicly announced to be used for housing selected from the land audit, including address, current holder of the land and the anticipated number of homes.

Answer:

I am advised:

Address	Owner	Estimated Dwelling Yield
Clothing Store Precinct, North Eveleigh	Transport Asset Manager	500
301 Samantha Riley Drive, Kellyville	Sydney Water	290
72, 84 & 86 Menangle Road, Camden	NSW Health	190
WestConnex Dive Site, Parramatta Road, Camperdown	Transport for NSW	577
2A-4 Edwin Flack Avenue, Sydney Olympic Park	Sydney Olympic Park Authority	190
100-128 Palmer Street, Woolloomooloo	Transport for NSW	36
309C, 311 & 311A Forest Road, Hurstville	Transport Asset Manager	393
342 Fullerton Street, Stockton	Department of Communities and Justice	842
164 Talavera Road, Marsfield	Planning Ministerial Corporation	6
5-17 Clavering Road, Seaforth	Planning Ministerial Corporation	7
92-98 West Botany Street, Arncliffe	Transport for NSW	4
28-30 West Botany Street, Arncliffe	Transport for NSW	16
11-13 West Botany Street, Arncliffe	Transport for NSW	19
6 - 10 & 100 Pembroke Road, Minto	Planning Ministerial Corporation	7
451 & 633 Alfords Point Road & Old Illawarra Road, Menai	Planning Ministerial Corporation; Transport for NSW	20
Campbell Street, Riverstone	Planning Ministerial Corporation	34
20 Nelson Road, Box Hill	Planning Ministerial Corporation	71
Cnr Parramatta Road and Alt Street, Haberfield	Transport for NSW	225
27 Mavis Street, Rooty Hill	Transport for NSW	194
Jardine Drive, Edmonson Park	Planning Ministerial Corporation	52

Site 4B, Reserve Road, St Leonards	Property and Development NSW	448
25 & 35 Cameron Street, Broadmeadow	Transport Asset Manager	208
117 Sparks Road, 680 & 680A Bruce Crescent, Wallarah	Planning Ministerial Corporation	145
6-10 Lilyfield Road, Rozelle	Transport for NSW	3
1-9 Cardigan Street, Stanmore	Transport for NSW	5
607 Pacific Highway, Chatswood (Dive Site)	Sydney Metro	902
16-18 Bayview Avenue, Earlwood	Planning Ministerial Corporation	3
52 McLaren Street, North Sydney	Sydney Metro	172
1334 & 1340 Pacific Highway, Turramurra	NSW Health; Transport for NSW	2
33-37 Regent Street, Chippendale	Property and Development NSW	21
595-597 Warringah Road, Frenchs Forest	Transport for NSW	3
418,422, 424 & 426 The Horsley Drive, Fairfield	Transport for NSW	24
Windsor Road & Annangrove Road, Rouse Hill	Transport for NSW	10
129-133 Sale Street, Orange	NSW Health	15
832-842 Windsor Road, Rouse Hill	Planning Ministerial Corporation	691
870 Windsor Road, Rouse Hill	Planning Ministerial Corporation	176
56-58 Richards Road, Wakeley, 60A Box Road, Wakeley & 479 Smithfield Road, Prairiewood	Planning Ministerial Corporation	35
Cnr Wisdom Lane & Palmer Street, Darlinghurst	Transport for NSW	21
Stanley Street, Darlinghurst	Transport for NSW	11
255 Mann Street & 134 Faunce Street, Gosford	Property and Development NSW; Transport for NSW	154
110 Louisiana Road, Hamlyn Terrace	Department of Communities and Justice	14
125 Showground Road, Castle Hill	Transport for NSW	6
33 & 49-55 Bedford Road and Dunstable Road, Blacktown	Transport for NSW	22
22 Angophora Drive and 496 Maitland Road, Warabrook	Transport for NSW	35
266 Victoria Road and 26 Kissing Point Road, Parramatta (Rydalmere)	Property and Development NSW	2,300

#	61
Question	What is the expected timeline from site identification to completion of housing for land audit sites?

Answer:

I am advised: Timelines vary based on site factors.

#	62
Question	Has the Government conducted valuations for all sites identified in the audit? (a) If not, why not?

Answer:

I am advised: The Government Property Audit includes indicative market advice on each site. Formal valuations are completed to support transactions or transfers of sites that have been fully assessed under the Government Property Audit and are endorsed by the Government Property Advisory Committee. These valuations are completed contemporaneously with the execution of transaction documents, to ensure the advice is current and compliant with Treasury policy.

#	63
Question	How is the valuation of sites conducted for interagency transfers, for instance to Homes NSW or Landcom?

Answer:

I am advised: The Government Property Framework establishes that transfers of properties between NSW Government agencies are to be for cash at current market value as determined by Value NSW.

#	64
Question	How many sites as a result of the land audit have been transferred to Homes NSW, Landcom or any other Government agency or State-owned corporation?

Answer:

I am advised: As at 20 August 2025, 18 sites have been transferred or are undergoing due diligence by Homes NSW, Landcom and PDNSW. These sites include a mix of social and affordable housing from 100 per cent to 10 per cent.

#	65
Question	What is the value of transactions between Government agencies?

Answer:

I am advised: Individual transaction values are a matter for landowning and acquiring agencies.

#	66
Question	How is that funded?

Answer:

I am advised: Government agencies are generally funded through the budget process.

#	67
Question	Minister, how many of the promised 21,000 affordable and market homes have been delivered to date under the Building Homes for NSW program?

Answer:

I am advised: The NSW Government's Building Homes for NSW program will help deliver up to 30,000 homes on government sites, including 21,000 affordable and market homes, across our state using well-located empty and unused surplus land, that is close to infrastructure and transport, and with amenities and work opportunities.

The Building Homes Program will also deliver affordable rental homes for key workers so they can live where they work and be a part of the communities that rely on them.

As at 16 September 2025, the land audit, which is just one of the avenues delivering sites for housing under the Building Homes program, continues to identify sites not being used by Government that are suitable for housing, and has so far delivered sites capable of delivering over 9,000 homes.

#	68
Question	Of those delivered, how many are classified as: (a) Affordable rental homes for key workers? (b) Private market homes sold or leased at market rates?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 67.

#	69
Question	How many of the 21,000 homes have commenced construction?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 67.

#	70
Question	How many of the 21,000 homes have been approved for development?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 67.

#	71
Question	How many of these homes are being delivered on government-owned surplus or underutilised land?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 67.

#	72
Question	How many of the affordable homes have been made available for key workers in proximity to hospitals, schools, or emergency services?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 67.

#	73
Question	What is the expected breakdown of affordable vs market homes in each region of NSW?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 67.

Land Audit Sales at auction

#	74
Question	Minister, you've sold land at Arncliffe, Cringila, Earlwood, Frenchs Forest, Marsfield, Minto, Moree, Orange, Queanbeyan West, Rozelle, Seaforth, Stanmore, Taminda, West Wyalong and Wingham, how much has have you yielded from these sales? (Reference https://www.nsw.gov.au/departments-and-agencies/property-and-development-nsw/property-listings)

Answer:

I am advised: Sites at Cringila, Moree, Orange, Queanbeyan West, Taminda, West Wyalong and Wingham are not part of the Building Homes for NSW's result of \$41.56 million.

#	75
Question	Minister, you have sites from Arncliffe, Chatswood, Corowa, Douglas Park, Leppington, Rouse Hill, Seaforth and Tahmoor up for sale, what are you doing with the proceeds of these sales?

Answer:

I am advised: The NSW Government made the largest investment in housing in the state's history.

Proceeds of sales from properties under the land audit are accounted for in the NSW Budget and contribute to the cost of delivering the largest investment in housing in the state's history.

#	76
Question	Minister, how many more sites do the Government intend to sell as a result of the land audit? (a) Do you have any targets?

Answer:

I am advised: Of the sites the land audit has identified as not being used by the government and which are suitable for housing, Homes NSW and Landcom will have the first choice of these sites to deliver social, affordable and market housing.

There are no set targets in relation to number of sites to be sold in relation to the land audit. In some cases, land will be transferred between Government agencies rather than sold to the private sector with the over-arching goal being the Building Homes for NSW's goal to increase housing supply across NSW.

#	77
Question	Minister, how many parcels of land identified in the Government's land audit have been sold at public auction since the audit commenced?

