Dear Parliamentary Inquiry Committee,

Mistake in my words in the transcript: In the sentence *"Transfield Construction built a big tunnel and spent \$9.5 million to save one fig tree."*

It has to be \$0.5ml (in value 1990th) instead \$9.0ml.

I would like to add some suggestions to my script because I did not get enough time.

I believe this Project will be the most harmful and devastating in Sydney, because of some fatal mistakes that were made in it:

- Light Rail is designed in the heart of CBD- the most populated area in Sydney.

- Also City of Randwick is populated urban area with the narrow streets and Anzac Parade is an extremely congested arterial road connecting many suburbs.

- Using the Light Rail instead the buses pushes the transport system of our city at hundred years back. About the financial losses I said before, see transcript.

- If Sydney already has two underground train services from Circular Quay to Central with the travel time 8-9 minutes, why should the Light Rail be required???

- About the notice who changed the mind and made the decision.

- I believe that the most important is the approval of the Project by the Environmental Authorities and both Councils (City of Sydney and City of Randwick), and then approval and construction by Ministry of Transport of Sydney Government.

Everybody knows: **No approval – no construction.**

Mr. DAVID SHOEBRIDGE asked me: Can you think of any ameliorating actions the Government could take to "deal with it".

I think the best way is: not to use the Light Rail during the peak time in the morning and evening.

Alex Kleytman.

P.S. Sorry for the late response. I am far from Sydney and have a problem with the access to a computer.