



Purpose of Paper

To report findings in regards to dosing of Carboplatin in Head and Neck cancer patients.

Scope

47 head and neck cancer patients treated with Carboplatin 100mg doses were investigated. Only those with Dr Gallagher as treating surgeon were investigated. Cases reviewed had Carboplatin 100mg treatment initiated between the dates January 2012 and April 2015.

Background

- In question for these patients is the prescription of a standard dose of Carboplatin, generally 100 mg. One patient reviewed was prescribed 180 mg.
- The best practice dosing regimen as defined by the Cancer Institute NSW in the EviQ treatment guidelines is calculated from the "Area under the Curve" (AUC).
- The AUC is a function of the patient's age, gender, weight, and renal function.
- Carboplatin dosage regimens for head and neck cancer include:
 - 1.5 x AUC in definitive chemo-radiation for patients with low probability of surgical cure, including unresectable tumours
 - 2 x AUC for post-operative chemo-radiation for patients with locally advanced disease.
- The only mention of 100 found in a treatment regimen is Cisplatin at 100 mg/ m² recommended for three weekly chemo-radiation or in TPF induction.
- No changes to the protocol for Carboplatin prescribing have been made since EviQ commenced in 2010.
- Indications for a reduction in dose of Carboplatin would normally include haematological toxicity (neutropaenia, thrombocytopaenia), renal impairment, and ototoxicity.
- The processes in place cancer services at SVH (prior to the 'go-live' of electronic prescribing via the EMR MOSAIQ in August 2015) for use of EviQ protocols were to: print and retain the protocol in the patients file and the treating medical officer prescribes the protocol on the flow chart (paper medication chart for chemotherapy).

Review undertaken

- An attempt was made to obtain and review the medical record for the 47 patients identified with head and neck cancer who had received Carboplatin, and a treating surgeon of Dr Gallagher.
- Of most importance to the review was the treatment protocol and flow chart. These are the sources that contain the dose given, patient age, gender, weight, and renal function.
- No flow chart was viewed in 7 of 47 patients. Comment is not made on these patients as patient's weight and dose given is unknown.
- No protocol was viewed in 15 of 47 patients. If the protocol were taken as 1.5 x
 AUC (lowest dose by protocol):
 - o All patients were still under-dosed by between 17 mg and 125 mg
- Both the treatment protocol and flow chart were viewed in 25 of 47 patients:
 7 of 25 had a protocol dose of 1.5 x AUC



Attachment C

One patient (IS) was given a dose of 180 mg (his protocol was 1.5 x AUC) under-dosed between 2 and 25 mg; IS is excluded from the comments

o The remaining patients were given the dose of 100 mg, regardless of the protocol

o All patients were under-dosed, between 10 mg and 200 mg per dose Assume

o An extensive review of the notes looking for indications for a reduction in dose was only undertaken for the original 5 patients with known recurrence. Of these, one patient (WK) had documented otalgia in the notes from 2011. His chemotherapy was initiated in May 2014.

Summary

- 47 relevant patients were identified for review.
- No flow chart was obtained in 7 of 47 patients.
- 39 of these 40 patients reviewed received the standard dosing of 100 mg Carboplatin.
- 1 of the 40 patients reviewed received dosing of 180 mg Carboplatin.

All patients were under dosed by between 2 mg and 200 mg.

Report by Dr. P. Savage (Medical governance/administration trainee)

atrainel c

internal inquire

92.2 95

Anthony Schembri

From:

Gabrielle Prest

Sent:

Tuesday, 23 February 2016 08:11

To:

Anthony Schembri; Chris Conn

Cc:

Brett Gardiner

Subject:

RE: RIB and RiskMan Chemotherapy Under-dose Request

No, we acted/started the investigation on the basis of the information provided to Brett verbally. At the time I was aware of reference to one but we've never seen evidence of it - none of the relevant people -{those of us who would expect to receive it) received it automatically.

It was an oversight not to do it retrospectively

Gabrielle

From: Anthony Schembri

Sent: Monday, 22 February 2016 7:58 PM

To: Gabrielle Prest; Chris Conn

Cc: Brett Gardiner

abject: RE: RIB and RiskMan Chemotherapy Under-dose Request

Are you able to advise why a riskman notification wasn't made?

