EXAMINATION OF THE AUDITOR-GENERAL'S PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORTS DECEMBER 2014 - JUNE 2015

| Organisation: | NSW Health |
|----------------|-------------------|
| Name: | Ms Elizabeth Koff |
| Position: | Secretary |
| Date Received: | 20 July 2016 |



Ref: S16/254

Mr Bruce Neville Notley-Smith MP Chair, Legislative Assembly Public Accounts Committee Parliament House Macquarie Street SYDNEY NSW 2000

Dear Mr Notley-Smith

Re: NSW Health response to Auditor-General's report on *Managing Length of Stay and Unplanned Readmissions* tabled in Parliament on 23 April 2015

I refer to your letter of 16 May 2016 seeking a submission from the Ministry of Health on the implementation of recommendations of the Auditor-General's Report on *Managing Length of Stay and Unplanned Readmissions in NSW Public Hospitals.*

Please find enclosed a submission for your consideration outlining responses and actions to date on the recommendations of the Audit. I am pleased to advise that all six recommendations made in the Auditor-General's Report have been actioned and are considered complete. In particular I draw your attention to the following action which has been taken:

- 1. A revised definition of Unplanned Readmissions has been implemented for use in the 2015-16 Local Health District (LHD) performance agreements, with an ongoing commitment to provide improved reporting tools to hospitals and LHDs for local review and investigation.
- The ABM Portal and Relative Stay Index (RSI) Reports are used on an ongoing basis to benchmark performance and length of stay at the LHD level. Reports are distributed for the LHDs to utilise in performance improvement initiatives and progress is discussed with Chief Executives under the auspice of the performance framework.
- The Analytics Framework has been completed by NSW Health and implementation will continue to build on existing capacity and capability across the system.
- 4. Each LHD is continuing to develop integrated care strategies to improve care for high risk and high utilisation groups. This work is being undertaken in collaboration with PHNs, and is supported by ACI and NSW Ministry of Health.
- 5. Evaluation is now mandatory for all integrated care programs and strategies developed and implemented.

6. All NSW LHDs were connected to HealtheNet in April 2015 and all were submitting hospital discharge summaries to HealtheNet by December 2015. Post Implementation Reviews (PIRs) have been completed for the five project streams within the HealtheNet Program. A consolidated program level PIR incorporating lessons learnt is scheduled for completion in July 2016.

If you have any further queries on the attached response, please contact Mr Paul Giunta, Director, Corporate Governance and Risk Management on 9391 9654.

Yours sincerely

Elizabeth Koff Secretary, NSW Health



IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Name of Agency: NSW Health

Name of Performance Audit: Managing Length of Stay and Unplanned Readmissions in NSW Public Hospitals

| RECOMMENDATION | | REJECTED | | DUE DATE | STATUS (completed, on track, delayed) and COMMENT | RESPONSIBILITY (Section of agency responsible for implementation) |
|----------------|---|-----------------------|--|-------------|--|--|
| 1 | As soon as possible, address the limitations in the existing specifications for measuring unplanned readmissions within 28 days of discharge. (page 15) | Partially accepted | While the review of the current unplanned readmission indicator is well under way, there are no quick and simple solutions to be found in this space. Current measures are limited by the scope of data items in the current administrative data collections and some of these limitations will not be possible to address in the short term. A more productive and cost- effective approach to this issue is the one already being pursued by NSW Health – to provide improved reporting tools to hospitals and LHDs for local review and investigation, coupled with a set of targeted and evidence-based strategies to reduce those unplanned readmissions that are potentially preventable. | ASAP | A revised definition of Unplanned Readmissions has been implemented for use in the 2015-16 LHD performance agreements; a sample is attached at <u>Tab 1</u> . The revised definition addresses some of the limitations raised, by removing some previous exclusions and introducing age/sex standardisation in place of the previous unadjusted methodology. National review of the readmission KPI continues, and any changes made will be implemented across the NSW system as they are developed. The NSW Health Integrated Care Strategy is ongoing and aligned with Chronic Disease Management Programs and innovation and planning in health care with a focus on delivering effective health management for people with chronic diseases at high risk of unplanned hospital or Emergency Department presentation. A formative evaluation of the NSW Health 2014 Integrated Care Strategy is currently underway. | Health System Information and Performance Reporting Branch |
| 2 | By December 2015, ensure the use of Relative Stay Index reports and Activity Based Management | Accepted | The roll-out of the Relative Stay Index reports and the Activity Based Management portal is under way in accordance with NSW Health's existing | Dec 2015 | The ABM Portal and Relative Stay Index (RSI) Reports are used on an ongoing basis to benchmark performance and length of stay. The tools allow LHD/SHNs to plan local | Health System Information and Performance Reporting Branch |



