Consumer Perspective, Lived Experience Expertise Peer Operated Services Multi-disciplinary Teams Climate Emergency Prevention

> Trauma and Healing Focus Not 'mental illness' and lack of hope.

Fay Jackson, BCA, Dip.Ed., EBE, AICD

Northern Rivers is a hothouse example of everywhere else in NSW/Australia

- ⁷ Covid- isolation, loneliness, changed relationships, poor social skills.
- + Massive impact from climate change, fires and floods young people losing hope.
- We experienced Armageddon and our eyes cannot unsee what we experienced. Our suicide rate is now the highest in Australia.
- + Aboriginal Communities
- + Higher percentage of kids in out of home care
- + Not enough medical, aged care, disability services,. Drop in bulk billing
- + Poor public transport: Isolation, Ioneliness, poor health outcomes
- + Decline of the fabric of society Self medicating, unemployment, anti-establishment, anti-vax etc.
- + Homelessness not only of the local people- people from across Australia
- Very rich and very poor- Poor people desperate & rebelling. Jails being filled because social determinants of wellbeing and MH services aren't available.
- Disrespect for authority because authority is letting the people, climate and nature down police, politicians (local, state, federal), MH services, paramedics, Centrelink (Robo Debt Trauma and deaths)
- + Listen to what we need, create it and then roll it out across NSW and Australia.

We must treat trauma! The basis of most MH issues

4 Where are the services that support and treat trauma rather than cause it?

- + 52% of women who have experienced domestic violence will be given a diagnosis of mental illness after the DV. and 84% will get that diagnosis after the domestic violence. Abused boys grow up to be sociopathic and women grow up to be empaths.
- + Financial disincentives to GPs to support people in psychological distress especially women experiencing DV. Return vets are respected for their PTSD; Why not women?
- + Illawarra Women's Trauma Recovery Centre- Private funding- Must have public funding.
- + Peer Operated Services needed here because everyone has experienced trauma
- + Studies of public mental health consumers have found that between 48 and 98 percent of clients reported a history of at least one traumatic event (4–6).____

Trauma History Screening in a Community Mental Health Center Psychiatric Services https://ps.psychiatryonline.org > appi.ps.55.2.157

Pyramid of care, hope, healing and looping back round



Evidence about Peer Support can't be denied

- Shorter hospital stays (Lawn et al 2008, Nelson et al 2006, Forchuk, 2002, Trainor et al 1997, Trainor & Tremblay 1992)
- + Fewer hospital stays (CMHA 2005, Forchuk 2002, Chinman et al 2001, Trainor & Tremblay 1992)
- + Longer time in the community without hospitalisation. (Min et al 2007, Rebeiro et al 2001, Clarke et al 2000)
- + Reduced utilisation of crisis services (Lawn et al 2008, CMHA. 2005, Trainor et al 1997, Trainor & Tremblay 1992)
- + Reduced utilisation of psychiatrists, outpatient services, community mental health programmes, psychiatric medication, emergency department, GPs. (Nelson et al 2006, CMHA 2005)

Broken systems, lack of appropriate, timely supports, iatrogenic harm Productivity Review



ney recommendations

From detailed analysis described above, Mental Health Australia and KPMG have developed the following three recommendations and ten sub-recommendations:

 1.1 Workplace mental health interventions Work with employers to improve workplace mental health and wellbeing \$4.5 billion in savings 	incenti Trial ad Worker Compe insuran accordi workpla health r	justments to s' nsation ce premiums ng to aces' mental isk profile hillion in	 1.3 Peer workforce tria Trial a paid pee workforce to bue evidence base Savings not estimable 	r	 1.4 Supported employment for people with a severe mental illness Provide supports to people with a severe mental illness to gain and maintain employment \$120 million over two years
Recommendation 2: M hospitalisations	inimise a	voidable emerg	jency departmen	t presen	tations and
2.1 Housing First for 15 - 24 year olds		2.2 Assertive outreach post- suicide attempt		2.3 National minimum data set for primary mental health	
Adopt a Housing First model for young people aged 15 to 24 with a mental illness at risk of		Provide community-based assertive outreach to people who have attempted suicide			
young people aged 15 to with a mental illness at r	24	assertive outreater who have atter	ach to people npted suicide	care or care by	In the impact of primary In the use of secondary In linking the new Primary
young people aged 15 to with a mental illness at r homelessness \$1.6 billion in short ter	24 isk of m	assertive outrea who have atter \$100 million in savings, \$1.0 b	ach to people npted suicide n short term	care or care by Menta Data S	n the use of secondary
young people aged 15 to	24 isk of m	assertive outrea who have atter \$100 million ir	ach to people npted suicide n short term	care or care by Menta Data S social o	h the use of secondary y linking the new Primary I Health Care Minimum et to wider health and
young people aged 15 to with a mental illness at r homelessness \$1.6 billion in short ter savings, \$4.8 billion in term savings	24 isk of m long-	assertive outrea who have atter \$100 million ir savings, \$1.0 b term savings	ach to people npted suicide a short term billion in long-	care or care by Menta Data S social o Saving	h the use of secondary y linking the new Primary I Health Care Minimum et to wider health and data sets gs not estimable
young people aged 15 to with a mental illness at r homelessness \$1.6 billion in short ter savings, \$4.8 billion in term savings Recommendation: 3 In 3.1 Early interventions	24 isk of m long- vest in p	assertive outrea who have atter \$100 million ir savings, \$1.0 b term savings	ach to people npted suicide a short term billion in long-	care or care by Menta Data S social o Saving intervent 3.3 e-H	h the use of secondary y linking the new Primary I Health Care Minimum et to wider health and data sets gs not estimable
young people aged 15 to with a mental illness at r homelessness \$1.6 billion in short ter savings, \$4.8 billion in	24 isk of m long- vest in p in d ple with	assertive outrea who have atter \$100 million in savings, \$1.0 b term savings romotion, preve 3.2 Prevention	ach to people npted suicide a short term billion in long- ention and early and early ntion and early ad build the	care or care by Menta Data S social o Saving intervent 3.3 e-H intervent Use e-	h the use of secondary y linking the new Primary I Health Care Minimum et to wider health and data sets gs not estimable tion Health early entions health as an enabler to early intervention