Answer:

I am advised: A total of 32 lots have been sold via public auction across 18 properties.

#	78
Question	Can you provide a list of these properties, including their locations, sizes, and the auction dates? (a) What was the total sale price achieved for each parcel, and what is the combined proceeds total from these land sales? (b) Who were the purchasers of these parcels — were they private individuals, developers, or institutional investors? (c) Were any of these parcels purchased by foreign entities, and if so, how many? (d) What was the sale price of the properties?

	(e) Who were the purchasers of the properties? (f) What were the transaction costs of the properties?
--	--

Answer:

I am advised: Sales included properties in the following suburbs: Arncliffe, Earlwood, Marsfield, Seaforth, Castle Hill, Minto, Stanmore, Fairfield, Frenchs Forest, and Rozelle.

The areas of properties sold ranged from between 177m² to 3,395m², with a total area of 20,313m², and an average size of 1,129m².

Sale prices ranged from \$1.62 million to \$5.30 million, with a total sales figure of \$46.3 million, and an average sale price of \$2.58 million.

Thirteen (13) sites were sold on 2 April 2025, with one (1) on 15 April 2025, one (1) on 3 May 2025, two (2) on 12 June 2025, and one (1) on 26 August 2025.

Purchaser types included both developers and private individuals.

There were no foreign entity purchases among the sixteen (16) sites transacted by PDNSW. Two sites were transacted by Transport for NSW.

The transaction costs for the sixteen (16) sites transacted by PDNSW ranged from between \$22,212 to \$77,997, with a total of \$609,559, and an average transaction cost of \$28,097.

Land Audit ROI Process and Response Handling

#	79
Question	Minister, at last Estimates you confirmed PDNSW received 85 expressions of interest across all 22 ROI sites. How many of those 85 responses have been progressed? (a) How many proponents have been shortlisted for further negotiation or commercial engagement? (b) How many of the 22 ROI sites have been sold at auction?

Answer:

I am advised: Noting that the Registration of Interest process was a market sounding process, and not a binding sale process:

- All ROI respondents can participate in the transaction process for any property of their choice.

- As of 20 August, 6 of the original 22 sites have been sold by public auction to the private market, noting that Clavering Road, Seaforth, while listed as one location was sold as 7 separate lots.

#	80
Question	If it is clearly not progressing – Minister, the Registrations of Interest process closed on 14 December 2024, with it being 9 months since this process closed – why is it progressing so slowly?

Answer:

I am advised: All of the original 22 properties will be marketed through a public process where the general public has the opportunity to purchase the properties. The properties included in the ROI process were not intended to be exclusively sold to the ROI respondents, it was a market sounding process. With their permission, ROI respondent details have been or will be shared with the marketing agents for each individual property as they are placed on the market for sale.

#	81
Question	Can you confirm whether any of the 85 respondents have been invited to submit formal proposals beyond the initial ROI phase?

Answer:

I am advised: The process was a Registration of Interest for information gathering purposes only, not a formal marketing campaign for the properties. PDNSW has no obligation to offer the properties exclusively to the ROI respondents. Each site is subject to a market-wide process where the public, including the 85 respondents, can participate in the process and purchase the sites.

#	82
Question	What specific assessment criteria were used to evaluate the 85 submissions?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided to Supplementary Question 81.

#	83
Question	How many responses were deemed viable by PDNSW, and how many were ruled out entirely?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided to Supplementary Question 81.

#	84
Question	Minister, you have put out expressions of interest for sites at Prairiewood, totalling 23,505.4 m2, these closed on 23 April, when do you expect this transaction to be finalised?

Answer:

I am advised: Subject to an acceptable proposal being identified through tender evaluation, it is anticipated that exchange on the property will occur by Q4 2025.

#	85
Question	Minister, you have put out expressions of interest for a 20,777m2 site at Rouse Hill, expressions of interest closed on 23 April, when do you expect this transaction to be finalised?

Answer:

I am advised: Subject to an acceptable proposal being identified through tender evaluation, it is anticipated that exchange on the property will occur by Q4 2025.

#	86
Question	Minister, you have put out expressions of interest for a 3,301m2 site at St Leonards, expressions of interest closed on 22 May, when do you expect this transaction to be finalised?

Answer:

I am advised: Subject to an acceptable proposal being identified through tender evaluation, it is anticipated that exchange on the property will occur by Q2 2026.

#	87
Question	Minister, you have put out expressions of interest for a site of 116,952m2 in Wallarah closing on 23 April 2025, when do you expect this transaction to be finalised?

Answer:

I am advised: Subject to arriving at an acceptable negotiated position with shortlisted proponents, it is anticipated that exchange on the property will occur by Q4 2025.

#	88
Question	Minister, is there any caveat for social or affordable housing on these sites?

Answer:

I am advised: Respondents are required to deliver social and affordable housing in line with the planning provisions applicable to each site. For the site at St Leonards, respondents are required to submit a proposal that contains 15% affordable housing, to be provided as key worker housing supporting the adjoining Royal North Shore Hospital.

Respondents may choose to submit a proposal that includes increased social or affordable housing which will be considered within the assessment criteria established for each transaction.

#	89
Question	Minister, doesn't this fly in the face of the policy that you went to the election with that "Any properties built on surplus government land will be subject to Labor's mandatory requirement for 30 per cent of dwellings to be used for social, affordable, and universal housing."

Answer:

I am advised: The NSW Government's focus is on delivering up to 377,000 new well-located homes across the State by 2029, with up to 30,000 new homes being delivered on surplus government land.

The NSW Government anticipates that 30 per cent of the 30,000 homes to be delivered will be social or affordable.

Individual sites will be subject to local planning controls following the requirements of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*.

#	90
Question	Why did you not build on these sites Minister? Why weren't these sites used by Landcom or Homes NSW?

Answer:

I am advised: Questions relating to the responsibilities of Homes NSW should be referred to the Minister for Housing.

Questions relating to the responsibilities of Landcom should be referred to the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces.

Land Audit Site Status and Accountability

#	91
Question	Of the 22 sites included in the ROI campaign, how many have been matched to a preferred proponent?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 79.

#	92
Question	Can you table a current status report showing which sites are under negotiation, which have stalled, and which are inactive?

Answer:

I am advised: Transactions in progress are commercial in confidence.

Of the original 22 sites:

- Six (6) sites were sold to private market;
- Six (6) sites are mid transaction and pending;
- Four (4) sites are yet to commence marketing;
- Four (4) sites are to be divested by TfNSW;
- One (1) site is to be divested by Hunter Water; and
- One (1) site is to be retained by TfNSW for operational purposes relating to the M6 motorway

#	93
Question	Has PDNSW signed a single development agreement for any ROI site since the campaign closed in December 2024?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 79.

#	94
Question	Will you provide the public with a delivery schedule—by site—showing projected timeframes for DA lodgement, approval, and construction?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 67.

Application Criteria & Assessment

#	95
Question	What are the specific criteria PDNSW will use to assess “credibility, capability, and capacity” of applicants, and will these be publicly available before applications open?

Answer:

I am advised: Assuming this question relates to the Pre-sale Finance Guarantee program, this matter should be referred to the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces.

#	96
Question	How will PDNSW determine which projects qualify as low- to medium-density residential developments under this scheme?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 95.

#	97
Question	What safeguards will be in place to ensure the guarantee is only provided to financially viable projects that genuinely need it to proceed?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 95.

#	98
Question	How will PDNSW verify that developers start construction within six months, as required, and what penalties will apply if they fail to do so?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 95.

#	99
Question	How will PDNSW ensure that projects supported under the scheme deliver diverse housing types, including affordable and family-friendly apartments, rather than solely high-end developments?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 95.

Program Start Date & Readiness

#	100
Question	When will the Pre-sale Finance Guarantee be open for applications?

Answer:

I am advised: Questions relating to the Pre-sale Finance Guarantee should be referred to the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces.

#	101
Question	Approximately how long will it take for applications to be assessed?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 100.

#	102
Question	Will funding under the Pre-sale Finance Guarantee be released in rounds? How will it be structured?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 100.

#	103
Question	When will operational guidelines and assessment frameworks will be finalised?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 100.

#	104
Question	What engagement has taken place with industry to ensure developers understand the application requirements before the scheme goes live?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 100.