| portal at the Local Health District level. (page 19) | | plans and is progressing well. | | initiatives to improve performance, reduce cost and length of stay as appropriate. The Ministry for Health produces tailored RSI Reports for each LHD/SHN on a quarterly basis along with the potentially preventable hospitalisation, hospital readmission and ED representation reports. These | |
|--|----------|--|-------------|---|---|
| | | | | reports are distributed across the system for the districts to utilise in performance improvement initiatives where progress is discussed under the auspice of the performance framework with Chief Executives. | |
| By December 2015, take appropriate actions to support local analysis and reporting of length of stay and unplanned readmissions, subject to cost- benefit considerations of providing more business intelligence tools to Local Health District and hospital staff. (page 19) | Accepted | NSW Health is currently developing its new Analytics Framework, which will address a number of current and planned initiatives for dissemination and use of appropriate business intelligence tools across the system. This builds on an array of analytical tools and reporting systems already available to Local Health Districts and hospital staff. | Dec 2015 | Status: CompleteThe Analytics Framework has been completed and implementation is commencing to continue to build capacity and capability across the system.Regular benchmarking reports are provided to LHDs to allow facilities to undertake case- specific reviews and investigations, and to develop strategies to address any identified issues. Relevant Unplanned Readmission Audit Tools have also been developed locally and are being used extensively across the system; an example is attached at Tab 2. The alignment of Integrated Care and Chronic Disease Management Audit Tools has led to ongoing improvements in rehabilitation and discharge planning with increased follow up of at risk patients; audit tools for unplanned readmissions have also been tailored for specific conditions, such as Cardiac Disease, example attached at Tab 3.Status Complete | Health System Information and Performance Reporting Branch |



| 4 | By December 2015, identify and coordinate state- wide and local strategies to reduce unplanned readmissions. These strategies should be targeted at specific conditions and patient groups who would most benefit from reductions in unplanned readmissions.(page 20) | Accepted | An in-depth review of unplanned readmissions was undertaken by the Ministry and the Clinical Excellence Commission, including a review of international evidence. This has resulted in identification of a range of evidence-based strategies that are currently being considered for implementation in NSW Health. These strategies will work in alignment with a broader set of integrated care strategies currently being implemented as part of NSW Health's Integrated Care Program | Dec 2015 | Each LHD is developing Integrated Care strategies to improve care for high risk and high utilisation groups and improve care. This work is being undertaken in collaboration with PHNs, and supported by ACI and NSW Ministry of Health. Unplanned readmission rates have been included as a negative adjustor in Service Agreements with LHDs for the past 2 years. This has resulted in an identified reduction in rates across LHDs. In addition the performance against the Service Measure of unplanned readmission rates is a common discussion point at Performance Meetings held with LHDs by the SPPD. The Ministry of Health is currently undertaking a second review of the Unplanned Readmission indicator (building on the review conducted in 2014) in collaboration with 5 LHDs/SHNs to share learning across the system. This review will lead to further improvements in benchmarking and leverage effective approaches to reduce unplanned readmissions rates. | Health System Information and Performance Reporting Branch |
|---|---|----------|---|-------------|---|---|
| 5 | By December 2015, ensure that out-of- hospital programs being rolled out have suitable evaluation programs attached. (page 23) | Accepted | Most state wide programs already have evaluations routinely included as part of the program. The new Integrated Care Program has a significant monitoring and evaluation component running in parallel with the actual implementation. Local Health Districts are encouraged to run smaller, targeted local evaluations for their innovation programs – these have to be commensurate to the size of local initiatives | Dec 2015 | All Integrated Care programs and strategies being developed include evaluation as a compulsory component of their implementation. The first formative evaluation of the NSW Integrated Care Strategy was completed in November 2015 and the Report is in the process of being finalised. All LHDs have been required to submit a project plan for Integrated Care projects into the Rigorous Program Management (RPM) tool managed by the | Health System Information and Performance Reporting Branch |



