

Document tendered by  
David Irwin  
Received by  
Gareth Perkins  
Date: 30 / 6 / 2022  
Resolved to publish  Yes /  No



**GREYHOUND WELFARE & INTEGRITY COMMISSION**

**SUMMARY OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION DECISION**

**Date of decision:** 19 December 2019  
**Decision-maker:** Chief Executive Officer, Judith Lind  
**Name of relevant person:** Mr Natina Howard  
**Track:** N/A – Non-meeting  
**Rule no:** Rule 86B(1)(b), Rule 86A  
**Disciplinary action taken:** Suspension periods served concurrently and backdated to take into account period of time served while on Interim Suspension  
Charge 1 (Rule 86B(1)(b)): 6 month suspension, with 4 months suspended for 12 months (conditionally)  
Charge 2 (Rule 86A): 6 month suspension, with 4 months suspended for 12 months (conditionally)

**REPORT:**

During an inspection of the kennels and registered trial track, 'Keinbah Trial Track', a lure found on the property was suspected to be derived of animal materials. The lure was surrendered and sent to the Australian Centre for Wildlife Genomics for further testing.

Analysis of the lure was conducted and a report by the Australian Centre for Wildlife Genomics confirmed that the fibres found on the lure were analysed and conclusively found to be natural in origin and fur/hair from an animal skin.

After considering the evidence, the Commission charged Mrs Howard with a breach of Rule 86B(1)(b) and a further breach of Rule 86A of the Commission's Greyhound Racing Rules ("Rules").

Mrs Howard admitted both charges at the earliest opportunity.

The disciplinary action for both charges was conducted in writing in accordance with the participant's election.

## DECISION:

1. Mrs Howard, at the material time being 26 June 2019, was a registered Owner Trainer and Breeder.
2. On 26 June 2019, Commission Inspectors attended at Mrs Howard's registered address to conduct an inspection of the kennels and registered trial track, 'Keinbah Trial Track'.
3. The 'Keinbah Trial Track' was also co-owned and operated by Mr Robert Howard.
4. During the inspection a lure, attached to the lure arm in the bullring located at the property, was examined and suspected to be comprised of animal derived materials. The lure was surrendered to Inspectors.
5. The lure was examined by the Australian Centre for Wildlife Genomics who confirmed that the fibres found on the lure were natural in origin and fur/hair from an animal skin.
6. The item was further analysed for DNA which identified human DNA which was likely caused from human handling of the lure. The Australian Centre for Wildlife Genomics found that the manufacturing and tanning process can highly degrade DNA and/or inhibit the successful extraction of DNA however confirmed the microscopic examination clearly identified structures present within the hairs that are characteristic of hairs taken from an item with a natural (animal) origin.
7. Following the conclusive finding that the lure was derived from animal materials, the Commission charged Mrs Howard under Rule 86B(1)(b). The Commission further charged Mrs Howard under Rule 86A.
8. Mrs Howard pleaded guilty to the charges, provided written submissions through her legal representative and elected to have the disciplinary proceeding conducted in writing.
9. The Commission accepted the guilty pleas and confirmed the charges proven and took the following disciplinary action against Mrs Howard:

Charge 1 (Rule 86B(1)(b)): To suspend her trainer registration for a period of 6 months, with 4 months suspended for 12 months after 2 months is served on the conditions that:

- i. she does not breach Rule 86B(1)(b) in that 12 month period; and
- ii. that a CCTV camera is installed that captures and records all training activities that occur in the bullring located at the registered address of Mrs Howard for a period of 12 months or as otherwise extended by GWIC and for a copy of

such footage to be produced by your client to GWIC upon request.

- Charge 2 (Rule 86A)): To suspend her trainer registration for a period of 6 months, with 4 months suspended for 12 months after 2 months is served on the conditions that:
- i. she does not breach Rule 86A in that 12 month period.

Both periods of suspension are to be served concurrently and backdated to take into account the period of time served while interim suspended, being from 25 October 2019.

**Special Circumstances:**

The provisions of Rule 86B require a minimum of penalty of disqualification for a period of not less than 10 years unless there is a finding that special circumstances exist, whereupon a penalty less than the minimum can be imposed.

Mrs Howard provided detailed submissions in seeking to establish the existence of special circumstances. These submissions included:

- Mrs Howard's excellent record of 31 years as a trainer and 4 years as a breeder;
- Mrs Howard's guilty plea at the earliest opportunity, admissions in relation to the purchase and use of the item and cooperation with the inquiry;
- Mrs Howard has suffered adverse financial hardship consequences as a result of the imposition of the interim suspension;
- Mrs Howard's significant contribution to the industry, particularly in relation to the 'Keinbah Inquiry';
- That the offending behaviour was at the lowest end of the spectrum of the range of conduct captured by the relevant Rules in that the item used as a lure was purchased from a retail premises although once assessed microscopically it was found to be from animal derived materials.

.....End.....