Valuer General Pricing to Local Government Final Report

#	105
Question	Minister, IPART has set the final maximum price for land valuations at \$7.93 per assessment, after extensive consultation—how does this compare to the pricing assumptions used in the Government’s budget planning?

Answer:

I am advised: The draft determination made by IPART was known to Government prior to the finalisation of the budget and was factored into budget planning. It is worth noting that IPART only determines the pricing of rating and taxing valuation services provided to Councils by Value NSW on behalf of the Valuer General, with the service declared as a monopoly service under section 4 of the *Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal Act 1992*. Other services undertaken by Value NSW are not regulated by IPART.

#	106
Question	What adjustments will now be required to Government agency and council budgets following IPART’s decision to set prices 16% lower than originally forecast?

Answer:

I am advised: Whilst the State budget was finalised prior to the IPART determination, the draft determination, which is not substantially different, was utilised in the formation of expected allocation or revenue and expenditure. As such, no material adjustments are required. Council budgets are a matter for councils.

#	107
Question	Minister, when did you first become aware that IPART was considering a price significantly lower than what had been proposed?

Answer:

I am advised: In its draft report, IPART indicated a lower price per valuation compared to what was proposed by the Valuer General.

#	108
Question	Does this final determination alter the Government's forecasted revenue or expenditure figures associated with Crown land valuations?

Answer:

I am advised: Crown land valuations do not form part of the Valuer General's regulated service defined in Supplementary Question 105.

#	109
Question	Will IPART's final determination have any implications for the timing or scope of the Government's land audit program, or the handover of surplus land to Homes NSW?

Answer:

I am advised: No. These programs are not part of the Valuer General's regulated service defined in Supplementary Question 105.

#	110
Question	What role did your department play in reviewing or contributing to the development of the pricing submission to IPART?

Answer:

I am advised: The Valuer General and Value NSW drafted a joint proposal to IPART for consideration. I understand that senior staff from Value NSW met regularly with IPART and provided responses to information requests as needed. Following IPART's release of its draft report, the Valuer General and Value NSW provided a submission responding to IPART's findings providing further information supporting the need for an increase in pricing. The Valuer General and Chief Executive Officer of Value NSW also attended and presented evidence for consideration at the IPART public hearing.

#	111
Question	Did the Minns Labor Government undertake any internal assessment of the impact of IPART's draft and final pricing determinations on councils, housing delivery timeframes, or Crown land development?

Answer:

I am advised: Value NSW was prepared for a range of possible outcomes from the IPART determination. The IPART determination is not related to crown land development or housing delivery timeframes, it only relates to prices the Valuer General can charge to deliver regulated services defined in Question 105. Councils are responsible for managing their own budgets accordingly and were invited by IPART to provide a submission through the process.

#	112
Question	What interagency coordination occurred between your department, Treasury, and the Department of Planning to align valuation pricing with broader housing targets and land release goals?

Answer:

I am advised: Valuation pricing determined by IPART is not related to housing targets or land release goals.

#	113
Question	Was Homes NSW, or any other housing delivery agency, consulted on the implications of valuation pricing—given their reliance on accurate and timely land information to deliver social and affordable housing?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 112.

#	114
Question	Minister, can you confirm whether valuation pricing assumptions formed part of the calculations for infrastructure contributions, rezoning feasibility, or land audit implementation?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 112.

#	115
Question	How is the Government ensuring that the Valuer General's office is sufficiently resourced to meet the expected volume of valuations at this lower maximum price?

Answer:

I am advised: Value NSW has successfully transitioned around half of the valuations across NSW to be completed in-house, which has created significant efficiencies in both cost and time. To ensure Value NSW can continue to operate at a high standard, in line with IPART's determination, it will transition more of the state's valuations to be delivered in-house from March 2026.

#	116
Question	IPART's final report identifies the benefits of setting a single maximum price across all councils—does the Government support the move toward greater consistency in cost recovery for valuation services?

Answer:

I am advised: This is a matter for IPART as the independent regulator.

#	117
Question	Minister, do you agree that greater transparency in the cost components of valuation services would support better long-term planning and collaboration with local government?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 116.

#	118
Question	Will the reduced price per valuation affect the funding available for valuation-related services used to support Government land disposals and housing projects?

Answer:

I am advised: Valuation services used to support Government land disposals and housing projects do not form part of the Valuer General's regulated service defined in Supplementary Question 105.

#	119
Question	IPART's report references feedback from stakeholders—including industry and local government—that called for stronger incentives for efficiency and quality—how is the Government responding to this?

Answer:

I am advised: The Government, through Value NSW, has been actively delivering service improvement and quality efficiencies.

As noted in IPART's report, VNSW has implemented key changes, including workforce restructuring, transition to in-house valuation delivery, reviewing core processes and improving public education and customer experience.

The in-house valuation model has already delivered over \$2.2 million in savings in FY2025, including:

- \$1.7 million in rating and taxing efficiencies; and
- \$540,000 in compensation determination costs, with VNSW costing \$10,430 less per determination on average than those delivered by contractors.

Further savings have been achieved through the in-house objections team:

- A Q1 sample of 20 objections showed in-house delivery cost one-third of contractor quotes, saving between \$44,000-\$66,000; and
- A Q2 sample of 14 objections delivered \$82,900 in savings.

In addition to cost benefit, the in-house model has proven more efficient, with faster turnaround times, specifically:

- compensation determinations completed 14 days faster;
- objections resolved 8 days faster; and
- supplementary valuations delivered 4 days faster.

Importantly, these gains have not come at the expense of quality. In fact, VNSW has achieved a 100% compliance rate on core statistical quality assurance measures (compared to 98.6% for contractors).

These reforms demonstrate the Government's commitment to delivering a valuation system that is faster, more cost-effective, and of higher quality, directly responding to stakeholder calls for stronger performance incentives.

#	120
Question	Does the Government intend to review or refresh the pricing framework for land valuation services, in light of IPART's determination?

Answer:

I am advised: The pricing framework is determined independently by IPART and has been set for four years. I understand that the Valuer General with the support of Value NSW is implementing strategies to ensure alignment with the determined price envelope without compromise to relevant requirements defined by the *Valuation of Land Act 1916*.

#	121
Question	Stakeholders raised concerns that the previous pricing framework lacked incentives to innovate—does the Government support a review of the underlying service delivery model for land valuations?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 119.

#	122
Question	Given the importance of valuations in land use planning and housing delivery, what oversight mechanisms are in place to ensure pricing settings remain sustainable and fit-for-purpose into the future?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 120.

#	123
Question	Has the Government undertaken any benchmarking with other jurisdictions to assess whether NSW's land valuation pricing remains competitive and efficient?

Answer:

I am advised: The IPART has reviewed costs of valuation services across other jurisdictions and set the efficient cost of NSW valuation services in their 2025 determination.

It is noted that analysis undertaken to date shows that jurisdictions operate differently and key price indicators – including labour, scale and valuation type - vary significantly so a comparative assessment would not be accurate.

#	124
Question	Will PDNSW publish the list of approved projects, the level of guarantee provided to each, and the delivery status over time?

Answer:

I am advised: Assuming this question relates to the Pre-sale Finance Guarantee program, this matter should be referred to the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces.

#	125
Question	What is the total expected number of projects PDNSW will underwrite in the first year, and how will these be prioritised?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 124.

#	126
Question	How will the Government report on the value of guarantees issued, the number of dwellings supported, and the geographic spread of projects?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 124.

#	127
Question	What risk assessment framework is PDNSW using to ensure taxpayers are not exposed to excessive financial losses?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 124.

#	128
Question	Has PDNSW modelled the likelihood of the Government having to purchase completed dwellings under the scheme, and at what cost?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 124.

Proposed Sale of Warabrook Road Reserve Land

#	129
Question	Minister, why is the Minns Labor Government proceeding with the sale of public land at Warabrook that was previously reserved for the Wallsend to Mayfield arterial corridor?

Answer:

I am advised: Questions relating to this matter should be referred to the Minister for Transport.

#	130
Question	Can you confirm whether any transport modelling has been conducted to assess the long-term need for this land in light of population growth in western Newcastle and the completion of the Inner City Bypass?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 129.

#	131
Question	What engagement has occurred with Newcastle City Council before including this land in the Government's "surplus" public land disposal list?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 129.

#	132
Question	Why is the Government ignoring Council's formal request to pause the sale until its strategic road reserve review is complete?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 129.

