From: To:

Subject: Re: Long term sustainability and future of the timber and forest products industry - post hearing responses

- 8 February 2021

Date: Monday, 7 March 2022 3:00:38 PM

Dear Portfolio Committee 4

I would like to add 5 points of additional information.

- 1) As I have said forestry is a poor land use! If there was a good return in growing plantation timber don't you think a lot of farmers would be doing it?
- 2)Forest corperation have land what they don't utilise that has scrub and native timber on it, I'm told they get payed carbon credits for this land, if there was money in growing trees for timber why are the not doing it?
- 3) 3 days after the Dunns road fire 2019 burnt pine plantations out in the area the creeks began to run and there had been no rain for months , the same happened in 2006 with the Billo fire at Tumut ,creeks that had been dry started to run water , this just proves how much water plantation pine uses .
- CSIRO had an on going water study at Kileys run Redhill on the effects of pine plantations on local streams! This was discontinued a few years a go, Why?
- 4) More food producing farm land should NOT be put in to forestry as it is a poor land use , low return (is subsidized by the tax payer) and forest growing cannot look after what they have now.
- 5)As I have already said legislation needs to be changed so Forest grower are responsible for the weeds on their land , if they cannot afford to maintain their weeds / land than they should not be doing it! As they are a financial burden on their neighbors which is not right.
- 6)Tumbarumba high school in the 1980s had around 500 students before managed investment schemes and forest nsw bought up a lot of country a lot being small family farms ,2019 I'm told the high school had 160 students. If forestry creates a lot of jobs where did all the students go?

Thank you for the opportunity to have imput in to your inquiry.

Regards Michael Kingwill Parsonscreek Adjungbilly 2727 NSW

Sent from Samsung tablet.