| | | | | | Program Management Office (PMO). These project plans are regularly reviewed and reported to the Integrated Care Implementation Group chaired by the Secretary as a means to monitor progress of LHDs towards the implementation of the funded projects. One additional Tier 2 KPI has been developed for inclusion in the 2016/17 Service Agreement. A set of additional KPIs and Service Measures, which are being monitored by the Integrated Care Monitoring & Evaluation work stream, are expected to be included in 2017/18. | |
|---|--|-----------------------|---|--------------|--|--|
| | | | | | Status Complete | |
| 6 | By June 2016, commence formal reviews and evaluations on the effectiveness of HealtheNet in supporting continuity of patient services from hospital care to primary and community care. The reviews should include IT challenges encountered during implementation, effectiveness of training and education programs, take up/utilisation rates and evidence of success. (page 23) | Accepted in principle | The current plan is for HealtheNet to be implemented in all LHDs by middle of 2015. It is important for the new system to be in operation for a reasonable period of time before any meaningful evaluative effort can take place. The evaluation will have to take into account a range of related eHealth-type initiatives, such as the Personally Controlled Electronic Health Record (Commonwealth-funded national project), as well as local initiatives aimed at supporting GP-to-hospital interactions such as shared care plans, electronic referrals and the like. This is a fast changing field with multiple inter-related projects, relying on a wide range of stakeholders, which may impact on the timing of any formal review or evaluation. | June 2016 | The state-wide roll-out of HealtheNet was completed in 2015. All NSW Local Health Districts (LHDs) were connected to HealtheNet in April 2015, and all LHDs were submitting hospital discharge summaries to HealtheNet by December 2015. The HealtheNet team continues to work with the Ministry of Health and LHDS, to ensure take-up and utilisation of the system is maximised. The team also collaborates closely with the Commonwealth and Primary Health Networks to ensure that the system continues to successfully connect hospitals to primary care providers. As of May 2016, 185 public hospital and Multi-Purpose Services are connected to HealtheNet (based on the AIHW hospital list). This number continues to grow as the electronic medical record (eMR) is implemented in more facilities across NSW. Post Implementation Reviews (PIRs) have been completed for the five project streams within | Office of the Chief Executive and Chief Information Officer, eHealth. |



| consolidated program level PIR incorporating lessons learnt is scheduled for completion in July 2016. The resultant Program PIR & Closure Report will be subject to a PIR Gate Review to be conducted under the NSW Treasury Gateway Review System which is planned for August 2016. |
|---|
| Status Complete |

INTEGRATED CARE – SERVICE MEASURES

| INDICATOR: | SSQ106, SSQ107, SSQ126 | Unplanned nospital readmission: all unplanned admissions within 28 days of separation (%): | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Previous IDs: | 0001, 941 | All persons (SSQ109) Aboriginal persons (SSQ107) ABF hospitals (rate in NWAU) (SSQ129) | | | | | |
| Service Agreem Performance Ar | | Service Measure Integrated Care | | | | | |
| Status Version number | r | Final 2.1 | | | | | |
| Scope | | SSQ106 & SSQ107: All patient admissions to public facilities in peer groups A1 – D2. SSQ12& All acute patient admissions to ABF hospitals only. | | | | | |
| Goal | | To identify and manage the number of unnecessary unplanned readmissions. To Increase the focus on the safe transfer of care, coordinated care in the community and early intervention. | | | | | |
| Desired outcom | 16 | Improved efficiency, effectiveness, quality and safety of care and treatment, with reduced unplanned events. | | | | | |
| Primary point o | fcollection | Administrative and clinical patient data collected at admission and discharge | | | | | |
| Data Collection | Source/System | Admitted Patient Data Collection, Hospital Patient Admission Systems (PAS) | | | | | |
| Primary data so | ource for analysis | HIE / IQ | | | | | |
| Indicator definit | tion | <i>SSQ106 & SSQ107.</i> The percentage of patients who have an unplanned readmission to the same facility within 28 days following discharge for any purpose, disaggregated by Aboriginality status. | | | | | |
| | | Note that Aboriginal persons include people who identify as Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander. | | | | | |
| | | <i>SSQ126</i> The percentage of patients who have and unplanned acute readmission to the same facility within 28 days following discharge for any purpose other than mental health, expressed as a rate in NWAU per 100 weighted admissions. Both the numerator (readmissions) and denominator (admissions) are in NWAU. Rates are standardized by: | | | | | |
| | | 5 year age groupSex | | | | | |
| | | AboriginalityPeer Group | | | | | |
| | | Casemix (Modified DRGs) | | | | | |
| | | Indirect standardisation adjustments have been used to compare the | | | | | |

LHD/SHN populations against the NSW population. The article below details the methodology in the context of age-standardisation:

http://meteor.aihw.gov.au/content/index.phtml/itemld/327276

Numerator

Numerator definition

SSQ106 & SSQ107: The total number of unplanned admissions (counted as stays not episodes) with admission date within reference period and patient previously discharged from same facility in previous 28 days for any purpose.