#	133
Question	Has your department costed the future upgrades that would be required to Maud Street and surrounding roads if this land is sold and the arterial route permanently abandoned?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 129.

#	134
Question	Minister, do you accept the argument made by both Newcastle's Lord Mayor and Deputy Lord Mayor that selling this land now is "short-sighted" and compromises the city's long-term infrastructure planning?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 129.

#	135
Question	Will you table any documentation or briefings from Transport for NSW justifying the claim that this land is no longer required for future road development?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 129.

#	136
Question	Given the Government is calling for urban densification, how do you justify reducing future road corridor capacity in a growing regional centre like Newcastle?

	(137) What is the estimated value of the parcels at 22 Angophora Drive and
--	--

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 129.

#	137
Question	What is the estimated value of the parcels at 22 Angophora Drive and 496 Maitland Road, and what portion of that will be reinvested in Newcastle's transport infrastructure?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 129.

#	138
Question	Will you commit to halting the sale of this land until a full and independent infrastructure needs assessment has been conducted in consultation with local Briefing Note: Proposed Sale of Public Land at Warabrook, Newcastle

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 129.

Carnarvon Golf Course cemetery proposal

#	139
Question	Coleman Park and Carnarvon Golf Course is a 350-metre-wide strip of green space surrounded on all sides by housing, less than 500 metres from the Berala Station TOD where the Government plans to deliver 9,200 new homes. They are heavily utilised sporting fields contributing to the finite green space for recreation in the geographical heart of Sydney. Do these attributes make the site less favourable for a new cemetery?

Answer:

I am advised: No. Coleman Park sporting fields are not being considered as part of Metropolitan Memorial Parks' proposal and will remain as passive and active recreation spaces.

Metropolitan Memorial Parks advises that a new modern memorial park at Carnarvon could unlock more accessible green space for a broad and diverse range of users in the community.

Metropolitan Memorial Parks further advises that modern memorial parks are designed as parklands with lawns, landscaping, lakes, burial sites and monuments integrated sympathetically into the landscape to provide privacy for mourners. They also contain community spaces, walking paths, spaces for gatherings as well as reflective spaces and cafés.

#	140
Question	What assessment of flood risk has been done in assessing the Carnarvon Golf Course site's suitability for a new cemetery?

Answer:

I am advised by Metropolitan Memorial Parks that:

- Based on local council flood mapping and the Land IQ tool, Carnarvon Golf Course has limited overland flooding risk, which is localised to specific areas;
- The site has some areas identified as subject to flooding during extreme weather events, which is not dissimilar to conditions at other existing Crown land cemetery sites managed by Metropolitan Memorial Parks; and
- Good site design strategies and landscaping are used to mitigate and manage residual risks.

Moonee Beach Pedestrian Bridge

#	141
Question	With regards to the Moonee Beach Pedestrian Bridge, \$500,000 is being allocated for the design and planning of this bridge. What is the breakdown of the costs involved?

Answer:

I am advised: The \$500,000 total is allocated amongst the following costs:

- Planning: \$200,000 excl GST, which includes the following:
 - Investigations - bushfire, acid sulphate soils, flood, biodiversity, Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARS) liaison and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) development/ Development Application (DA) management/Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit process;
- Design: \$100,000 excl GST, which includes the following:
 - Investigations (survey, Geotech, hydrology and hydraulic assessments)/ Concept design/ Detailed design/ Issue for Construction Design;
- Project Management: \$170,000 excl GST, which includes the following:
 - Project management/Design management/ Head contractor management/ Disbursements; and
- Contingency: \$30,000 excl GST

CRIF Strategic emergency grants

#	142
Question	In budget estimates in February, via supplementary questions we were advised that the details for CRIF Strategic Emergency Grants were being finalised and would be published on the Crown Lands website. Did that occur and where can these be found?

Answer:

I am advised: Details on grants administered in FY24/25 through the CRIF program are available on the Government's Grant Finder website (including the CRIF General Round and the Crown Land Project Support Program), in accordance with the NSW Government Grant Administration Guide.

#	143
Question	How many applications did the Department receive for CRIF Strategic emergency grants?

Answer:

I am advised: In FY24/25, 11 Crown Land Managers were invited to apply for funding under the Crown Land Project Support Program.

#	144
Question	What is the dollar value of CRIF Strategic emergency grants executed by the Crown Lands for 2024-25?

Answer:

I am advised: \$2,772,200 in grants was granted under the Crown Land Project Support Program. Details are available on the NSW Grant Finder website.

Crown Land Priority Services Renewal Program

#	145
Question	The Government's 2025-26 budget papers refer to a Crown Land Priority Services Renewal Program delivered in the previous budget year including a comprehensive asset management system. Has this system gone live?

Answer:

I am advised: Yes. Crown Lands has developed and implemented information technology improvements creating a spatial asset register. These improvements have contributed to Crown Lands' asset management maturity rising to 84.5% in 2025.

#	146
Question	Is the system for internal Departmental use or for Crown land managers as well?

Answer:

I am advised: The current system is for Departmental use and covers Departmental controlled and managed assets.

Crown Reserve Improvement Fund

#	147
Question	Minister, in Budget Estimates in February, you advised that the intention for the 2024-25 round of Crown Reserve Improvement Fund grants was to focus on reserves with the most visitors. Is that why eligibility criteria for that round of CRIF funding included heavily weighted visitation criteria and a minimum application amount of \$100,000 which could not consist of multiple projects?

Answer:

I am advised: Yes.

#	148
Question	Of the 98 successful applicants, how many received grants for projects over \$100,000 dollars?

Answer:

I am advised: Pest and weed specific projects had a \$500 minimum amount. Seventy-nine (79) of the 98 total projects funded via the annual funding round were from the pest and weed streams.

#	149
Question	In budget estimates in February, you said around \$10 million dollars in CRIF grants would be offered and \$4.5 million will be reserved for strategic emergency grants. Was the \$4.5 million dollars in addition to the \$10 million?

Q.X: I am advised: In 2024/25, CRIF funding delivered \$14.3 million through 116 grants to maintain and improve Crown reserves:

- Ninety-eight (98) grants worth \$11,183,932 were funded through the competitive annual CRIF funding round
- Ten (10) grants worth \$2,772,200 were funded through closed ad hoc grants via the Crown Lands Project Support Program

- Eight (8) grants worth \$354,775 were funded through emergency funding under Department delegation.

#	150
Question	Ultimately the Government executed \$11,183,932 in CRIF grants. Was money taken away from CRIF Strategic Emergency grants to cover this 12 per cent discrepancy?

Answer:

I am advised: No. The \$10 million for the FY24/25 open funding round grants was the original forecast. The amount available for grants programs can change depending on the amount of income the CRIF receives over the course of the year. In FY24/25, this meant that more funds could be directed to the open funding round grants than originally forecast.

#	151
Question	Did you put out a media release this year announcing the outcomes of the CRIF 2024-2025 funding round?

Answer:

I am advised: Yes.

#	152
Question	Did you put out a media release last year announcing the outcomes of the CRIF 2023-2024 funding round?

Answer:

I am advised: Yes.

#	153
Question	The 2023-24 round of CRIF funding received 609 applications, and in 2024-25 only 297 applications. Is this due to more restrictive eligibility criteria?

Answer:

I am advised: Applications are open to all who meet the criteria. Groups seeking grant funding can investigate other options available on the NSW Government's Grants and Funding website at <https://www.nsw.gov.au/grants-and-funding>.

#	154
Question	Are you aware of any smaller communities who have humbler needs and would have applied for grant funding for projects under \$100,000 if they were eligible?

Answer:

I am advised: Yes.

Please refer to the response provided in Supplementary Question 153.

#	155
Question	In supplementary questions for February Budget Estimates, there's mention of the Illabo Showground land manager who is seeking \$40,000 to provide security for equipment and protect it from the elements. What grant funding options are available for this type of project?

Answer:

I am advised: Details for the 2025-26 CRIF grant program will be published on the website once finalised. In addition to CRIF, Illabo Showground could explore other grant opportunities not administered by Crown Lands.

#	156
Question	Will the 2025-2026 round of CRIF grants cater to projects of this value?

Answer:

I am advised: The FY2025-26 CRIF grants program is being finalised.

