



LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

**QUESTIONS
AND
ANSWERS**

No. 53

WEDNESDAY 23 MARCH 2016

(The Questions and Answers Paper published for the first sitting day in each week will contain, by number and title, all unanswered questions, together with questions to which answers have been received on the previous sitting and any new questions. On subsequent days, new questions are printed, as are questions to which answers were received the previous day. Consequently the full text of any question will be printed only twice: when notice is given; and, when answered.)

Notice given on date shown

Publication of Questions	Answer to be lodged by
Q & A No. 42 (Including Question Nos 0783 to 0815)	29 March 2016
Q & A No. 43 (Including Question Nos 0816 to 0820)	30 March 2016
Q & A No. 44 (Including Question Nos 0821 to 0841)	31 March 2016
Q & A No. 45 (Including Question Nos 0842 to 0847)	12 April 2016
Q & A No. 46 (Including Question Nos 0848 to 0870)	13 April 2016
Q & A No. 47 (Including Question Nos 0871 to 0880)	14 April 2016
Q & A No. 48 (Including Question Nos 0881 to 0884)	19 April 2016
Q & A No. 49 (Including Question Nos 0885 to 0887)	20 April 2016
Q & A No. 50 (Including Question Nos 0888 to 0895)	21 April 2016
Q & A No. 51 (Including Question Nos 0896 to 0897)	25 April 2016
Q & A No. 52 (Including Question Nos 0898 to 0906)	26 April 2016
Q & A No. 53 (Including Question Nos 0907 to 0932)	27 April 2016

23 MARCH 2016

(Paper No. 53)

- 907 HERITAGE—FUNDING FOR PARRAMATTA FEMALE FACTORY MEMORY PROJECT—Mr Shoebridge to ask the Minister for Ageing, Minister for Disability Services, and Minister for Multiculturalism representing the Minister for the Environment, Minister for Heritage, and Assistant Minister for Planning—
- (1) What funding is currently allocated to support the emotional, psychological and physical needs of Parragirls involved in the Parramatta Female Factory Precinct Memory Project?
 - (2) What additional funding will be allocated in future terms to support the emotional, psychological and physical needs of Parragirls involved in the Parramatta Female Factory Precinct Memory Project?
 - (3) What plans are there to provide a place of recognition at the Parramatta Female Factory precinct for the experience of those who went through the place and the institutional abuse that happened there?
- 908 ENVIRONMENT—NATIONAL PARKS AND WILDLIFE SPENDING—Mr Shoebridge to ask the Minister for Ageing, Minister for Disability Services, and Minister for Multiculturalism representing the Minister for the Environment, Minister for Heritage, and Assistant Minister for Planning—
- (1) What is the current departmental underspend in the National Parks and Wildlife Service?
 - (2) What are the expected savings from currently proposed changes to wages and conditions of rangers and field officers?
- 909 ENVIRONMENT—ALBERT (TIBBY) COTTER WALKWAY—Dr Faruqi to ask the Minister for Ageing, Minister for Disability Services, and Minister for Multiculturalism representing the Minister for the Environment, Minister for Heritage, and Assistant Minister for Planning—
- (1) Did the Minister endorse the terms and conditions of the consent-development deed for the Albert (Tibby) Cotter Walkway?
 - (2) What are these terms and conditions?
- 910 TRANSPORT AND INFRASTRUCTURE—CARRIAGES—Dr Faruqi to ask the Minister for Roads, Maritime and Freight, and Vice-President of the Executive Council representing the Minister for Transport and Infrastructure—
- (1) Were the number of carriages on NSW TrainLink services reduced in the 2015 Christmas period?
 - (a) If so, why?
 - (2) What NSW TrainLink services will the Government provide for the 2016 Christmas period?
- 911 TRANSPORT AND INFRASTRUCTURE—XPT UPDATE—Dr Faruqi to ask the Minister for Roads, Maritime and Freight, and Vice-President of the Executive Council representing the Minister for Transport and Infrastructure—
- (1) What is the current timeline for the replacement of XPT trains?
 - (2) What is the budget for the XPT replacement in the following financial years:
 - (a) 2015-16
 - (b) 2016-17
 - (c) 2017-18
 - (d) 2018-19
 - (e) 2019-20
 - (f) 2020-21
- 912 FINANCE, SERVICES AND PROPERTY—ILLEGAL DUMPING OF ASBESTOS BY CLARENCE VALLEY COUNCIL—Mr Shoebridge to ask the Minister for Primary Industries, and Minister for Lands and Water representing the Minister for Finance, Services and Property—