From: Phillip Broughton

Sent: Monday, 22 February 2016 7:44

To: Anthony Schembri

Subject: RIB and RiskMan Chemotherapy Under-dose Request

Hi Anthony,

Following your request, I contacted Gabrielle Prest and Carlie Tighe to obtain copies of the RIB and RiskMan entries.

mave been advised that there was no formal RIB completed, however a draft RIB (attached and incomplete) was completed at the time time a Matter for Information was completed (dated 7 August 2015), notifying of the incident. I believe that the information that was used in the draft RIB was used for the Matter for Information. A clinical governance decision was made that a RIB was not required and the Matter for Information was sufficient. I was unable to obtain any information as to who made this decision and / or the grounds behind it.

I have also been advised that a RiskMan entry was not completed for this matter. Again, upon my questioning, I was unable to ascertain why this was the case.

Regards,

Phillip Broughton

Executive Officer and Manager of Corporate Governance

Phillip Broughton

Executive Officer and Manager of Corporate Governance

St Vincent's Hospital

78 \$

Minutes

Cancer and Immunology Program Clinical Governance Committee, Wednesday 4th July, 1230 - 1330

Chair: Professor Allan Spigelman Deputy Chair: Ashley O'Rourke Membership: Prof A Spigelman, Mr A O'Rourke, Ms S Francis, Mr J McAllister, Ms D How-Chow, Mrs A Fisher, Ms L Nolan, Ms M Bramwell, Ms K Storer, Ms G Beardsworth, Ms L Lynagh, Mr G Harvey, Ms M Rule, Ms S Ball, Mr D Behan, Ms L Davis, Mr R Fielden, Ms A Nolan, Ms A Horne, Ms S Kyle, Ms A Green, Ms A McLaughlin, Ms C Dolan, Ms F Hammond, Ms S Flynn, Dr D Dalley, Dr T Dodds, Dr R Jagavkar, Ms J Contemplacion, Ms A Polizios, E Lavie, Dr A Carr, Ms C Macks, Ms L Byrne, Ms T Melocco

Attendees: Prof A Spigelman, Mr A O'Rourke, Ms S Francis, Ms D How-Chow, Ms A Fisher, Ms L Nolan , Ms S Kyle, Ms C Dolan, Ms F Hammond, Ms S Flynn, Dr D Dalley, Dr R Jagavkar, Ms A Polizois, Ms C Macks, Ms E Lavie, Mr G Harvey, Ms L Byrne

- 1. Apologies: L Nolan, A O'Rourke, Z Potgeiter, B Cottam, S Levak, M Rule, C Dolan, Mr J McAllister, Dr T Dodds, T Melocco
- Confirmation of the Minutes of the previous meeting held on 9th May 2012.
- 3. Business arising
 - 3.1 Items from action log due:
 - See updated action log.
 - 4.1 Patient Focus N/A
 - 4.2 Patient Feedback (Compliments/Complaints)
 - Bree/Glenn to feedback about patient complaint on X9S regarding cleanliness of bathrooms as raised by Tony Dodds.
 - Standardised report being finalised with Anthony Marsh.
- 5. Patient Safety
 - 5.1 SAC1 & 2 Report PSQC
 - No SAC 1 or SAC 2 for the month of June for the Program
 - 5.2 Incident reports
 - Nil
 - 5.3 RCA recommendations status update
 - Ongoing work on the database which will lead to an improved report structure.
 - 5.4 Reports Deteriorating Patient in HOAC/IBAC/The Kinghorn Cancer Centre (TKCC)
 - PACE and code blue progressing well. Due to start week commencing 16th July.
 - RMO TKCC –The process of contacting a HOAC registrar in the event of PACE/Code Blue in TKCC. TKCC RMO responsibility to decide who to call or roster system? Meeting with David, Linzi, Sally and John Rihari-Thomas to be organised.
 - 5.5 Infection Control
 - Data presented. Doctors performing well.
- 6. Improvement Programs/Projects
 - 6.1 Project update: Patient Allocation HOAC see presentation attached...
- 7. Clinical Governance
 - 7.1 Clinical Policy & Procedures/Guidelines/Clinical Pathways/ Forms
 - Database is being updated and should be able to report at the next meeting how many policies and procedures are actually out of date.
 - 7.2 Clinical Unit/Department Morbidity& Mortality Report
 - Receipt of Department reports confirmed.
 - 7.3 Health Round Table
 - New report is now available. Sally to send to Allan the report to decipher Program responsibility.
 - **7.4** Risk Register(6 monthly)
 - Work in progress.
- 8. Other business (raised by Program committee members)
 - 8.1 Chemotherapy administration
 - Chemotherapy being administered outside of HOAC.
 - Report being finalised by the director of Pharmacy. Ashley to meet with Terry to discuss issues and concerns once this report is available.
 - Michele Rule has a database of who is accredited for chemotherapy administration in the Hospital.
 - Discuss chemotherapy administration protocols at our next protocol meeting.
 - Dianne How-Chow to meet with Cancer Care Coordinators to facilitate a collaborative effort with response to the chemotherapy administration protocol for patients with HCC.
- Committees
 - SVH Patient Safety & Quality Committee Verbal Update
 - No outstanding issues for the program.
- Date of Next Meeting: To be advised