Multiculturalism

Compact

#	157
Question	How much funding is specifically allocated in the 2025-26 Budget to run COMPACT programs and achieve its goals? (a) Of the \$12 million in funding distributed by COMPACT between 2021-2024, can you please provide a breakdown of each project that received funding and the specific amount they received? (b) How many times has the Minister met with the COMPACT alliance since his appointment? Does he attend every quarterly meeting?

Answer:

I am advised: Funding distributed to COMPACT program partners is contained in Multicultural NSW's Annual Reports. Details of each project, including outcomes achieved, are contained in the publicly available independent COMPACT evaluation, found on the Multicultural NSW website.

Meetings are recorded in the Minister's Diary Disclosures, which are published on The Cabinet Office website: <https://www.nsw.gov.au/departments-and-agencies/cabinet-office/access-to-information/ministers-diary-disclosures>.

NSW Settlement Advisory Council

#	158
Question	Regarding the NSW Settlement Advisory Council: (a) How many staff from MNSW will be required to assist in the operations of the council? (b) Does the Minister co-approve the appointments of members of the council by the MNSW CEO?

Answer:

I am advised: One Multicultural NSW staff member will support the Council operations, within existing budget.

The Multicultural NSW CEO approves the appointments of members.

NSW Multicultural Centre for Women's and Family Safety

#	159
Question	Regarding the NSW Multicultural Centre for Women's and Family Safety (Adira Centre): (a) Is the \$4.4 million allocated for the establishment of the centre provided over 4 years, one-off or recurrent annually? (b) How many support staff are currently employed at the centre? (c) What are the annual staffing costs for the centre? (d) Are there plans to open similar facilities outside of South-Western Sydney, in regional or rural NSW?

Answer:

I am advised: Questions relating to the NSW Multicultural Centre for Women's and Family Safety (Adira Centre) should be referred to the Minister for the Prevention of Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault.

MNSW Annual Report 2023-24

#	160
Question	Regarding the 2023-24 MNSW Annual Report: (a) When will a new permanent chairperson be announced for the MNSW Advisory Board? (b) Regarding the Language services provided by MNSW, pg. 33 of the annual report notes that new agreements were formed between MNSW language services and a number of agencies, including Icare and Revenue NSW. Is MNSW looking to expand the number of agreements with other agencies? i. Who are they? ii. When will these occur? iii. What is the financial nature of the agreements, i.e. what is the cost of the translation services to other departments? iv. Pg. 35 of the annual report notes that a partnership was signed with Macquarie University regarding the inclusion of AI translation tech in MNSW's services. What does the agreement cover? v. Is there a financial cost for MNSW to facilitate this agreement? vi. What kind of AI technologies is MNSW investigating applying to their translation services? vii. When will this occur?

Answer:

I am advised: Mr Simon Chan was announced as permanent Chair of the Multicultural NSW (MNSW) Advisory Board at the meeting of the board on Friday 29 August 2025.

MNSW is looking to expand the number of agreements with other agencies, including the Personal Injury Commission in July 2025.

MNSW provides interpreting and translation services on a fee-for-service basis.

Macquarie University is engaged to run courses that upskill translators in the use of translation technologies, specifically Computer Assisted Translation (CAT) Tools. There is a financial cost to facilitate this agreement.

Currently MNSW is not using AI technologies for translation services.

MNSW will continue to assess the use of AI technologies for translation.

Sport**Allianz Stadium – Playing Surface**

#	161
Question	For each financial year since the ground has been operational, what has been the maintenance spend at Allianz Stadium? (a) How much of the maintenance spend was in relation to the playing surface?

Answer:

I am advised: Maintenance spend is included in the financial statements found in the Venues NSW Annual Reports at www.venuesnsw.com.

#	162
Question	When did Venues NSW first commission their report that found blame for the poor playing surface?

Answer:

I am advised: There was no poor playing surface. There was a drainage problem.

#	163
Question	Were any other issues raised by the independent review apart from contamination in the drainage layer?

Answer:

I am advised: Yes.

#	164
Question	Will the independent review's report be made available to the public?

Answer:

I am advised: Venues NSW has made the recommendations public.

#	165
Question	What is the expected cost of these rectification works?

Answer:

I am advised: \$5 to \$6 million.

#	166
Question	What is the expected lost revenue as a result of the closure of Allianz Stadium for ten weeks?

Answer:

I am advised: Venues NSW is working with Sydney FC to minimise the impact of relocation. Lost revenue is yet to be determined.

Learn to Swim Program

#	167
Question	How many people have taken up this program since it was announced?

Answer:

I am advised: As at 29 August 2025, a total of 1,589 participants from 13 providers across 26 site locations have participated in Learn to Swim Program since February 2025.

#	168
Question	How many Learn to Swim vouchers were redeemed under the former Liberal Government's program?

Answer:

I am advised: The former Liberal Government had a First Lap voucher program.

#	169
Question	What support is being provided to those in remote communities unable to access your Learn to Swim Program that would have otherwise has access to the First Lap Voucher Program?

Answer:

I am advised: Through the Department of Education's School Sport Unit, Learn to Swim programs are being delivered in a small number of areas not currently served by existing initiatives. The program continues to prioritise communities most at risk of drowning, including remote communities, ensuring all children in NSW have access to essential water safety and swimming skills.

Sydney Academy of Sport and Recreation

#	170
Question	Nearly two years after issues were raised at the Sydney Academy of Sport and Recreation 6.7m in funding was allocated for the repair in the 2025-26 NSW Budget. What will this funding deliver?

Answer:

I am advised: The funding will deliver a World Athletics compliant synthetic athletics track complete with a new asphalt subbase, a moisture resilient track surface, improved stormwater drainage, and supporting infrastructure.

#	171
Question	What specific improvements will be delivered thanks to this investment?

Answer:

I am advised: Improvements include; a new asphalt subbase, a moisture resilient track surface, improved stormwater drainage and supporting infrastructure.

#	172
Question	If the project exceeds the monies allocated what steps will the Office of Sport take to ensure this important facility is once again available to the sporting community?

Answer:

I am advised: Appropriate contingencies have been allocated within the project budget. Detailed cost estimates will be developed through key planning and design milestones to ensure financial risks are managed and the facility is delivered as planned.

#	173
Question	When will the project be completed?

Answer:

I am advised: The project is expected to be delivered in Q3 2026.

Sydney International Clay Target Association

#	174
Question	The Sydney International Clay Target Association (SICTA) must relocate, due to their lease at the premises in Lucas Heights expiring. The Office of Sport has communicated to SICTA that they are able to relocate to the Sydney International Shooting Centre at Cecil Park. What assessment was undertaken to ensure this site meets sporting clay target competition standards, and will the assessment be released publicly?

Answer:

I am advised: The Office of Sport has eleven shotgun ranges at Sydney International Shooting Centre available for casual and club use.

The Office has requested further information from Sydney International Clay Target Association in order to undertake a preliminary suitability assessment of infrastructure and equipment requirements for the various shooting disciplines.

#	175
Question	Have SICTA shared concerns that the Sydney International Shooting Centre is not suitable for their discipline with the Office of Sport?

Answer:

I am advised: Sydney International Clay Target Association have indicated the existing shotgun ranges may not meet all of their shooting discipline requirements.

#	176
Question	Has funding been allocated to facilitate SICTA's relocation to this new site?

Answer:

I am advised: No.

#	177
Question	What steps has the Office of Sport taken to ensure licence holders are not disenfranchised?

Answer:

I am advised: The Office of Sport has welcomed Sydney International Clay Target Association members to utilise existing facilities at Sydney International Shooting Centre. The venue's shotgun range is open to casual licenced shooters on various days including weekends and bookings are not required.

Sydney International Speedway (SIS)

#	178
Question	What were the findings of Speedway Australia's annual inspection of the Sydney International Speedway in July 2025?

Answer:

I am advised: As a result of the track inspection report the track rating was reduced from 5 stars to 4 stars, due to improvement work required.

#	179
Question	Did that inspection identify non-compliant electrical wiring mounted on the catch fence, and if so, how did this arise?

Answer:

I am advised: Yes, the report identified non-compliant electrical wiring mounted on a catch fence. How this arose, is a question for the operator.

#	180
Question	Was the catch fence itself assessed as failing to meet Speedway Australia's safety standards?

Answer:

I am advised: The Office of Sport was not a party to the assessment. The assessment was conducted by Speedway Australia.