SafeWork NSW has recently investigated, and confirmed the occurrence of possibly illegally dumped asbestos waste by Clarence Valley Council, immediately adjacent to a residential area and next door to the South Grafton High School. Council is proposing to excavate that site to construct a works depot.

- (1) What actions will the Minister take to ensure that Clarence Valley Council is responding adequately to repeated requests from concerned citizens for information relating to the asbestos dumping and potential health implications?
- (2) Given this asbestos pollution has been brought to the Minister's attention, what steps has the Minister taken to address the potential health risks that students, teachers and workers may have been exposed to?
- (3) Given that this asbestos dumping has been confirmed by SafeWork NSW, and that neighbours have never been informed, what regulatory action will be taken against those responsible?

- 913 LOCAL GOVERNMENT—ILLEGAL DUMPING OF ASBESTOS BY CLARENCE VALLEY COUNCIL—Mr Shoebridge to ask the Minister for Roads, Maritime and Freight, and Vice-President of the Executive Council representing the Minister for Local Government—

SafeWork NSW has recently investigated, and confirmed the occurrence of possibly illegally dumped asbestos waste by Clarence Valley Council, immediately adjacent to a residential area and next door to the South Grafton High School. Council is proposing to excavate that site to construct a works depot.

- (1) What actions will the Minister take to ensure that Clarence Valley Council is responding adequately to repeated requests from concerned citizens for information relating to the asbestos dumping and potential health implications?
- (2) Given this asbestos pollution has been brought to the Minister's attention, what steps has the Minister taken to address the potential health risks that students, teachers and workers may have been exposed to?
- (3) Given that this asbestos dumping has been confirmed by SafeWork NSW, and that neighbours have never been informed, what regulatory action will be taken against those responsible?

- 914 AGEING, DISABILITY SERVICES, MULTICULTURALISM—NEW SOUTH WALES NATIONAL DISABILITY INSURANCE SCHEME TRANSITION PLAN—Ms Cotsis to ask the Minister for Ageing, Minister for Disability Services, and Minister for Multiculturalism—

- (1) When will the Government release the New South Wales National Disability Insurance Scheme transition plan?
- (2) In regard to recommendation 9 of the NSW Ombudsman's Report of Reviewable Deaths in 2012 and 2013, Volume 2: Deaths of people with disability in residential care (the Report):
 - (a) Have the Department of Premier and Cabinet (DPC), Family and Community Services (FACS) and NSW Health held discussions with the National Disability Insurance Agency and the Commonwealth Government regarding future arrangements for the provision of FACS-funded health-related supports?
 - (b) Have DPC, FACS and NSW Health reported to the Ombudsman on the outcomes of such discussions and intended actions?
- (3) In regard to recommendation 10 of the Report, have NSW Health and FACS established joint disability/health committees in each district to promote and oversee capacity building in relation to mainstream health services?

- 915 INNOVATION AND BETTER REGULATION—ETHANOL INDUSTRY—Mr Buckingham to ask the Minister for Ageing, Minister for Disability Services, and Minister for Multiculturalism representing the Minister for Innovation and Better Regulation—

Can the Minister please provide details of the independent review of the ethanol industry which found that nationwide ethanol production delivers 3,000 direct jobs and a further 20,000 indirect jobs?

- 916 LANDS AND WATER—CRANKY ROCK DAM PHASE 2 INVESTIGATIONS—Mr Buckingham to ask the Minister for Primary Industries, and Minister for Lands and Water—

- (1) What are the terms of reference for the phase 2 investigations into the Cranky Rock Dam?