H&N_Chemotherapy Critical Incident Action Register Aug-Nov 2	2015			
Action	Lead	Date	Complete	Comments
General	Ngaire Bucha	nan		
Instigate modified/IMT	NB	1 ''	1	
Inform CEO & CMO SVHA DCEO & GCEO Chair	NB		1	1
Sisters August Colonian	AS NB	1	8	
Ministry & NSW Cancer	RG	1	1	
Identify expert in H&N _ Chemotherapy Treatment	RG	1	1	1
Legal Advise _ TMF	1.	1	1	
Confirm timing of activation for patient contact				
Identify other stakeholders Confirm principles of approach	MtL			
Do no harm				
Patient contact process fully complete before activated				
Researched/evidenced based approach		1		
Open disclosure approach	1			
Ethnicity & Cultural requirements taken into account	į .			
Media on hold until patients informed Clinical / Patient risk Assessment	Dishard Call	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Confirm - adverse results x Chemotherapy treatment Plan	Richard Gallag	gner	,	
Review all H&N patients who have received Chemo under the curren	RG / Paul	1	1	
•	ļ	1	1	confirmed with Pharmacy Dept
Review non H&N patients treatment plan Confirm treatment plan appropriateness for the non H&N pts	GP /TM / CM		4	Head and Senior Oncology
Dominin realistent plan appropriateness for the flost many pig	GP		ď .	•
Service Provision	Richard Gallag	aher	 	
Patients being treated now to have plan reviewed	1	ĺ		Through peer group at H&N MD1
Confirm number and changes required			1	5
Communication plan refer to Communication section				
New patients treatment to be in line with NSW Cancer pathway		{	1	
Communications	David Falder		<u> </u>	
Separate out national & local response requirements	David Faktor	1		
Briefing of identified stakeholders	DF .			
Media approach	DF	1		
Key Themes National comms links	DF			
National comms links Spokespeople	DF DF	1		
Identify and brief	DF		{	
.,	1			
Prvice staff	GP			
staff briefing	BG		[
Biography of Francisco (Bulleting Sign of Francisco) Sign off from Clinical / Ethics and National as required	AS NB			
oigh on hom chilical? Elilics and National as required	IND			
Patient Contact	Brett Gardiner			
Overall approach to patients	cc			
List of patient contact requirements	1	1		
Patient status (clinical)	1	18		
Support requirements Review of clinical / checklist of patient information reg'd	l	W.V.		
Patient contact team	1	3.5		
Consultant / SW / Senior nurse identified and briefed	1	1.0		
Standardised call sheet	l			
Individualised approach		1,000		
Information sheet	1	7		
Patient script and sign off with SMO's Prep of team / briefing packs	1	1.35		
Pt & GP letters and pack		100		
Prepare Q&A	Ì	420		
Identify dates involved	1		1	
Forms for collecting patient information	 			
0800 (national) line			-	
Statement / Script prepared				
Flowchart for 0800 f.E. if impacted pt rings on 0800				
Collection of livé Dura (as above) Team rosters and times / Facilities				į
FAQ's for Hot line				
	1			
Quality Control				
QA for:	cc			
Internal consistency Communication plan				
Clinicians				
ture		1		
\nal approach				1
QA patient contact lists	1			
Sign off with NSW MoH & SVHA		. 15		
Comms plan, Action register, patient contact process	Ì		Ì	
Recovery Plan		ľ		
Review (RCA) of event	BG		1	
Communications plan to reassure patients and referrers	DF	1	ļ.	
Debriefing of IMT and associated members	NB			All Rxs EviQ bsed or otherwise
	İ			All Rxs EviQ bsed or otherwise non-EviQ protocol committee to
	1			be convened for rv
Alert system process in place for treatments outside of pathways in p	IIGP	14.	€ .	
Appreciation to the teams Social Support for impacted families	NAI			
Review redundancy required for future proofing similar events	ML GP	1		ala
				17:7
Team Members				
Anthony Schembri - CEO	AS			
Ngaire Buchanan COO - Lead Incident Control TBC - Lead Technical Advisory Group (TAG)	NB			
Richard Gallaher Cancer Director - Clinical Lead	RG			
Margaret Lazar - Allied Health Director	ML			
Brett Gardiner - DCG & CMO	BG			
David Faktor - Communications	DF			
Gabrielle Prest - Clinical Stream Manager	GP			
Majid Shahi - Acting Clinical Sream Manager	MS			•
Terry Melocco - Chief Pharmacist Chris Conn- Patient safey & Quality	TM			
Chris Conn- Patient safety & Quality Carlie Tighe - Patient safety & Quality	CC CT			
Linzi Nolan - NUM Nelune	LN			
Eliza Holan - Holy Nelalic				
Matt Larkin - Emergency Response Manager	MtL .			