#	181
Question	When was SIS notified of this catch fence issue?

Answer:

I am advised: Questions relating to this matter should be referred to the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces.

#	182
Question	When was the Office for Sport notified?

Answer:

I am advised: The Office was notified on 16 June 2025.

#	183
Question	How long will the rectification works to the fence take?

Answer:

I am advised: The Office of Sport is not a party to plans for the rectification work.

#	184
Question	Following that inspection, was a formal Statement of Works issued by Speedway Australia requiring rectification of the catch fence?

Answer:

I am advised: Yes. The Office of Sport understands a formal statement of works was issued by Speedway Australia to the operator.

#	185
Question	Has the Office of Sport advised Greater Sydney Parklands that the Sydney International Speedway cannot be licensed until Speedway Australia certifies that these compliance works are complete?

Answer:

I am advised: Speedway Australia have not revoked track approval, and therefore the MRVG licence is still valid. Speedway Australia have reduced the star rating and have advised the works need to be completed before any permits will be issued.

A permit is required to be forwarded to the Office of Sport prior to an event being held.

The Office of Sport has informed Greater Sydney Parklands of this matter.

#	186
Question	Has the Office for Sport advised the track will not be licensed until Speedway Australia certifies these works are complete?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 185.

#	187
Question	Is the track currently operating under a valid licence, or is its licence suspended pending rectification?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 185.

#	188
Question	Who has been deemed responsible for the non-compliance issues — the operator, Greater Sydney Parklands, or another party?

Answer:

I am advised: The Office of Sport has been advised the operator is responsible, however Greater Sydney Parklands are assisting with rectification of the noncompliance issues.

#	189
Question	There are understood to be issues with the track surface, still, is this the case?

Answer:

I am advised: The track report identifies concerns with the track surface. The Office of Sport has not been advised that the track issues have been rectified.

#	190
Question	What advice has the Department received about how long these compliance works will take to complete?

Answer:

I am advised: Greater Sydney Parklands have advised that the works are underway and will be completed prior to the first event of the calendar.

#	191
Question	When does the Government expect Speedway Australia will be able to re-inspect the facility and certify it as compliant?

Answer:

I am advised: This is a matter for the owner of the infrastructure, Greater Sydney Parklands and the operator.

#	192
Question	Who is paying for the rectification works?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer the answer provided for Supplementary Question 191.

#	193
Question	If it is the NSW Government, which agency? And how much does it cost?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer the answer provided for Supplementary Question 191.

2024-25 Budget – Agency Information Statements

#	194
Question	On page 4-8 of Budget Paper No.2- Agency Information Statements a revised figure of \$358,817,000 for 2024/25 has been provided for Grants and Subsidies down from \$375,011,000. What are the reasons for this underspend? (a) Please provide a list of grant programs and subsidies covered by this amount, including the budgeted spend and if relevant underspend? (b) On page 4-8 of Budget Paper No.2- Agency Information Statements a revised figure of \$27,442,000 for 2024/25 has been provided for Grants and Contributions revenue, up from \$16,987,000. Please provide the reason for this increase in revenue above what was budgeted? (c) On page 4-8 of Budget Paper No.2- Agency Information Statements a revised figure of \$10,345,000 for 2024/25 has been provided for Other revenue, up from \$1,581,000. Please provide the reason for this increase in Other revenue above what was budgeted?

Answer:

I am advised: Underspend is mainly due to reprofiling (PTAs) and carry forward (P13 & P8) of grant programs from 2024-25 to Budget and forward years.

Dubbo Sports Hub

#	195
Question	Did you ever sign off on a brief that provided in-principle support for the variation request and for the project to proceed at Dubbo Sports World?

Answer:

I am advised: No.

#	196
Question MO	If you were supportive of the project and your department provided in-principle support for the variation, why did you then allow Minister Moriarty to kill this project that would have been so beneficial to Dubbo and the wider Western NSW community?

Answer:

I am advised: Questions pertaining to the Minister for Regional New South Wales should be directed to the Minister for Regional New South Wales.

#	197
Question	What did you make of Minister Moriarty's demand on January 23 to her CFO, which was relayed to the Office of Sport, of a 5pm deadline on January 24 for the return of the \$33.3 million allocated by DPIRD to the project?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the answer provided for Supplementary Question 196.

#	198
Question	What can you tell the communities of Dubbo and wider Western NSW about their prospects of having a sports hub built in the future?

Answer:

I am advised: The NSW Government is committed to funding viable projects that can be delivered with allocated funding, within a reasonable time and scope.

#	199
Question	Was there ever a breakdown internally between the Office of Sport and DPIRD when it came to the project?

Answer:

I am advised: No.

#	200
Question	In internal departmental discussions and correspondence between the Office of Sport and DPIRD, would it be fair to suggest both parties were working to achieve the outcome of achieving a new funding agreement that would have seen the Dubbo Sports Hub built at the Dubbo Sports World site.

Answer:

I am advised: Variation requests undergo a rigorous review and assessment process to evaluate the proposed significant changes. In this case the proposal needed support of both the Office of Sport and DPIRD.

#	201
Question	Given there was money locked away and a desire from within both departments to see the project built, who is ultimately responsible for the fact the project isn't proceeding?

Answer:

I am advised: The variation request needed the approval from both the Office of Sport and DPIRD. As this didn't occur, the project was terminated.

#	202
Question	In January, there were discussions about the original \$9.3m held by the Office of Sport to be reallocated to PCYC for a "stage one" of the project to proceed. What came of those discussions?

Answer:

I am advised: The project acquittal needed to be finalised to confirm the amount of funds which were to be returned before any considerations about the use of any unallocated funds could be made.

Jobs and Tourism**NSW National Parks camping fees**

#	203
Question	Was Destination NSW consulted in the formation of the NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service 'Improving camping in New South Wales national parks' consultation paper?

Answer:

I am advised: Destination NSW was consulted and provided input directly to National Parks and Wildlife Service indicating general support for changes to improve the visitor experience for those camping in NSW National Parks. Destination NSW has not undertaken analysis to evaluate the impact of camping fees on the tourism industry in regional NSW.

#	204
Question	If so, when and what input did Destination NSW provide in relation to the proposed tiered fee system?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the response provided to Supplementary Question 203.

#	205
Question	Has any analysis been done to evaluate the impact of increasing camping fees on the tourism industry in regional NSW?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the response provided to Supplementary Question 203.

Visitor Economy Strategy

#	206
Question	What is the anticipated release date on the NSW Visitor Economy Strategy 2035?

Answer:

I am advised: The NSW Visitor Economy Strategy 2035 was published on 27 August 2025 and can be downloaded from the Destination NSW website. The Strategy outlines the NSW Government's actions and guiding principles to deliver its key priorities including accommodation, aviation and jobs growth. Destination NSW will lead the delivery of the strategy in consultation with its partners across government and visitor economy stakeholders. Please refer to the NSW Visitor Economy Strategy 2035 for further information.

#	207
Question	Will the strategy identify preferred locations for investment in additional hotel rooms?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the response provided for Supplementary Question 206.

#	208
Question	What analysis been done to establish the impact on high transport costs on tourism in regional NSW?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the response provided for Supplementary Question 206.

#	209
Question	What is the anticipated release date of the statewide aviation strategy?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the response provided for Supplementary Question 206.

#	210
Question	How will the NSW Government support the development of Sustainable Aviation Fuel?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the response provided for Supplementary Question 206.

NSW Ski Fields & Parking Shortages

#	211
Question	It's been a bumper ski season after two very tough years for ski fields. How many times have the car parks been closed due to being at capacity?

Answer:

I am advised: As Destination NSW does not oversee or manage this issue, questions relating to parking in NSW National Parks should be referred to the Minister for the Environment.

Destination NSW promotes the region across all seasons to encourage year-round visitation.

The Skitube Alpine Railway is owned and operated by Vail Resorts. Its use is promoted via perisher.com.au and visitnsw.com. Questions regarding Skitube should be addressed to Vail Resorts, the Ski Tube owner and operator.

Destination NSW regularly meets with NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service to discuss ways to optimise visitor economy outcomes.

#	212
Question	What happens when the car parks are full?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the response provided for Supplementary Question 211.

#	213
Question	How many car spaces are in Kosciusko National Park?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the response provided for Supplementary Question 211.

#	214
Question	What is the cost of this impact on operators?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the response provided for Supplementary Question 211.