- (2) Will the investigations include an analysis of what percentage of any water storage will be for industrial usage, particularly mining? If not, why not?
- (3) Will the investigations consider the cost benefit of alternatives to a new dam? If not, why not?
- (4) Will there be a public exhibition phase of the report and its recommendations? If not, why not?
- (5) Will the environmental assessments involve in situ investigations or a desktop analysis?

917 ENVIRONMENT, HERITAGE, PLANNING—RADIATION CONTAMINATION—Ms Barham to ask the Minister for Ageing, Minister for Disability Services, and Minister for Multiculturalism representing the Minister for the Environment, Minister for Heritage, and Assistant Minister for Planning—

- (1) Has the NSW Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) taken over the responsibility for assessing and remediating contaminated soils in residential and public lands, where contamination is associated with isotope radiation?
 - (a) If so, when did this transfer from NSW Health take place?
- (2) Do any roles and responsibilities for radiation contaminated lands or matters relating to them, still reside with the State or the local health authorities?
 - (a) If so, which ones?
- (3) When did the Mooball contaminated sites issue become the responsibility of the EPA?
- (4) What precisely is the NSW EPA's role in monitoring sites where it has been brought to its attention that radioactive soil remediation may have been incomplete?
- (5) Do the EPA officers carry out contaminated soil radiation level testing or do they subcontract this to private contractors or others?
- (6) Do EPA officers carry out radiation contaminated soil remediation or do they subcontract this to private contractors or others?
- (7) What is the current unit of measurement for all kinds of radiation in contaminated soils?
- (8) What was the 'safe' level for exposure to all kinds of radiation (including gamma and alpha radiation) for residential areas in 1983 in New South Wales?
 - (a) What was the level for all kinds of radiation (including gamma and alpha radiation) that required remedial action in 1983?
- (9) What is the current 'safe' level for exposure to all kinds of radiation (including gamma and alpha radiation) for residential areas in New South Wales?
- (10) What is the current safe level for exposure to radiation in residential areas from:
 - (a) Waste deposits containing Thorium tailings from extraction from heavy sand deposits?
 - (b) Waste deposits containing Monazite tailings from extraction from heavy sand deposits?
 - (c) Have these levels changed since 1983?
- (11) What is the remedial action level for radiation in residential areas from:
 - (a) Waste deposits containing Thorium tailings from extraction from heavy sand deposits?
 - (b) Waste deposits containing Monazite tailings from extraction from heavy sand deposits?
 - (c) Have these levels changed since 1983?
- (12) Who determines the safe and unsafe levels of exposure to radiation?
 - (a) Are these national standards?
- (13) Were there different levels of safe exposure to radiation in 1983 for:
 - (a) A child ?
 - (b) An adult?

If so, what were they for

 - (i) Residential areas?
 - (ii) Public spaces?
 - (iii) Schools?
- (14) Are there different levels of safe exposure to radiation under current standards for:

- (a) A child?
- (b) An adult?

If so, what are they for:

- (i) Residential areas?
 - (ii) Public spaces?
 - (iii) Schools?
- (15) For the purpose of comparing historical data from the 1980s, what is the formula for converting radioactive measurements per gram or per cubic or square metre?
- (a) What are the formulae for converting radiation disintegration rates per minute per cubic or square meter to current units?
- (16) Why is it that despite in 1983 the North Coast Region of the NSW Health Commission finding in 1983 that the Children's Playground in Mooball Road Mooball was 'affected' in terms of levels of radiation, did subsequent communication from the North Coast Health Region not show the children's playground on their plan of 'affected' areas 'as its location is not known'?
- (a) Was the 'affected' children's playground location found?
- (b) Did any remediation of this site take place?
- (17) What are the triggers for local government to require assessment of radiation risk under the Contaminated Lands Management Act 1997 when considering development applications related to previously contaminated land?
- (a) What is the role of the Department of Planning in this regard?
- (18) How many sites have been deemed contaminated and required remedial action in NSW since 1980?
- (a) What are the addresses of these sites?
- (b) When was remedial action completed for these sites?

