INQUIRY INTO CHILD PROTECTION

Name: Proforma A – Suggested issues for the committee to cover

Number received: 4

I WOULD LIKE THE MEMBERS TO DEMAND THAT THE INQUIRY COVERS ISSUES SUCH AS THE MATTERS BELOW AND THAT THEY ARE ACTED UPON: ABUSE OF POWER BY THE CASE-WORKER'S OF CHILD PROTECTION. MANIPULATION OF EVIDENCE IN THE CHILDREN'S COURT. BIAS AND COLLUSION OF ICL WITH CPS IN CHILDREN'S COURT. BIAS OF THE CHILDREN'S COURTS AGAINST PARENTS. NATIONAL ACCREDITED REGISTRATION OF ALL CHILD PROTECTION WORKERS. THERE NEEDS TO BE A GOVERNMENT FUNDED BUT COMPLETELY INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE SO THAT CHILD PROTECTION WORKERS BE ACCOUNTABLE AND TRANSPARENT FOR THEIR WRONGDOINGS, AND THAT CAN REPORT TO THE PUBLIC (THROUGH THE MEDIA IF NEEDS BE) ON POLICE AND PUBLIC SERVICE FAILURES AND RESPONSES, AND TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE TO PEOPLE WHO DO NOT UNDERSTAND THE PROCESSES THAT THE BUREAUCRACY USES TO ISOLATE AND SILENCE VICTIMS. INFORMATION PERTAINING TO WHO MADE INITIAL REPORTS OF CHILD ABUSE AND CHILD NEGLECT TO BE MADE IN COURT IF SUPBOENED. S121 'GAG' ORDERS TO BE ABOLISHED. ESPECIALLY PERTAINING TO THE DISAPPEARANCE OF A CHILD IN 'CARE'. MORE FUNDING AVAILABLE FOR CHILD PROTECTION DEPARTMENTS NATIONALLY FOR REUNIFICATION AND RESTORATION PROGRAMS, ESPECIALLY FOR SERVICES TO FAMILIES TO BE HELP IN TIMES OF NEED TO PREVENT ANY CHILD ENTERING FOSTER CARE. NGO'S TO BE TRANSPARENT TO THE PUBLIC WHEREBY THEY HAVE FUNDING AGREEMENTS WITH CPS THAT SECRETLY REMOVE CHILDREN THROUGH PARENTING AGREEMENTS AND CHILD CARE AGREEMENTS. IF NO PARENT IS CRIMINALLY CHARGED WITH CHILD ABUSE OR CHILD NEGLECT AND HAVE HAD THEIR CHILD/REN REMOVED, THE COURT MUST RESTORE THOSE CHILDREN RIGHTFULLY TO THEIR PARENTS IF THEY HAVE BEEN REMOVED WRONGFULLY. EXACT NUMBER OF CHILD DEATHS IN 'CARE' FOR EACH STATE AND TERRITORY TO BE PUBLISHED PUBLICLY. CASEWORKERS CHARGED WITH SPYING ON PARENTS THAT USE SOCIAL MEDIA TO USE AGAINST THE PARENTS IN COURTS WHICH IS AN INVASION OF PRIVACY. CHILDREN'S COURTS TO BE MADE OPEN, VIDEO TAPED AND RECORDED TO PREVENT PERJURY IN COURTS. THE EXTENDED FAMILIES OF ANY CHILD REMOVED FROM THEIR PARENTS BE THE VERY FIRST IN LINE TO CARE FOR THAT CHILD BEFORE ANY THOUGHT OF FOSTER CARE IS INVOLVED AND SO THAT THE CHILD IS NOT SEVERED FROM THEIR EXTENDED FAMILIES WHICH IS WHAT OFTEN HAPPENS. ABOLISH THE CURRENT LAW IN NSW THAT PRU GOWARD BROUGHT IN THAT SEES CHILDREN FORCED INTO ADOPTION IF THE CHILD IS IN 'CARE' FOR 6 MONTHS OR MORE AND UNDER 2 YEARS OLD OR IN 'CARE' FOR 12 MONTHS OR MORE AND IS TWO YEARS AND OVER.THIS IS A BLATANT HUMAN RIGHTS BREACH. CHILDREN'S COURT PROCESSES CAN TAKE ANYWHERE FROM 6 MONTHS TO 2 YEARS. MOTHERS MUST NOT BE RE-VICTIMISED BY CHILD PROTECTION AND HAVE THEIR CHILDREN FORCIBLY REMOVED DUE TO DOMESTIC VIOLENCE CASES, THE PERPETRATOR MUST BE THE ONE REMOVED, NOT THE CHILDREN.MOTHERS MUST BE ALLOWED TO BREASTFEED THEIR BABY AS LONG AS REQUIRED AND THAT NO INTERVENTION BY CHILD PROTECTION BE TAKEN ON THE ACCOUNT THAT THE MOTHER WAS PREVIOUSLY IN 'CARE' HERSELF OR THAT SHE MAY HAVE OR HAD CHILDREN IN 'CARE' BEFORE. ABOLISH THE 'FUTURE EMOTIONAL RISK OF HARM' LAW AS THIS IS A CRYSTAL BALL METHOD OF REMOVING CHILDREN AND MUST BE STOPPED. EVERY CASE AUDITED IN NSW WHERE THERE HAS NOT BEEN ANY CRIMINAL CHARGES LAID

