


Gender and Sexuality Diversity in Schools (GSDS) – NSW

A/Prof. Tania Ferfolja, A/Prof. Jacqueline Ullman and Research Associate Dr. Lucy Hobby

NEW SOUTH WALES SNAPSHOT REPORT

Findings from the GSDS national survey of Australian parents of K-12 government school students were isolated to the 616 (29.4%) parents who resided in the state of NSW. This Australian Research Council (ARC) funded project (DP180101676) examined parents' perspectives on the in/exclusion of gender and sexuality diversity (GSD) in public school curriculum¹.

NSW Participant Demographics




FINDING

NSW parents want Relationships and Sexual Health Education (RSE) to be delivered in government schools (96.6%). The most endorsed version of RSE (50.2%) was comprehensive and inclusive of diversity (Figure 1).

Half of NSW parents (50.2%) endorsed the most expansive purpose of RSE in government schools, believing that "RSE should teach that sexuality is a positive part of life and focus on empowerment, choice, consent, and acceptance of diversity". Compared to the national sample of Australian parents, NSW parents were more supportive of this diversity-inclusive version of RSE. 3.4% of NSW parents felt that RSE should not be delivered in government schools at all, which was slightly lower in comparison to the 5.6% of the national sample of Australian parents.

Figure 1: Purpose of RSE for NSW parents and the national sample of Australian parents




FINDING

The majority of NSW parents support the teaching of six gender and sexuality diversity-inclusive RSE broad content domains in government schools overall (85–90%), with most topics to be covered by the end of Stage 3 and Stage 4 (Figure 2).

84.7% to 89.9% of NSW parents want gender and sexuality diversity-inclusive RSE content delivered nationally in government schools overall. Specifically, the majority of NSW parents endorsed the discussion of each of the six diversity topics to begin by the following stages:

1. GSD relationships and families – 63.9% by Stage 3 (Year 5 and 6) and this increases to 86% by the end of schooling in Stage 6
2. Understanding gender diversity – 58.5% by Stage 3 (Year 5 and 6)
3. Discrimination/bullying of GSD people – 64.2% by Stage 3 (Year 5 and 6)
4. The human body and its development for GSD people – 58.3% by Stage 3 (Year 5 and 6)
5. GSD people's sexuality and safe sexual behaviour – 68.8% by Stage 4 (Year 7 and 8)
6. GSD people's sexual and reproductive health – 69% by Stage 4 (Year 7 and 8)

Figure 2: Schooling stage for inclusion of six gender and sexuality diversity-inclusive RSE broad content domains for NSW parents and the national sample of Australian parents




FINDING

NSW parents ranked as the second most supportive cohort of gender and sexuality diversity-inclusive RSE compared to parents from other Australian states.

For each Australian state and territory, an average was taken across the “never – it should not be discussed at any stage” categories for each of the six gender and sexuality diversity-inclusive RSE broad content domains presented in Figure 2 above. Similarly, for all of the Australian states/territories, the broad content domain “3. Discrimination and bullying of GSD people” faced the least opposition to inclusion in the RSE curriculum in comparison to the five other broad content domains.

The state/territory averages were then ranked, alongside the national average, from the lowest average level to the highest average level of parental preference for total exclusion of gender and sexuality diversity from the RSE within government schools. Out of the included Australian states/territories, NSW was the second most supportive of gender and sexuality diversity inclusions within RSE, with 13.5% of NSW parents reporting that they would never want diversity included in the RSE curriculum.

Figure 3: Australian state, territory, and national averages of parental preference for total exclusion of gender and sexuality-diversity from RSE




Note. ACT $n = 40$; NSW $n = 616$; TAS $n = 45$; National average $n = 2093$; VIC $n = 604$; WA $n = 174$; SA $n = 140$; QLD $n = 461$. Results for the NT were excluded due to the small sample size ($n = 13$).

FINDING

Approximately 60% of NSW parents reported that gender and sexuality diversity content should be included across subjects such as English, Art and History and at a whole-school level (Figure 4).

59.4% of NSW parents somewhat to strongly agreed that gender and sexuality diversity should be included only within the Health and Physical Education Curriculum (HPE). Furthermore, 60.8% of NSW parents agreed with the statement “GSD content, including the contributions of GSD people, should be included across other subjects (e.g. English, Art, History)” and this was higher than the national average of 53.8%. NSW parents reported the highest level of support (63.4%) for the idea that “GSD content should be included at a whole-school level, reflected in school policies, practices, ethos, events, and community” and this was higher than the national average of 59.1%.

Figure 4: Gender and sexuality diversity inclusions across the curriculum for NSW parents and the national sample of Australian parents




FINDING

NSW parents were more supportive of than oppositional to a national gender and sexuality diversity-inclusive curriculum for the primary reason of supporting equality for all students (Figure 5).

The Parental Attitudes Towards Inclusiveness Instrument (PATII) was used to gauge parental support for and opposition to a national, gender and sexuality diversity-inclusive curriculum, which refers to “school curriculum, teaching, and learning which supports and values gender and sexuality diversity”. The PATII has five Supports factors: (a) Oppression, (b) Equality, (c) Wellbeing, (d) Personal Importance, and (e) Parental Capability and three Barriers factors: (a) Religious Values, (b) Suggestibility, and (c) Appropriateness. Each factor is represented by six items, and items were averaged within each factor to produce eight factor mean scores. Furthermore, the Supports factors (except for Parental Capability) were combined to create an overall Supports mean, and the Barriers factors were combined to create an overall Barriers mean. All means ranged from 1 (strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree).

NSW parents were more supportive of ($M = 4.3$, $SD = 1.3$) than opposed to ($M = 3.2$, $SD = 1.5$) a national gender and sexuality diversity-inclusive schooling curriculum. In line with the national sample of Australian parents, NSW parents most supported an inclusive curriculum for reasons of Equality ($M = 4.8$, $SD = 1.1$), which focused on parents' sense that schools have legal and social obligations to ensure that they are equitable for, and representative of, GSD people. Similar to the Australian national sample of parents, NSW parents who were most likely to oppose an inclusive curriculum did so on the basis of perceived Appropriateness ($M = 3.7$, $SD = 1.7$), which assessed parental concerns about the alignment of gender and sexuality diversity content with the development stage of students.

Figure 5: Average levels of support for and opposition to a gender and sexuality diversity-inclusive curriculum for the NSW sample and national sample of Australian parents.



1 See the following for a full overview of national results: Ullman, J., Ferfolja T., & Hobby, L. (2021, in press). Parents' Perspectives on the Inclusion of Gender and Sexuality Diversity in K-12 Schooling: Results from an Australian National Study. *Sex Education*.