Incident ID: 151838

This is a confidential report, please dispose of in an appropriate and secure manner ie, by shredding. Failure to ensure the destruction of records may lead to the unauthorised release of sensitive

Incident Details

Incident involved: Non-individual

Surname: under Dr Gryglel

Street:

Suburb/City:

Postcode:

Country:

Dates.

Incident Date: 29 February 2012

Notification Date: 29 February 2012

Date Closed:

Incident Time: 13:30

Reporter's Position: Registered Nurse

Reporter's Location:

Seen By Name:

Notification Details

Summary: Patient treatment schedule not completed by a consultant, wrong dose entered into chemotherapy

schedule

Details: Patient treatment schedule not completed by a consultant, wrong dose entered into chemotherapy

schedule

Reporter's Name:

Contact Phone:

Reporter's Site: Seen By:

Treatment Given: None

Action(s) Taken:

Investigations/Findings:

Investigated By: Controls Implemented:

Control Hierarchy:

Was Restraint Used?: No

Seclusion?: No

Coroner Notified: No Autopsy performed: No

Next Of Kin Notified; No

Outcome:

Sentinel:

Personnel Involved

Person #1: no personel involved

Person #2: none

Person #1 Position:

Approved by Medical Officer/General Practitioner:

Person #2 Position:

Restraint

Type of restraint used:

Reason For Restraint:

Approved by Medical Officer?: No

Medication administered in the

2hrs prior to restraint: Medication administered during

the restraint:

Injuries Sustained (Restreint): No

Prior to restraint episode was the risk assessment for:

Aggression(Restraint):

Absconding(Restraint):

Self harm (Restraint):

Prior to restraint episode was the risk assessment for Sexual

Safety: Prior to restraint episode was

the risk assessment for Suicide: Prior to restraint episode was

the risk assessment for Harm

from Others: Preventative Strategies

(Restraint):

Prior History (Restraint, No

Aggression):

Prior History (Restraint, No

Absconding):

Prior History (Restraint, Self No

Harm):

Prior History (Restraint, Sexual No

Safety):

Prior History (Restraint, For No

Suicide):

Prior History (Restraint, Harm No

from Others):