Where: Unplanned is defined as Urgency of Admission (emergency_status) = 1.

A readmission is defined as an admission with an admission_date within 28 days of the discharge_date of a previous stay for the same patient at the same facility (identified by MRN and facility_identifier).

SSQ126 The total number of unplanned acute admissions (counted as stays not episodes) with the admission date within reference period (where days_in_psych = 0), and where the patient was previously discharged from same facility within the previous 28 days.

Where:

HIE/ IQ

HIE/ IQ

- Unplanned is defined as Urgency of Admission (emergency_status) = 1, and
- Acute care is defined as episode_of_care type = 1 and episode_sequence_number = 1

A readmission is defined as an admission with an admission_date within 28 days of the discharge_date of a previous stay for the same patient at the same facility (identified by MRN and facility_identifier).

Numerator availability

Denominator

Denominator definition

Numerator source

SSQ106 & SSQ107: Total number of admissions (counted as stays not episodes) with admission dates within the reference period.

SSQ126 Total number of acute admissions (counted as stays not episodes) with admission dates within the reference period, and where days_in_psych = 0.

Denominator source Denominator availability

Inclusions

HIE/ IQ Available monthly

HIE/ IQ Available monthly

- SSQ106, SSQ107 & SSQ126.
- Readmissions that result in death are included in the Numerator but not the denominator;
- each index/initial admission can have at most one readmission;

Page 119

• a readmission can be an index/initial admission to another readmission.

| Exclusions | SSQ106& SSQ107. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | Additional episodes created through a change of care type; Transfers from other hospital (i.e. source of referral = 4 or 5); |
| | Facilities in peer groups below D2. |
| | SSQ128 |
| | Readmission for mental health (days in psych unit > 0); Additional apiagdae graated through a phange of eage tune; |
| | Additional episodes created through a change of care type; Transfers from other hospital (i.e. source of referral = 4 or 5); |
| | ED only admitted episodes (i.e. Mode of Separation = 1 or 4) |
| Targets | |
| Target | Reduction on previous year. |
| Comments | This definition is measurable with current data available in the ISC. The inclusions and exclusions have been changed and it no longer is based on the UK definition. Unlike the previous version of this indicator, if a patient has more than one unplanned readmission within the period, they will all be counted. |
| | While the use of administrative data can be used to identify unplanned readmissions it cannot clearly identify that the unplanned readmission was either related to the previous admissions or unexpected or preventable. The definition does not correspond with the ACHS Clinical Indicators |
| | Not all readmissions are related to the previous admission and some may be potentially avoidable. |
| Context | A low readmission rate may indicate good patient management practices and post-discharge care; facilities with a high readmission rate may indicate a problem with a clinical care pathway. |
| Useable data available from | 2001/02 |
| Frequency of Reporting | Monthly/Annual, financial year, biannual State Plan - quarterly |
| Time lag to available data | HIE/IQ data have a 6 month lag, available December for previous financial year |
| | Availability depends on refresh frequency |
| Business owners | |
| Contact - Policy | Director, Integrated Care Branch |
| Contact - Data | Executive Director, Health System Information and Performance Reporting |
| Representation | |
| | |

Page 120

| Numeric |
|--|
| Number, presented as a percentage (%) |
| NNN.N% |
| 4 |
| 6 |
| N/A |
| |
| National Healthcare Agreement: PI 23-Unplanned hospital readmission rates 2015 |
| http://meteor.aihw.gov.au/content/index.phtml/itemld/559020 |
| |