AGAINST THE PARENTS OF CHILDREN REMOVED. FACS COMPLAINTS DEPARTMENT TO UNDERGO A THOROUGH OVERHAUL WHEREBY CURRENTLY.IF PARENTS FILE A FORMAL COMPLAINT ABOUT THEIR CASEWORKER, THAT CASEWORKER IS INFORMED AND BASICALLY INVESTIGATES THEMSELVES AND IN TURN PUNISHES THE PARENT BY LIMITING CONTACT VISITS WITH PARENTS CHILD/REN. FORMAL ASSESSMENTS MADE FOR FACS BY ASSESSMENTS AUSTRALIA ARE BIASED AND PREDETERMINED BY FACS THAT THE ASSESSMENTS IS SET-UP TO FAIL. ALL FACS WORKERS MUST BE MADE TO ACKNOWLEDGE AND FOLLOW THEIR OWN POLICIES AND PROCEDURES AT ALL TIMES, NOT WHEN THEY FEEL LIKE IT OR TOLD TO DO SO. ANYTIME THAT CASEWORKERS SPEAK TO A CHILD THAT IS IN 'CARE'.MUST BE RECORDED AT ALL TIMES AND BE PROVIDED UPON REQUEST IN COURT. FACS MUST INTIMIDATE, BULLY OR FORCE PARENTS TO SIGN OVER THEIR CHILDREN OR THREATEN THEM WITH REMOVAL OF THEIR CHILDREN IF THEY DO NOT SIGN AGREEMENTS. FACS CASEWORKERS MUST NOT LIE OR FABRICATE THEIR AFFIDAVITS OR REPORTS FROM CONTACT VISITS OR VISITS TO THE PARENTS HOUSE FOR THEIR OWN PERSONAL GAIN OR TO EXPRESS THEIR OWN OPINION TO JUSTIFY REMOVAL OF ANY CHILD. MANDATORY 6 MONTHLY REVIEWS MINIMUM OF PARENTS AS HARDENED CRIMINALS AND MENTAL HEALTH PATIENTS BOTH RECEIVE 6 MONTHLY REVIEWS AS STANDARD PRACTICE, WHEREAS PARENTS RECEIVE NONE. THE OMBUDSMAN AND CHILDREN'S GUARDIAN TO ACTUALLY DO WHAT THEIR JOBS ENTAIL. WHICH IS TO INVESTIGATE COMPLAINTS AND CRIMES AGAINST FAMILIES BY FACS. FACS CASEWORKERS TO THOROUGHLY INVESTIGATE ANY ABUSE OR NEGLECT ALLEGATIONS AND THAT ANY HEARSAY REPORTS WHICH ARE NEVER INVESTIGATED MUST BE MADE IREVELANT IF USED IN CHILDREN'S COURTS. CHILD PROTECTION WORKERS TO BE TRAINED IN CHILD ABUSE AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE SITUATIONS. CHILD PROTECTION WORKERS TO WORK WITH PARENTS, NOT AGAINST THEM. PARENTS WITH MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES SHOULD NOT HAVE THEIR CHILDREN REMOVED DUE TO A DISABILITY AS THIS IS DISCRIMINATION AND VERY WRONG. THE FORCED REMOVAL OF CHILDREN FROM FIT, ABLE AND LOVING PARENTS IS CHILD ABUSE. CHILDREN MUST BE RIGHTFULLY RESTORED BACK TO THEIR PARENTS ASAP AFTER THE CHILDREN'S COURT GRANTS RESTORATION BACK TO THE PARENTS AND FACS MUST NOT DELAY OR POSTPONE THIS DECISION WHATSOEVER LIKE THEY LIKE TO DO. CHILDREN MUST BE PROVIDED WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHILD'S CHARTER OF RIGHTS AS SOON AS THE CHILD ENTERS 'CARE' AT ALL TIMES. INVESTIGATE THE USE OF NGO'S AND IN-HOME CHILD CARE WHO USE SIGNED PARENTING AGREEMENTS TO SECRETLY REMOVE CHILDREN UNDER THE GUISE OF 'RISK OF HARM' REPORTS.