918 AGEING, DISABILITY SERVICES—ABORIGINAL RESOURCES AND PATHWAYS PROGRAM—Ms Barham to ask the Minister for Ageing, Minister for Disability Services, and Minister for Multiculturalism—

- (1) Is funding for the Aboriginal Resources and Pathways program ceasing on 30 June 2016?
- (a) If so, why?
- (2) What are the Government's commitments to supporting Aboriginal community development in disability support and the National Disability Insurance Scheme beyond 30 June 2016?
- (3) How will the Government ensure that Aboriginal people with a disability get information about, and access to, the National Disability Insurance Scheme after 30 June 2016?
- (4) Has the Government evaluated the Aboriginal Resources Pathways program?
- (a) If so, what was the outcome of that evaluation?
- (5) How will the Government support employment opportunities for Aboriginal people in the disability sector if these the Aboriginal Resources and Pathways program ceases on 30 June 2016?
- (6) How many Aboriginal people have completed traineeships through the Aboriginal Resources and Pathways program?
- (7) How many non-government organisations are members of the program's networks?
- (a) How many Aboriginal Elders are members of the program's networks?
- (b) How many community members are members of the program's networks?
- (c) How many government stakeholders are members of the program's networks?
- (d) How many business stakeholders are members of the program's networks?
- (8) How much Government funding did the Aboriginal Resources and Pathways program receive in the following financial years:
- (a) 2011/12
- (b) 2012/13
- (c) 2013/14

- (d) 2014/15
 - (e) 2015/16?
- (9) How much Commonwealth Government funding did the Aboriginal Resources and Pathways program receive in the following financial years:
- (a) 2011/12
 - (b) 2012/13
 - (c) 2013/14
 - (d) 2014/15
 - (e) 2015/16?
- (10) Does the Government have any alternative program that delivers sustained and respectful engagement with Aboriginal communities?
- (a) If so, what is it?
- 919 LANDS AND WATER, PRIMARY INDUSTRIES—ABORIGINAL LAND CLAIMS AND AGREEMENTS—Ms Barham to ask the Minister for Primary Industries, and Minister for Lands and Water—
- (1) Could the Minister please advise of any progress in making use of the provisions of the Aboriginal Land Rights Agreement Act 2014 to negotiate Aboriginal Land Agreements as a way of resolving Aboriginal land claims?
 - (2) Noting that at 30 June 2015 there were more than 28,000 unprocessed claims, what additional actions and resources have the Government dedicated to reducing the number of claims, whether through processing individual claims or negotiating Aboriginal Land Agreements?
- 920 HEALTH—CANCER CLUSTER—Ms Barham to ask the Minister for Ageing, Minister for Disability Services, and Minister for Multiculturalism representing the Minister for Health—
- (1) Given that the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare gives the latest figures for the incidence of cancer in New South Wales as being 491 per 100,000 or one in every 200 people, can you explain how an incidence of cancer of 28 in a population of 377, or one in every 14 people, is not cause for further investigation, especially when most of these cases have occurred in the one street where the plots were remediated because of the 'affected' levels of radiation?
 - (2) Can you explain how the Chief Executive of Northern NSW Local Health District in his response to the concerned local GP Dr Paul Malouf could conclude that 'the situation in Mooball fails to meet all four of the criteria commonly used to indicate proceeding to a cluster investigation'?
 - (3) What constitutes a cancer cluster in New South Wales?
 - (a) What rate of cancer incidence triggers an investigation?
 - (b) What environmental factors qualify as triggers?
 - (4) Has NSW Health failed to recognise cancer clusters before? If so
 - (a) How many have failed to be picked up?
 - (b) Where were these clusters?
 - (c) Why did NSW Health fail to recognise them?
 - (5) Did the North Coast Public Health Unit:
 - (a) Pursue documents that may contain information about potential land contamination in the Mooball area?
 - (b) Undertake a literature search to determine if there is any evidence linking increased cancer risks in communities where there are naturally occurring mineral sands? If so,
 - (i) When will it be made publicly available?
 - (ii) Why did the North Coast Public Health Unit restrict its literature search to 'cancer risks in communities where there are naturally occurring mineral sands'?
 - (iii) Why did it not include areas where tailings and other waste from sand mining was deposited?
 - (iv) Why did it not include areas where sand mining had taken place?