Page 121 Health Northern NSW

Unplanned Hospital Readmissions Audit Tool

| | he Unplanned Hos | | Instructions: ns Audit Tool Guid | e for definitions an | d guidance whe | n auditing. |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--------------------------|
| Site Grafton | ☐ Maclean ☐ Nimbin | Ballina Urbenville | BonalboByron Bay | Casino | Coraki | ☐ Kyogle bah ☐ The Tw |
| ARN | DOB | | Previous discha | irge date | Readmission da | ite |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| L. Principal diagnosis | - previous admissi | ion | 2. Princip | al diagnosis - readı | mission | |
| | | | | | | |
| . What was the read | dmission potential | ly related to the p | previous admission | ? 🗆 Yes 🗆 No | D | |
| 4. What was the read | dmission category | as documented ir | EMR? 🔲 Planne | d 🔲 Emergency | Inter-hosp | ital transfer |
| 5. Is the readmission | category as docum | nented in EMR co | rrect? 🖸 Yes | □ No | | |
| | IF READMISSION | S NOT POTENTIA | LLY RELATED NO F | JRTHER QUESTION | S APPLY | |
| 6. Patient's functiona | al status (regarding | ADL): Ind | ependent 🗖 So | mewhat dependen | t 🔲 Fully depe | endent |
| 7. Patient's dispositio | | | me 🗖 Aged Care | e □ Sub acute/t | ransitional care | Other |
| 8. End-stage chronic | | | | | | |
| - | cely to die in the n | out 12 months? | | | | |
| □ Strongly agre | | Unsure Dis | agree 🗖 Strong | v disagree | | |
| | | | | y alougice | | |
| | kely to go into resid | | | | | |
| Characteria | | | | | A (Dationt alread | |
| Strongly agre | | | agree 🔲 Strong | | Г | ay in aged care |
| | | | | | Г | ay in aged care |
| 9. At the time of th | e previous admissi | ion, what was the | e Ontario HARP sco | re for this patient | ? (see page 2) | by in aged care |
| 9. At the time of th 10. Please indicate w a. Factors related to | e previous admissi | ion, what was the following preven | e Ontario HARP sco | re for this patient | ? (see page 2) | ay in aged care |
| At the time of th Please indicate w a. Factors related to admission: | e previous admissi whether any of the hospital care during | ion, what was the following preven | e Ontario HARP sco table factors were d. Patient factors: | re for this patient: relevant to this re | ? (see page 2) admission: ended care) | ay in aged care |
| 9. At the time of th 10. Please indicate w a. Factors related to admission: Missed ar inac Missed ar inap | e previous admissi whether any of the hospital care during ccurate diagnosis oprapriate treatment | ion, what was the following preven | e Ontario HARP sco table factors were d. Patient factors: Patient decis Patient camp | re for this patient relevant to this re on (against recammo liance/self-managen | ? (see page 2) admission: ended care) ment | ay in aged care |
| At the time of th Please indicate w a. Factors related to admission: Missed ar inac Missed ar inap Camplication of | e previous admissi whether any of the hospital care during ccurate diagnosis oprapriate treatment of a pracedure | ion, what was the following preven | e Ontario HARP sco table factors were d. Patient factors: Patient decis Patient camp Patient awar Currently bei | re for this patient relevant to this re on (against recammo liance/self-managen eness of cammunity- ng managed far a me | (see page 2) admission: ended care) hent based services ental health candit | |
| 9. At the time of th 10. Please indicate w a. Factors related to admission: Missed ar inac Missed ar inap | e previous admissi whether any of the b hospital care during ccurate diagnosis oprapriate treatment of a pracedure sociated infectian | ion, what was the following preven | e Ontario HARP sco table factors were d. Patient factors: Patient decis Patient camp Patient awar Currently bei Impaired cag | re for this patient relevant to this re on (against recamma liance/self-managen eness of cammunity- ng managed far a me nitive state (e.g. dem | (see page 2) admission: ended care) hent based services ental health candit | |
| At the time of the Please indicate we a. Factors related to admission: Missed ar inage Missed ar inage Camplication of Healthcare ass Venous thram | the previous admissi whether any of the phospital care during courate diagnosis oprapriate treatment of a pracedure sociated infectian baembolism | ion, what was the following preven the previous | e Ontario HARP sco table factors were d. Patient factors: Patient decis Patient camp Patient awar Currently bei Impaired cag Can't affard i | re for this patient relevant to this re ion (against recammo liance/self-managen eness of cammunity- ng managed far a me nitive state (e.g. dem nedicines | (see page 2) admission: ended care) hent based services ental health candit | |
| 9. At the time of the 10. Please indicate we a. Factors related to admission: Missed ar inage Missed ar inage Camplication of Healthcare asset Venous thram | the previous admissi whether any of the phospital care during courate diagnosis opropriate treatment of a pracedure sociated infectian baembolism o transition from hos | ion, what was the following preven the previous | e Ontario HARP sco table factors were d. Patient factors: Patient decis Patient camp Patient awar Currently bei Impaired cag | re for this patient relevant to this re liance/self-managen eness of cammunity ng managed far a me nitive state (e.g. dem medicines persanal care | (see page 2) admission: ended care) hent based services ental health candit | |
