Public Accountability Committee - 21 September 2020

Inquiry into Integrity, Efficacy and Value for Money of NSW Government Grant Programs

Responses to Questions on Notice from Cr Darcy Byrne, Mayor Inner West Council

Funding received in Round 1

The Hon. TREVOR KHAN: Can I clarify whether it was \$10 million or \$20 million that went to Canterbury Bankstown?

Mr ASFOUR: It was \$10 million.

Mr BYRNE: Just to clarify—and I will seek clarification about this subsequently so I am happy to take it on notice—but my recollection is that there was \$10 million in administration costs for the cost of the merger and then \$10 million for infrastructure. I think in the end it was because we were three councils—

The Hon. TREVOR KHAN: You got another \$5 million.

Mr BYRNE: Yes. All of that was overseen by the administrator.

The Hon. TREVOR KHAN: Sure.

The Hon. NATALIE WARD: So \$25 million.

The Hon. TREVOR KHAN: So yours was \$25 million. I suggest to you, Mr Asfour, that yours was \$20 million.

Further Answer:

Inner West Council received a total of \$25 million in the first stage of the Stronger Communities Fund. \$15 million was provided for each legacy Council – Ashfield, Leichhardt and Marrickville (\$5 million to each). A further \$10 million from the New Council Implementation Fund was provided for costs associated with the amalgamation.

1. IWC Resolution against Tied Grants Round

Mr BYRNE: Chair, just in relation to that, the Inner West Council has passed a unanimous resolution expressing its concern. Liberal, Labor, Greens and Independent councillors all agree that it was wrong that we had not been informed. I wrote to Mr Hurst on 24 August with a very long list of questions regarding the Stronger Communities Tied Grants Program. I am yet to receive a reply.

The CHAIR: Alright. Councillor Byrne, I invite you to table the council resolution and the correspondence in due course.

Mr BYRNE: I have tabled the correspondence already and I will forward the resolution.

Further Answer:

See PDF attached (Minutes of Ordinary Council Meeting held 9 June 2020)

2. Section 94 Developer Contributions (as of 21 September if possible)

The CHAIR: I do not know if that comment is fair. I think both councillors have said they do not necessarily prefer per capita but that in any event they require notice of it. I do not think you can characterise their answers as unresponsive.

The Hon. NATALIE WARD: Okay. You hold development contributions from developers, do you not?

Mr ASFOUR: Correct. Mr BYRNE: Yes.

The Hon. NATALIE WARD: How much do you hold in developer contributions? Mr BYRNE: I will take that on notice but I do want to compliment the Government on a recent decision to free up the requirements around the use of developer contributions. Because they are largely dedicated to specific works attached to a particular development, a change in regulations in response to COVID has enabled us to initiate a \$20 million stimulus package in which we are investing heavily in basic infrastructure. That has been very helpful.

Further Answer:

The opening balance for FY21 was \$64m. After transfers in and out, we have forecasted closing balance of \$31.9m. This is after funding capital projects using a S94 funding source and the \$20m Infrastructure COVID-19 Capital Projects.