- 921 LANDS AND WATER, PRIMARY INDUSTRIES—GADEN TROUT HATCHERY ADVERTISEMENTS—Mr Veitch to ask the Minister for Primary Industries, and Minister for Lands and Water—

Regarding the advertisement found on page 26 of the Port Stephens Examiner on Thursday 10 March 2016, regarding the Gaden Trout Hatchery:

- (1) In how many publications was this advertisement or a related advertisement printed? Please list any publications and the dates published.
- (2) What was the total cost to the NSW Department of Primary Industries and/or Recreational Fishing Trusts for placing these advertisements?
- (3) Who initiated the placing of these advertisements?
- (4) Was the committee or advisory body distributing funding for the Recreational Fishing Trusts consulted regarding these advertisements?
 - (a) If so, please provide the minutes that incorporate their decision.
- (5) Does the hatchery or the Government collect information showing how many extra visitors these advertisements generated?
 - (a) If so, please provide figures showing the number of extra visitors.

- 922 LANDS AND WATER, PRIMARY INDUSTRIES—NEW SOUTH WALES RECREATIONAL FISHING TRUSTS FUNDING—Mr Veitch to ask the Minister for Primary Industries, and Minister for Lands and Water—

Regarding the New South Wales Recreational Fishing Trusts funding for projects:

- (1) How many applications were received for funding, and how many applications were approved for funding, for each year for the past four financial years?
- (2) For projects approved for the Department of Primary Industries itself to carry out, is the Department required to follow the same application process as external applicants?
- (3) Were unsuccessful applicants advised of the reasons why they were unsuccessful?
- (4) Have unsuccessful applicants been offered assistance in preparing successful applications in future?

- 923 LANDS AND WATER, PRIMARY INDUSTRIES—NEW SOUTH WALES SHARK MANAGEMENT STRATEGY—Mr Veitch to ask the Minister for Primary Industries, and Minister for Lands and Water—

Regarding each of the four components of the Government's New South Wales Shark Management Strategy:

- (1) What is the budget allocation for each component for 2015-16?
- (2) What is the expenditure for each component to date? Please break down expenditure to each type of initiative within each of the four components.
- (3) Which initiatives within each component have been begun to date, and when were they begun? Please include location details, if applicable.
- (4) Which initiatives within each component have been implemented or completed to date, and when were they implemented or completed? Please include location details, if applicable.
- (5) When will each component be evaluated or reviewed?
- (6) Will any evaluations or reviews be made public?
- (7) Are there other initiatives for shark management currently being undertaken that are not listed as part of the components of the Strategy? If so, please provide details.

- 924 LANDS AND WATER, PRIMARY INDUSTRIES—DREDGING—Mr Veitch to ask the Minister for Primary Industries, and Minister for Lands and Water—

- (1) Does the Government own a dredge or equipment to undertake dredging in waterways?
 - (a) If not, has the Government previously owned a dredge or such equipment and has the Government considered procuring any?