| 9. At the time of the 10. Please indicate we a. Factors related to admission: Missed ar inace Missed | the previous admissi whether any of the phospital care during courate diagnosis oprapriate treatment of a pracedure sociated infectian baembolism o transition from hos d care: nning | ion, what was the following preven the previous | e Ontario HARP sco table factors were d. Patient factors: Patient decis Patient camp Patient awar Currently bei Impaired cag Can't affard Can't affard Can't affard Sacial isolatio | re for this patient relevant to this re liance/self-managen eness of cammunity- ng managed far a me nitive state (e.g. dem medicines persanal care transport an (e.g. living alane, r | ? (see page 2) admission: ended care) nent based services ental health candit mentia) | ian |
| At the time of the Please indicate we a. Factors related to admission: Missed ar inage Missed ar inage Camplicatian of Healthcare asses Venous thram b. Factors related to community-base Transitian planting Discharge sum | the previous admissi whether any of the phospital care during courate diagnosis oprapriate treatment of a pracedure sociated infectian baembolism o transition from hos d care: nning mary | ion, what was the following preven the previous | e Ontario HARP sco table factors were d. Patient factors: Patient decis Patient camp Patient awar Currently bei Impaired cag Can't affard Can't affard Can't affard Sacial isolatio | re for this patient relevant to this re liance/self-managen eness of cammunity- ng managed far a me nitive state (e.g. dem medicines persanal care ransport | ? (see page 2) admission: ended care) nent based services ental health candit mentia) | ian |
| 9. At the time of the 10. Please indicate was a. Factors related to admission: Missed ar inaction Transitian plan Discharge sum Patient educa | the previous admissi whether any of the phospital care during courate diagnosis oprapriate treatment of a pracedure sociated infectian baembolism o transition from hos d care: nning mary | ion, what was the following preven the previous | e Ontario HARP sco table factors were d. Patient factors: Patient decis Patient camp Patient awar Currently bei Impaired cag Can't affard Can't affard Can't affard Sacial isolatio | re for this patient relevant to this re liance/self-managen eness of cammunity- ng managed far a me nitive state (e.g. dem medicines persanal care transport an (e.g. living alane, r agnise warsening syn | ? (see page 2) admission: ended care) nent based services ental health candit mentia) | |
| 9. At the time of the 10. Please indicate we a. Factors related to admission: Missed ar inace Transitian plate Discharge sum Patient educa Clinical hando | e previous admissi whether any of the b hospital care during ccurate diagnosis oprapriate treatment of a pracedure sociated infectian baembolism b transition from hos d care: nning mary tian ver to community-ba | ion, what was the following preven the previous pital to sed care | e Ontario HARP sco table factors were d. Patient factors: Patient decis Patient camp Patient camp Currently bei Impaired cag Can't affard Can't affard Can't affard Sacial isolatio Failure ta rec | re for this patient relevant to this re liance/self-managen eness of cammunity- ng managed far a me nitive state (e.g. dem medicines persanal care transport an (e.g. living alane, r agnise warsening syn | ? (see page 2) admission: ended care) nent based services ental health candit mentia) | ian |
| At the time of the IO. Please indicate was a. Factors related to admission: Missed ar inaction Camplication | e previous admissi whether any of the b hospital care during courate diagnosis oprapriate treatment of a pracedure sociated infection baembolism b transition from hos d care: nning mary tian ver to community-based c | ion, what was the following preven the previous pital to sed care | e Ontario HARP sco table factors were d. Patient factors: Patient decis Patient camp Patient camp Currently bei Impaired cag Can't affard Can't affard Can't affard Sacial isolatio Failure ta rec | re for this patient relevant to this re liance/self-managen eness of cammunity- ng managed far a me nitive state (e.g. dem medicines persanal care transport an (e.g. living alane, r agnise warsening syn | ? (see page 2) admission: ended care) nent based services ental health candit mentia) | ian |