+ Peer Work Hub, NSW MH Commission

+ Health NSW Gov. Website

+ KPMG and Mental Health Australia, Investing to Save, The Economic Benefits for Australia for MH Reform. Final Report, May

In total, these recommendations would generate between \$8.2 billion and \$12.7 billion from an investment of under \$4.4 billion. Wider health-related quality of life measures such as quality

Peer Work: Return on Investment

For a 100% peer operated service; every \$1 invested, approximately \$3.27 of social and economic value is expected to be created. Reduced hospital admissions mean reduced bed days yields \$20,768,809pa savings

If a LE (Peer) supported hospital discharge program had the potential to reduce bed days by a conservative 5%. The cost of mental health bed day is \$1,618. There was 256,722 patient days in 2020-2021. Employee Guide to Implementing a Peer Workforce. NSW Mental Health Commission. Improved model for Peer –STOC services would see an even greater return on investment and outcomes for people.

Ki Wi Way

A 3.5% increase in system capacity at a 0.2% increase in system costs

Question:

- If Peer Workers were causing people to have dangerous weight gain, diabetes, heart disease, dystonia, tardive dyskinesia, disability, thyroid, kidney and liver dysfunction, contribute directly to early deaths (15-27 years), locking people up against their wills, injecting and forcing people to take medications they do not want to take. Abusing their human rights; destroying their self-confidence. Giving them an alienating and socially debilitating diagnosis. Would Peer Workers still be employed?
- + Yet these are the things that our MH Act allows clinicians, in partnership with pharmaceutical companies to do this every day to hundreds of people.
- + We must change services and Peer Services are the way forward;

Must have funding for services for people NOT on NDIS!!

Finding or more services will close

- + NDIS is a good fit for physical disabilities but not working for MH
- + Services must be readily available in the community and staffed by Peers with back up from clinical services, human services etc. if needed.
- + Activity Based Funding also very dangerous in MH. increased severity of diagnosis. (a whole other discussion).

Peer Urgent Response Teams

+ Replace paramedics and police with Peer Urgent Response Teams

- Peer urgent Response Team or hospital setting Peer Connect Team can divert people from hospital and connect them to Peer Operated Services in the community
- + Online/on phone Peer Urgent Response Team replacing calls to lifeline teaching people how to manage their suicidal thoughts ad feelings
- + Peers teaching people to be proactive in their own care and suicide prevention.

Other evidence of reduced service utilization when people are supported by Peer Workers

- Forchuk et al (2005) found service users who received peer support were discharged from hospital an average of /116 days earlier per person
- + Lawn et al (2008) evaluated an Australian mental health peer support service, which provided hospital avoidance and early discharge support. In the first 3 months of operation over 300 bed days were saved. Also found peer support has potential for encouraging a greater focus on recovery in both the culture and practice of mental health services.
- + Chinman et al (2001) compared a peer support outpatient program in the USA with traditional care and found a 50% reduction in re-hospitalisations compared to the general outpatient population. Only 15% of the outpatients with peer support were re-hospitalised in its first year of operation.
- + Min et al (2007) studied a peer support program over a 3-year period. Found participants receiving peer support had longer periods of living in the community without re-hospitalisations than a comparison group. 73% of people in the comparison group were re-hospitalised versus 62% in the peer support group.
 Cited in Basset et al (2010) Lived Experience Leading the Way. UK.

Conclusion:

We need: Peer Operated Services Peer Workers in all services; Peer Urgent Response Teams

What we need in the Northern Rivers We need across NSW and Australia

Thank you

+ Fay Jackson BCA, Dip.Ed., EBE, AICD

