



Public  
Service  
Commission

Guideline

August 2015

# Guide to developing exit interviews

## Guide to developing exit interviews

<b>Status</b>	Active
<b>Document number</b>	G2015_Guide to exit interviews
<b>File number</b>	A2990898
<b>Application</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Service Departments <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Service Executive Agencies related to Departments <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Service Separate Agencies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Government Sector Agencies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State Owned Corporations
<b>Category</b>	HR Strategy & Planning
<b>Summary</b>	Information to help agencies to develop an exit interview program
<b>Publication date</b>	29 September 2015
<b>Review / Cancellation date</b>	30 September 2017
<b>Author</b>	<b>Public Service Commission</b>
<b>Previous Reference</b>	4-7 Personnel Handbook
<b>Contact for enquiries</b>	enquiries-psc@psc.nsw.gov.au or (02) 9272 6000

### Revision History

Version	Date	Summary of changes
1	29 September 2015	Document created and approved for publication

---

# Contents

1	Introduction.....	1
	1.1 Potential benefits.....	1
2	Planning.....	2
	2.1 Design principles.....	2
	2.2 Data collection method.....	2
	2.3 Other design considerations.....	3
	2.4 Confidentiality.....	3
	2.5 Communication.....	4
3	Implementation.....	5
	3.1 Administration.....	5
	3.2 Timing.....	5
4	Report and act on findings.....	7

---

# 1 Introduction

Exit interviews are structured discussions held with employees before, or shortly after, they leave an organisation to gauge their perception of working in the organisation. The process, which may also be undertaken as a paper-based or online survey, can be an invaluable organisational tool for diagnostic and strategic planning purposes.

Given the diversity of Government sector workplaces, individual agencies are best placed to design, develop and implement an exit interview program that suits their agency's operational and employment conditions. The purpose of this guide is to support agencies to do this according to best practice from within and outside the public sector.

## 1.1 Potential benefits

Information from exit interviews can help agencies to assess organisational strengths and vulnerabilities, and target workforce management strategies to drive talent attraction, retention and performance.

## 2 Planning

### 2.1 Design principles

The program design should:

- have a clear goal and focus
- define which employees will participate
- enable the agency to identify:
  - turnover trends at an organisation or unit level; and/or workforce segment
  - shifts in employee preferences
  - misalignment between employee experience and agency employee value proposition
  - systemic issues affecting employee retention
  - employee perception/observation of workplace culture
- be structured to align unique organisational, regional or business level requirements.

### 2.2 Data collection method

Research<sup>1</sup> shows that interviews and online surveys are the two most common strategies to collect feedback from employees as they exit an organisation. However, there is no single best strategy: the process of data collection and medium used depends on the unique needs of the organisation. Table 1 illustrates some of potential advantages and disadvantages of both formats:

**Table 1: Potential benefits and risks of interview formats**

Interview format	Advantages	Disadvantages
Interview	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>More personal</i></li> <li>• <i>Collect more detailed information</i></li> <li>• <i>Tailor questions based on employee responses and further explore or clarify issues raised</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Resource (financial and/or labour) intensive</i></li> <li>• <i>Lack anonymity</i></li> <li>• <i>Risk of interviewer bias</i></li> <li>• <i>Difficult to accurately capture responses</i></li> <li>• <i>May be challenging to benchmark response</i></li> <li>• <i>Requires a skilled interviewer</i></li> </ul>
Online survey	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Consistent administration across workforce</i></li> <li>• <i>Minimal interpretation error</i></li> <li>• <i>Easily benchmark (internally &amp; externally)</i></li> <li>• <i>Highlight focus areas</i></li> <li>• <i>Anonymity</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Less personal</i></li> <li>• <i>Limited customisation</i></li> <li>• <i>Limited ability to prompt or explore issues</i></li> <li>• <i>Limited ability to follow-up on allegations, such as bullying or harassment</i></li> </ul>

<sup>1</sup> Corporate Leadership Council (2009) Conducting Effective Exit Interviews: Executive Brief, CEB Corporate Leadership Council, November 2009; Drake (2004) Exit interviews: How impartial assessments can improve staff retention, Drake Whitepaper Vol. 1 No.5 2003/04.

Surveys may be used for generic roles with average or unchanging levels of turnover, and interviews used for roles, or work areas, experiencing unusual levels of turnover. Interviews may also be used where agencies are looking to focus discussion, or to identify root cause of any workplace issues. Alternatively, a combination of the two strategies may enable agencies to leverage the advantages of each.

Research<sup>2</sup> suggests that the average response rate for exit surveys is approximately 20 percent. However, there is no comparable research on response rates for exit interviews. Agencies may improve participation and response rates with a communication plan that helps employees understand the purpose of exit interviews, how their feedback will be collected and used by the organisation, confidentiality in the process, and time commitment required by the process.

Regardless of the strategy adopted, exit interviews and surveys should be easily accessible to all employees, including employees with a disability.