| At the time of the IO. Please indicate was a. Factors related to admission: Missed ar inage Missed ar inage Camplicatian of Healthcare ass Venous thram b. Factors related to community-base Transitian plant Discharge sum Patient educa Clinical hando c. Factors related to Primary care plant | e previous admissi whether any of the b hospital care during courate diagnosis oprapriate treatment of a pracedure sociated infection baembolism b transition from hos d care: nning mary tian ver to community-based c | ion, what was the following preven the previous pital to sed care | e Ontario HARP sco table factors were d. Patient factors: Patient decis Patient camp Patient camp Currently bei Impaired cag Can't affard Can't affard Can't affard Sacial isolatio Failure ta rec | re for this patient relevant to this re liance/self-managen eness of cammunity- ng managed far a me nitive state (e.g. dem medicines persanal care transport an (e.g. living alane, r agnise warsening syn | ? (see page 2) admission: ended care) nent based services ental health candit mentia) | ian |
| At the time of the Please indicate was a. Factors related to admission: Missed ar inaction Transitian plan Discharge sum Patient educa Clinical hando c. Factors related to Access ta GP of Access ta GP of Medication mission | e previous admissi whether any of the b hospital care during courate diagnosis oprapriate treatment of a pracedure sociated infectian baembolism b transition from hos d care: nning mary tian ver to community-based co planning or Medical Specialist anagement | ion, what was the following preven the previous pital to sed care are: | e Ontario HARP sco table factors were d. Patient factors: Patient decis Patient camp Patient camp Currently bei Impaired cag Can't affard Can't affard Can't affard Sacial isolatio Failure ta rec | re for this patient relevant to this re liance/self-managen eness of cammunity- ng managed far a me nitive state (e.g. dem medicines persanal care transport an (e.g. living alane, r agnise warsening syn | ? (see page 2) admission: ended care) nent based services ental health candit mentia) | ian |
| 9. At the time of the 10. Please indicate was a. Factors related to admission: Missed ar inaction Factors related to community-base Transitian plan Discharge sum Patient educa Clinical hando c. Factors related to Access ta GP of Access ta GP of Access ta cam | e previous admissi whether any of the b hospital care during courate diagnosis oprapriate treatment of a pracedure sociated infectian baembolism b transition from hos d care: ning mary tian ver to community-based co planning or Medical Specialist anagement munity health service | ion, what was the following preven the previous pital to sed care are: | e Ontario HARP sco table factors were d. Patient factors: Patient decis Patient camp Patient camp Currently bei Impaired cag Can't affard Can't affard Can't affard Sacial isolatio Failure ta rec | re for this patient relevant to this re liance/self-managen eness of cammunity- ng managed far a me nitive state (e.g. dem medicines persanal care transport an (e.g. living alane, r agnise warsening syn | ? (see page 2) admission: ended care) nent based services ental health candit mentia) | ian |
| 9. At the time of the 10. Please indicate we a. Factors related to admission: Missed ar inace Mealthcare as: Venous thram b. Factors related to Cances related to Primary care p Access ta GP of Access ta cam Access to pers | e previous admissi whether any of the b hospital care during courate diagnosis oprapriate treatment of a pracedure sociated infectian baembolism b transition from hos d care: nning mary tian ver to community-based co planning or Medical Specialist anagement | following preven following preven the previous pital to sed care are: Care, CamPacks) | e Ontario HARP sco table factors were d. Patient factors: Patient decis Patient camp Patient camp Currently bei Impaired cag Can't affard Can't affard Can't affard Sacial isolatio Failure ta rec | re for this patient relevant to this re liance/self-managen eness of cammunity- ng managed far a me nitive state (e.g. dem medicines persanal care transport an (e.g. living alane, r agnise warsening syn | ? (see page 2) admission: ended care) nent based services ental health candit mentia) | ian |
| 9. At the time of the 10. Please indicate we a. Factors related to admission: Missed ar inace Camplication of Factors related to community-base Transitian plan Discharge sum Patient educa Clinical hando c. Factors related to Access ta GP of Medication me Access ta cam Access to pers Paar coordina | e previous admissi whether any of the b hospital care during courate diagnosis oprapriate treatment of a pracedure sociated infection baembolism b transition from hos d care: ming mary tian ver to community-based o blanning or Medical Specialist anagement munity health service anal care (e.g. Hame tian af community-ba | following preven following preven the previous pital to sed care are: Care, CamPacks) ased care | e Ontario HARP sco table factors were d. Patient factors: Patient decis Patient decis Patient camp Atient awar Currently bei Impaired cag Can't affard Can't affard Sacial isolatie Failure ta rec e. Other factor/s: | re for this patient relevant to this re liance/self-managen eness of cammunity- ng managed far a me nitive state (e.g. dem medicines persanal care transport an (e.g. living alane, r agnise warsening syn | ? (see page 2) admission: ended care) nent based services ental health candit mentia) | ian |