#	215
Question	Are there provisions to encourage the use of ski-tube to get to Perisher?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the response provided for Supplementary Question 211.

#	216
Question	Are you aware of issues with the ski tube's reliability after multiple breakdowns?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the response provided for Supplementary Question 211.

#	217
Question	Have you met with National Parks and Wildlife Service to discuss solutions?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the response provided for Supplementary Question 211.

#	218
Question	Tourism is doing it tough enough in the current climate and this is the first good ski season in years, will you work on a solution?

Answer:

I am advised: Please refer to the response provided for Supplementary Question 211.

Crown Road Enclosures

#	219
Question	Regarding Crown Road enclosure permit fees. How many landholders in 2024-25 handed back or decided not to renew their permits?

Answer:

I am advised: As at 30 June 2025, approximately 23,400 enclosure permits accounts were active, with 422 enclosure permit cancellation applications received.

#	220
Question	How much revenue was generated due to the elimination of concessions?

Answer:

I am advised: Statutory minimum rent is prescribed in the *Crown Land Management Act*. The removal of concessions for enclosure permits is being phased over a 3-year period to allow permit holders time to adjust.

Crown Lands expects an increase of up to \$9.6 million in annual enclosure permit revenue from FY27/28.

#	221
Question	How many bowling clubs closed due to the rent increases experienced in 2023-24?

Answer:

I am advised: Nil.

Whole of Government Questions

CFMEU Meetings

#	222
Question	Since 28 March 2023, have you met with the Construction, Forestry and Maritime Employees Union (CFMEU) that was not disclosed in accordance with the Premier's Memorandum M2015-05 Publication of Ministerial Diaries and Release of Overseas Travel Information?

Answer:

I am advised: In accordance with the Premier's Memorandum M2015-05 Publication of Ministerial Diaries and Release of Overseas Travel Information, all Ministers publish extracts from their diaries, summarising details of scheduled meetings held with stakeholders, external organisations, third-party lobbyists and individuals.

Ministers are not required to disclose details of the following meetings:

- meetings involving Ministers, ministerial staff, parliamentarians or government officials (whether from NSW or other jurisdictions)
- meetings that are strictly personal, electorate or party political
- social or public functions or events
- meetings held overseas (which must be disclosed in accordance with regulation 6(1)(b) of the Government Information (Public Access) Regulation 2018 and Attachment B to the Premier's Memorandum), and
- matters for which there is an overriding public interest against disclosure.

Ministers' diary disclosures are published quarterly on The Cabinet Office's website (<https://www.nsw.gov.au/departments-and-agencies/cabinet-office/access-to-information/ministers-diary-disclosures>).

ETU meetings

#	223
Question	Since 28 March 2023, have you met with the Electrical Trades Union (ETU) that was not disclosed in accordance with the Premier's Memorandum M2015-05 Publication of Ministerial Diaries and Release of Overseas Travel Information?

Answer:

I am advised: In accordance with the Premier's Memorandum M2015-05 Publication of Ministerial Diaries and Release of Overseas Travel Information, all Ministers publish extracts from their diaries, summarising details of scheduled meetings held with stakeholders, external organisations, third-party lobbyists and individuals.

Ministers are not required to disclose details of the following meetings:

- meetings involving Ministers, ministerial staff, parliamentarians or government officials (whether from NSW or other jurisdictions)
- meetings that are strictly personal, electorate or party political
- social or public functions or events
- meetings held overseas (which must be disclosed in accordance with regulation 6(1)(b) of the Government Information (Public Access) Regulation 2018 and Attachment B to the Premier's Memorandum), and
- matters for which there is an overriding public interest against disclosure.

Ministers' diary disclosures are published quarterly on The Cabinet Office's website (<https://www.nsw.gov.au/departments-and-agencies/cabinet-office/access-to-information/ministers-diary-disclosures>).

Ministerial disclosures to The Cabinet Office

#	224
Question	On what date did you last update/make a ministerial disclosure to the Premier and the Secretary of The Cabinet Office?

Answer:

I am advised: The Ministerial Code of Conduct (Ministerial Code) requires Ministers to make certain disclosures to the Premier and the Secretary of The Cabinet Office. I comply with my obligations under the Ministerial Code.

Department(s)/Agency(s) Employees

#	225
Question	In relation to redundancies, will this be made available in your respective Department(s)/Agency(s) Annual Reports?

Answer:

I am advised: Information about any redundancies within agencies is published in the agency annual reports. Published annual reports can be accessed on agency websites.

Department(s)/Agency(s) Annual Reports

#	226
Question	Do you have plans to print the 2024-25 annual report(s) for each department / agency in your portfolio? (a) If yes, what is the budgeted expenditure for printing for each department / agency?

Answer:

I am advised: Annual reports should be prepared in accordance with the Treasury Policy and Guidelines – Framework for Financial and Annual Reporting (TPG25-10).

State Records Act

#	227
Question	Have you and your ministerial office had training and/or a briefing about the State Records Act from State Records NSW and/or The Cabinet Office and/or Premier's Department? (a) If yes, when?

Answer:

I am advised: The Ministers' Office Handbook provides guidance in relation to recordkeeping obligations under the *State Records Act 1998*.

The Cabinet Office also provide guidance, advice, training and support on these obligations for Ministers' offices.

Further information is available on State Records NSW's website:

www.nsw.gov.au/departments-and-agencies/dciths/state-records-nsw.

All Ministers' offices are expected to comply with their obligations under the *State Records Act 1998*.

Department(s)/Agency(s) Gifts and Hospitality Register

#	228
Question	Does your portfolio department(s)/agency(s) have a gifts and/or hospitality register? (a) If yes, is it available online? i. If yes, what is the website URL?

Answer:

I am advised: The standards for publishing gifts and hospitality are outlined in the Public Service Commissioner's Direction No. 1 of 2022 – Managing Gifts and Benefits: Minimum Standards.

Ministerial staff disclosure of gifts and/or hospitality

#	229
Question	Does your ministerial office keep a register of gifts and/or hospitality for staff to make disclosures? (a) If yes, what is the website URL?

Answer:

I am advised: All Ministerial staff are required to comply with the Gifts, Hospitality and Benefits Policy for Office Holder Staff attached to the Ministers' Office Handbook and available on the NSW Government website.

#	230
Question	Have any staff members in your office been the recipient of any free hospitality? (a) What was the total value of the hospitality received? (b) Are these gifts of hospitality declared?

Answer:

I am advised: All Ministerial staff are required to comply with their disclosure obligations under the Gifts, Hospitality and Benefits Policy for Office Holder Staff and I expect them to do so.

A breach of the Policy may be a breach of the Office Holder's Staff Code of Conduct.

The Policy includes disclosure obligations for Ministerial staff in respect of gifts, hospitality and benefits over \$150.

If a Ministerial staff member is required by their role to accompany their Office Holder at an event that the Office Holder is attending as the State's representative, or where the Office Holder has asked the staff member to attend, then attendance at that event would not constitute a gift or benefit for the purposes of the Policy.

Ministerial Code of Conduct

#	231
Question	Since 28 March 2023, have you breached the Ministerial Code of Conduct? (a) If yes, what was the breach?

Answer:

I am advised: All Ministers are expected to comply with their obligations under the NSW Ministerial Code of Conduct (Ministerial Code) at all times.

The Ministerial Code sets the ethical standards of behaviour required of Ministers and establishes practices and procedures to assist with compliance.

Among other matters, the Ministerial Code requires Ministers to:

- disclose their pecuniary interests and those of their immediate family members to the Premier
- seek rulings from the Premier if they wish to hold shares, directorships, other business interests or engage in secondary employment (known as 'prohibited interests')
- identify, avoid, disclose and manage conflicts of interest
- disclose gifts and hospitality with a market value over \$500.

A substantial breach of the Ministerial Code (including a knowing breach of any provision of the Schedule) may constitute corrupt conduct for the purposes of the *Independent Commission Against Corruption Act 1988*.

Senior Executive Drivers

#	232
Question	As at 1 August 2025, how many senior executives in your portfolio department(s) / agency(s) have a driver?

Answer:

I am advised: No senior executive employed within Department(s)/Agency(s) reporting to me has a Driver.

GIPA Act - Disclosure Log & Ministerial Offices

#	233
Question	Does your Ministerial Office have a disclosure log in accordance with the Government Information (Public Access Act) 2009? (a) If yes, what is the URL?