- (2) What was the Government's expenditure on dredging activities for each of the past four financial years?
 - (3) How much funding is allocated for dredging activities in 2015-16?
 - (4) What is the process for dredging works to be funded and carried out by the Government?
 - (5) Who is responsible for deciding whether to approve and initiate dredging works in NSW?
 - (6) What is the average timeframe from the lodging of a request for dredging works, to a decision regarding the request and, if approved, the dredging works being carried out?
- 925 LANDS AND WATER, PRIMARY INDUSTRIES—BEEHIVE BORDER INSPECTIONS—Mr Veitch to ask the Minister for Primary Industries, and Minister for Lands and Water—
- (1) What action does the Government take to carry out border inspections for beehives transported across state borders, particularly in relation to associated biosecurity risks?
 - (2) How often are inspections of beehives transported from Queensland to Northern New South Wales carried out?
 - (3) What funding is allocated to ensure beehive inspections are carried out at borders?
 - (4) How many instances of diseased beehives being transported across borders into New South Wales have there been in each year for the past four years?
- 926 PLANNING—WEST WALLSEND BUTTERFLY CAVE—Ms Barham to ask the Minister for Ageing, Minister for Disability Services, and Minister for Multiculturalism representing the Minister for Planning—
- (1) Was the Joint Regional Planning Panel (JRRP) fully informed of the Aboriginal significance of the Butterfly Cave at West Wallsend in relation to the Roche Group residential development?
 - (2) Was the JRPP advised that the Awabakal women who are custodians of the Butterfly Cave sacred Aboriginal Women's site supported the 20 metre protection buffer around the cave?
 - (3) Did the Awabakal women who are custodians of the Butterfly Cave support the 20 metre protection buffer around the cave, and what evidence (if any) there is to suggest that this is the case?
 - (4) Will the Minister take action to seek a stop work order or a deferral of works by the Roche Group to allow further discussions and negotiations for the protection of the Butterfly Cave, which was declared an Aboriginal Place under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 in June 2013?
 - (5) Does the area (20 metre) defined for protection under the Roche Group voluntary protection buffer, adequately protect the significance of the site?
- 927 ENVIRONMENT, HERITAGE—WEST WALLSEND BUTTERFLY CAVE—Ms Barham to ask the Minister for Ageing, Minister for Disability Services, and Minister for Multiculturalism representing the Minister for the Environment, Minister for Heritage, and Assistant Minister for Planning—
- (1) Was the Joint Regional Planning Panel (JRRP) fully informed of the Aboriginal significance of the Butterfly Cave at West Wallsend in relation to the Roche Group residential development?
 - (2) Was the JRPP advised that the Awabakal women who are custodians of the Butterfly Cave sacred Aboriginal Women's site supported the 20 metre protection buffer around the cave?
 - (3) Did the Awabakal women who are custodians of the Butterfly Cave support the 20 metre protection buffer around the cave, and what evidence (if any) there is to suggest that this is the case?
 - (4) Will the Minister take action to seek a stop work order or a deferral of works by the Roche Group to allow further discussions and negotiations for the protection of the Butterfly Cave, which was declared an Aboriginal Place under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 in June 2013?
 - (5) Does the area (20 metre) defined for protection under the Roche Group voluntary protection buffer, adequately protect the significance of the site?
- 928 PRIMARY INDUSTRIES—REVIEW OF CROWN LANDS—Mr Shoebridge to ask the Minister for Primary Industries, and Minister for Lands and Water—
- (1) Please provide an update as to where the review of Crown Lands Management is up to and when a

report is expected to be published?

- (2) When will the more than 600 submissions considered by the review be made public?
- (3) What consultation has been undertaken since 20 June 2014?
- (4) Has a draft bill been produced?
 - (a) If so, who have draft copies been provided to?
 - (b) If so, when will copies be available for comment?

929 TREASURER—EXPERT PANEL TO AUDIT GOVERNMENT STRUCTURES—Mr Shoebridge to ask the Minister for Roads, Maritime and Freight, and Vice-President of the Executive Council representing the Treasurer, and Minister for Industrial Relations—

- (1) Please provide details of the expert panel commissioned by the Government to audit government structures, including but not limited to:
 - (a) Members of the panel?
 - (b) The date the audit was requested?
 - (c) The wording of the request?
 - (d) Completion date?
- (2) Will the details of the audit, including the recommendations, be made public?
 - (a) If so, when?
- (3) Which agencies and/or departments have been called before the expert panel? Please list.