The tool/s used should provide structured and unbiased data that:

- together with employee (engagement) surveys and workforce metrics provide an evidence-based view of the health of the workforce environment
- reflect the whole workforce, regardless of geographical location, job role etc.
- inform and helps the organisation to define what it has to offer existing and prospective employees
- may be collated or aggregated for feedback to the agency leadership
- can identify common and localised reasons for employees leaving
- can identify action needed to improve organisational systems, processes, policy and strategies, such as, job design, recruitment and selection systems and procedures
- reveal and link significant employee issues, such as factors that engage employees – or influence their decision to leave the organisation.

## 2.3 Other design considerations

A combination of closed- and open-ended questions will enable agencies to aggregate responses and identify trends and identify employees' perspective on specific issues.

The number, type and focus of questions in an exit interview or survey will depend on the agency's specific interest. However, research suggests that response rates may be lower, with higher drop-off rates, if a survey is too long. As a guide, there should be no more than 25 to 30 questions.

## 2.4 Confidentiality

Ensuring confidentiality is a one of the key factors influencing response rates. Confidentiality provisions should be clearly outlined in the agency's exit interview program, including how the information will be collected, stored and used.

Provisions should outline if the information collected will be shared within the organisation; in what form (for example, aggregate data) and with whom (for example, the agency executive).

---

<sup>2</sup> Corporate Leadership Council (2003) Exit interview processes, Corporate Executive Board.

## 2.5 Communication

Participation and response rates may also be higher where employees expect an exit interview and understand the purpose and process involved. Accordingly, employee communication about the exit interview program should include:

- the purpose of the process
- information on employees who may participate in the process
- advice that participation in the process is voluntary, but strongly encouraged
- a brief outline of the process and timeframe
- an indication of who will conduct the interview
- confidentiality provisions in the collection, storage and use of information.

## 3 Implementation

### 3.1 Administration

Typically exit surveys and interviews are managed by an agency's HR area. However, exit surveys and interviews may be administered by a skilled and experienced person from another part of the agency, or outsourced to an external provider. Table 2 may assist agencies to decide on the most appropriate approach.

**Table 2: Benefits and risks of interviews and surveys being conducted by an in-house and external interviewer**

	Benefits	Risks
<i>In-house</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lower cost</li> <li>• Contextual and cultural understanding may enable more customisation during the interview</li> <li>• Ability to link resulting analysis to organisational context</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Employee concern about lack of anonymity may constrain responses</li> <li>• Potential interpretational bias due to corporate knowledge/understanding</li> </ul>
<i>External provider</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Independent and not influenced by organisational culture</li> <li>• Likelihood of greater openness and candour</li> <li>• Credibility (due to independence)</li> <li>• Ability to benchmark against other organisations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May lack context or organisational understanding</li> <li>• Potential interpretational bias due to a lack of corporate knowledge/understanding</li> <li>• Potentially higher cost</li> </ul>


The ability to effectively capture information in exit interviews is crucial. Agencies planning to administer exit interviews in-house should ensure all interviewers receive the necessary training and/or coaching to help make the interview more effective and a better experience for both the employee and the interviewer.

### 3.2 Timing

Interviews may be undertaken at any time after the employee notifies the agency of their intention to leave the organisation.

As illustrated by Figure 1, over the page, most interviews are undertaken during the last week of employment.





**Figure 1: Exit interview timing<sup>3</sup>**

Interviews may also be undertaken after an employee leaves the agency. Table 3 identifies some of the benefits and pitfalls of each approach.

**Table 3: Timing of conducting exit interviews and the benefits and pitfalls of each**

Early	Second or last day of employment	After departure
<b>Benefits</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ability to address issue/s causing employee to leave</li> <li>• Opportunity to send survey reminders and follow-upon issues</li> </ul>	<p>Likelihood of honest appraisal as there may be less concern over reprisal for comments made</p>	<p>Potential for more objective feedback as employees have time to reflect on their experience with the organisation</p>
<b>Pitfalls</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Information may be less reliable if employees are disengaged during this time</li> <li>• Risk of reduced candour if there is concern that their comments may be relayed to their manager</li> </ul>	<p>Participation rates may be lower due to competing demands during employees' last days</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Participation rates decrease considerably the longer an agency waits post employee departure.</li> <li>• Former employees may not be contactable</li> </ul>

<sup>3</sup> ibid Corporate Leadership Council (2003)

---

## 4 Report and act on findings

Exit interview reports may:

- be aggregated at division or organisational level to maintain confidentiality
- indicate, or provide insights, on:
  - main reasons for/factors contributing to employees' decision to leave
  - level of satisfaction with aspects of employees work experience, such as: job satisfaction, flexible work practices, career progression, salary, professional development opportunities
  - how the organisation might improve employees' working experience
  - misalignment with the organisation's employee value proposition, that is, what it says it offers employees
  - shifts in workplace trends
- be reported as part of the organisation's periodic dashboard report.

In addition to developing a plan for capturing and analysing information from exit interviews agencies should consider establishing a process for:

- regularly reviewing and managing issues that arise from information provided, such as, discrimination, harassment and bullying
- periodic reviews of the agency's exit interview process.

As part of the process review agencies may consider the indicators of the process effectiveness, for example:

- participation/response rate
- level of correlation with other information sources, such as, the People Matter Employee Survey
- the level of detail, or insight, provided to help the organisation make workplace improvements.