Answer:

I am advised: An agency must keep a record (called its disclosure log) that records information about access applications made to the agency that the agency decides by deciding to provide access (to some or all of the information applied for) if the information is information that the agency considers may be of interest to other members of the public.

GIPA Act - Disclosure Log & Departments/Agencies

#	234
Question	What is the website URL for the Government Information (Public Access Act) 2009 disclosure log each of your portfolio department(s) / agency(s)?

Answer:

I am advised: Disclosure logs are published and are available on the respective websites of each Department/Agency.

TikTok

#	235
Question	Are you on TikTok? (a) If yes, do you access TikTok from a NSW Government device?

Answer:

I am advised: The Circular DCS-2025-01 Cyber Security NSW Directive - Restricted Applications List advises how NSW Government agencies are required to appropriately manage risks to NSW Government information on government-issued devices, or personal devices that are used for government business.

Signal

#	236
Question	Are you on Signal? (a) If yes, do you access Signal from a NSW Government device? (b) If yes, does Signal comply with the State Records Act?

Answer:

I am advised: Like the former Coalition Government, the NSW Government uses a range of digital systems and communications that have been approved for use and may be utilised where there is a valid business requirement. This has been established practice under successive governments.

State records are a vital public asset, and access to Government information is essential to maintaining public trust in government. I comply with my obligations under the *State Records Act 1998*.

Training

#	237
Question	Since 28 March 2023, have you had training from an external stakeholder that included an invoice and payment paid for using your ministerial budget? (a) If yes, what is the description of training? (b) If yes, how much?

Answer:

I am advised: Ministers have undertaken a program of Ministerial induction training.

Ministers have undertaken training on the Respectful Workplace Policy.

Members of Parliament are provided with a Skills Development Allowance that may be used in a manner consistent with the Parliamentary Remuneration Tribunal Annual Determination.

Ministerial Office Budgets are managed in accordance with the Ministers' Office Handbook.

Parliamentary Secretary & Ministerial Vehicle

#	238
Question	Has your Parliamentary Secretary ever used a Ministerial driver from the pool? (a) If yes, why?

Answer:

I am advised: The Ministers' Office Handbook provides that the Premier's Department transport services may be used by Parliamentary Secretaries for official business trips in connection with their duties as Parliamentary Secretaries, with costs paid from the Ministers' office budget.

Media releases and statements

#	239
Question	Are all the ministerial media releases and statements issued by you publicly available at https://www.nsw.gov.au/media-releases? (a) If no, why?

Answer:

I am advised: The Department of Customer Service is responsible for managing www.nsw.gov.au/media-releases and the publication of media releases.

Overseas Travel

#	240
Question	As Minister, do you approve overseas travel for public servants from your portfolio department(s)/agency(s)?

Answer:

I am advised: The NSW Government Travel and Transport Policy provides a framework for NSW Government travelling employees and covers official air and land travel by public officials using public money. Section 2.1 of that Policy sets out approvals required in relation to overseas travel. Further information in relation to the Policy can be found here: <https://www.info.buy.nsw.gov.au/policy-library/policies/travel-and-transport-policy>.

Treasury Policy and Guidelines – Framework for Financial and Annual Reporting (TPG25-10) requires agencies to include information on overseas visits by officers and employees in agency annual reports.

Data Breaches

#	241
Question	Does your portfolio department(s)/agency(s) keep a register of data breaches in accordance with the Privacy and Personal Information Protection (PPIP) Act? (a) If yes, what is the website?

Answer:

I am advised: Agencies are required by section 59ZD of the *Privacy and Personal Information Protection Act 1998* (PPIP Act) to prepare, publish and make publicly available a data breach policy. The PPIP Act does not provide for the internal register to be made public.

Under clause 17 of Schedule 1 to the *Government Information (Public Access) Act 2009*, it is conclusively presumed that there is an overriding public interest against disclosure of information contained in a document prepared for the assessment of an eligible data breach under the PPIP Act, Part 6A, if the information could worsen a public sector agency's cyber security or lead to further data breaches.

Discretionary Fund

#	242
Question	As Minister, so you have a discretionary fund? (a) If yes, what department(s) / agency(s) administer it? (b) If yes, what is the website URL detailing expenditure?

Answer:

I am advised: Information about NSW Government grants can be found online:

<https://www.nsw.gov.au/grants-and-funding>.

Airline Lounges

#	243
Question	Are you a member of the Qantas Chairmans Lounge?

Answer:

I am advised: The Constitution (Disclosures by Members) Regulation 1983 (Regulation) sets out Members' obligations to disclose relevant pecuniary and other interests in periodic returns to Parliament.

The Legislative Assembly Standing Committee on Parliamentary Privilege and Ethics Report on Review of the Code of Conduct, Aspects of Disclosure of Interests, and Related Issues (December 2010) notes that:

"Advice has been received from the Crown Solicitor that use of the Chairman's Lounge by invitation is not a "gift" for the purposes of clause 10 of the Regulation, as it does not involve disposition of property. However, when the membership leads to an upgrade valued at more than \$250, it becomes disclosable as a contribution to travel, and should be reported under clause 11 of the Regulation."

Clause 16 of the Regulation allows a Member to, at their discretion, disclose any direct or indirect benefit, advantage or liability, whether pecuniary or not.

Relevant disclosures have been made to The Cabinet Office and to the NSW Parliament.

#	244
Question	Are you a member of the Virgin Beyond Lounge?

Answer:

I am advised: The Constitution (Disclosures by Members) Regulation 1983 (Regulation) sets out Members' obligations to disclose relevant pecuniary and other interests in periodic returns to Parliament.

The Legislative Assembly Standing Committee on Parliamentary Privilege and Ethics Report on Review of the Code of Conduct, Aspects of Disclosure of Interests, and Related Issues (December 2010) notes that:

“Advice has been received from the Crown Solicitor that use of the Chairman's Lounge by invitation is not a "gift" for the purposes of clause 10 of the Regulation, as it does not involve disposition of property. However, when the membership leads to an upgrade valued at more than \$250, it becomes disclosable as a contribution to travel, and should be reported under clause 11 of the Regulation.”

Clause 16 of the Regulation allows a Member to, at their discretion, disclose any direct or indirect benefit, advantage or liability, whether pecuniary or not.

Relevant disclosures have been made to The Cabinet Office and to the NSW Parliament.

Ministerial Overseas Travel

#	245
Question	Since 28 March 2023, have you formally applied to the Premier to travel overseas? (a) If yes, was this application accepted?

Answer:

I am advised: Ministerial overseas travel information is published online:

<https://www.nsw.gov.au/departments-and-agencies/premiers-department/access-to-information/ministerial-overseas-travel-information>.

Private Jet Charter

#	246
Question	Have you travelled on a private jet charter in your Ministerial capacity? (a) If yes, was this value for money for taxpayers?

Answer:

I am advised: Premier and Ministers' domestic travel information is published on the Premier's Department's website at:

<https://www.nsw.gov.au/departments-and-agencies/premiers-department/access-to-information/premier-and-ministers-domestic-travel>.

Ministerial Office renovations

#	247
Question	Since 28 March 2023, has your Ministerial Office at 52 Martin Place been renovated? (a) If yes, how much was the expenditure?

Answer:

I am advised: Leasehold improvements for Ministerial Offices are reported within the Premier's Department annual reports.

Conflict of Interest

#	248
Question	Since 28 March 2023, have you formally written to the Premier with a conflict of interest? (a) If yes, why?

Answer:

I am advised: All Ministers are expected to comply with their obligations under the NSW Ministerial Code of Conduct (Ministerial Code) at all times. The Ministerial Code sets the ethical standards of behaviour required of Ministers and establishes practices and procedures to assist with compliance.

Among other matters, the Ministerial Code requires Ministers to:

- disclose their pecuniary interests and those of their immediate family members to the Premier
- seek rulings from the Premier if they wish to hold shares, directorships, other business interests or engage in secondary employment (known as ‘prohibited interests’)
- identify, avoid, disclose and manage conflicts of interest
- disclose gifts and hospitality with a market value over \$500.

A substantial breach of the Ministerial Code (including a knowing breach of any provision of the Schedule) may constitute corrupt conduct for the purposes of the *Independent Commission Against Corruption Act 1988*.