930 LANDS AND WATER, PRIMARY INDUSTRIES—PIG GASSING—Mr Pearson to ask the Minister for Primary Industries, and Minister for Lands and Water—

A response from the Minister's office dated 11 November 2015, confirmed that the Minister had viewed video footage of the gas stunning of pigs using carbon dioxide gas at Rivalea abattoir:

- (1) Can the Minister confirm that two workers were sacked from the abattoir as a result of the actions in the video footage?
 - (a) If so, was their sacking related to the gassing procedure undertaken at the facility or their responsibilities in regards to the concentration of the gas mixture?
 - (b) Was their sacking as a result of excessive use of electric prodders and handling of the pigs prior to slaughter?
 - (c) Have one or any of the sacked workers been re-employed by the company either directly or indirectly?
- (2) Can the Minister confirm the practices at Rivalea have been reviewed by both the RSPCA and the Australian Government?
 - (a) If so, was the entire stunning process until the death of the pigs monitored and reviewed?
 - (b) Was the concentration of the gas mixture measured and recorded?
 - (i) If so, for what length of time and for which depths of the gas stunning chamber?
- (3) Did the RSPCA review the reaction of the pigs as they were lowered into the gas mixture?
 - (a) If so, how was this monitored or witnessed and were pig welfare experts engaged?
- (4) Emeritus Professor Donald Broom of the University of Cambridge stated in his report of the video footage dated 14 March 2014 that "The extreme reactions of [all the] pigs described here is typical for pigs lowered into a high concentration of carbon dioxide. For all of these animals, their welfare was very poor for 20-40 seconds." Given this how could inspectors ensure the stunning process is using the correct gas mixture?
- (5) The letter of 11 November 2015 from the Minister's office makes reference to an RSPCA inspection and compliance under the Animal Welfare Code of Practice-Commercial Pig Production, as this code is silent on the slaughtering process; please advise the relevance and the terms under which the inspection was carried out?

- 931 TRANSPORT AND INFRASTRUCTURE—NEWCASTLE URBAN RENEWAL BUSINESS CASE—Mr Shoebridge to ask the Minister for Roads, Maritime and Freight, and Vice-President of the Executive Council representing the Minister for Transport and Infrastructure—
- (1) When will the Government publish the Newcastle Urban Renewal and Transport Program Stage 2 Final Business Case Urban Renewal and Light Rail Assurance Review Report of September 2014?
 - (2) Was the report prepared by Angus Dawson, Danny Graham and James McIntosh?
 - (a) If not, who prepared the report?
 - (3) Is it true that of nine separate criteria, the business case received only two green flags?
 - (4) Did the business case receive a red flag for the criteria of funding and value for money?
 - (5) Did the business case receive yellow flags on six of the nine criteria considered?
- 932 LOCAL GOVERNMENT—CITY OF SYDNEY—Mr Primrose to ask the Minister for Roads, Maritime and Freight, and Vice-President of the Executive Council representing the Minister for Local Government—
- (1) Is there any prohibition against any form of communication to being sent to an elector on the non-residential roll by a City of Sydney Councillor or the City of Sydney Lord Mayor?
 - (2) Is there any prohibition against any form of communication being sent to an elector on the non-residential roll that includes a message from, a photograph of, or a signature of a City of Sydney Councillor or the City of Sydney Lord Mayor?
 - (3) Is there any prohibition against any form of communication by a Councillor or the Lord Mayor to an elector on the non-residential roll who has provided their contact details in the registration process which includes a message from, a photograph of, or a signature of a City of Sydney Councillor or the City of Sydney Lord Mayor?
 - (4) Is there any prohibition against the collection of data to populate the non-residential roll to use for sending mail, email, telephone, or any other forms of communication to an elector from a City of Sydney Councillor or the City of Sydney Lord Mayor?
 - (5) Will the reforms to the Local Government Act 1993 prohibit a City of Sydney Councillor or the City of Sydney Lord Mayor to communicate with electors on the non-residential roll who have provided their contact details in the registration process?

David Blunt
Clerk of the Parliaments