vz.0 4352610900

Table 1: The simple algorithm for the previous admission

| Variable name | Parameters | Assigned Score | Maximum score for variable | Score for this patient |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 0 - 64 years old | 0 | | |
| Patient age group | 65-84 years old | 2 | 3 | |
| | 85+ years old | 3 | | |
| Sector Sector | Transfer to home / other | 0 | | AN ALLOW |
| Discharge disposition | Transfer to home with support | 4 | 6 | |
| | Transfer to acute care | 6 | | |
| | 0 | 0 | | |
| Acute care admission six months prior | 1 | 3 | 12 | |
| aix months prior | 2 | 6 | 12 | |
| | 3+ | 12 | | |
| | 0 | 0 | The Contract of the | |
| Emergency department visits six | 1 | 4 | | |
| months prior | 2 | 6 | 10 | |
| | 3 | 7 | | |
| | 4+ | 10 | | |
| | Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease | 3 | | |
| | Heart failure w/out coronary angiogram | 4 | | |
| Diagnosis Group | Inflammatory bowel disease | 5 | | |
| (more than one may be applicable) | Gastrointestinal obstruction | 2 | 10 | |
| | Cirrhosis/alcoholic hepatitis | 10 | | |
| | Diabetes | 1 | | |

EXPLANATORY NOTES:

Unplanned Hospital Readmissions (UHR) is a performance measure in the LHD Service Agreement.

- Indicator definition: <u>Unplanned</u> readmission of a patient within 28 days following discharge to the same facility for any purpose other than mental health, chemotherapy or dialysis.
- Mental health, chemotherapy or dialysis are excluded from both the numerator (readmissions) and the denominator (admissions). More specifically, the exclusions are:
 - Readmissions that contain a cancer code (code between "C00" and "D48.99") in any diagnosis field.
 - Readmission for chemotherapy or dialysis (DRGs R63Z or L61Z).
 - Readmission for mental health (where patient has been admitted to psychiatric unit > 0 days).
 - Change of care type, transfers from other hospital (i.e. source of referral 4 or 5).
 - Facilities in peer groups below D2.
- Unplanned is defined as emergency_status = 1.

Notes:

- There is no "unexpected" in the UHR definition.
- Don't be dismayed by readmissions not related to the previous admission. In practice, we find approximately one half of all UHR are potentially related to the previous admission we are focusing upon this half. A State-level working group is currently reviewing the indicator definition.
- The hospitals that matter most for the LHD Service Agreement are the hospitals which have activity-based funding for acute services (Tweed Heads, Murwillumbah, Lismore, Ballina and Grafton).
- Improving data quality:
 - Ensure planned admissions are not coded as unplanned (emergency_status = 1).
 - Ensure patients transferred from acute inpatient admission to hospital-in-the-home (HITH) are being correctly coded.
 - Ensure readmissions which occur on the day of discharge are being correctly coded.

Unplanned Hospital Readmissions Audit Tool

The purpose of this UHR Audit Tool is to identify practical factors which can be used to prevent UHR at your hospital. This will enable better targeted strategies to be planned and implemented to prevent UHR. Although it can be used for retrospective audit, the UHR Audit Tool is best used at the time of readmission.

Hospital Admission Risk Prediction (HARP)

This HARP predictive tool is used for early identification of people at-risk of hospitalisation within the next 30 days. This particular tool was developed for use in Ontario, Canada. With the ultimate aim of people at a higher-risk of UHR within 28 days being allocated higher priority for well-targeted strategies to prevent UHR, we are trialling the utility of using this predictive tool. We anticipate this version of the tool will be replaced in the future by a similar predictive tool for people at-risk of UHR within 28 days, which is based upon NSW Health UHR data.

| New Outpt Reviewed Outpt that Date of contact: / / Site Ballina Casino Byron Shire Grafton | A content of the sect all apply of the sect all apply of the sector of t | MRN Male _ Female SURNAME First Name OOB / / Aboriginal or TSI? _ Yes _ No _ Unknown Maclean Nimbin Maclean Nimbin Maclean Nimbin Murwillumbah dural Hospital Other NNSW LHD Hospital Self Other GP: |
|---|--|---|
| Is patient DVA: Yes No C | ontact person, relations patient and phone co | |
| Principal Diagnosis: Current Episode (n CABG Pacemaker Angina AP/UAP Coronary Angio Arrhythmia STEACS Valve NSTEACS CHF ICD PCI+/- Stent Other | PEAK Troponin | Other Diagnoses: (may select multiple) Angina AP/UAP CABG STEACS ICD PCI +/- Stent CHF NSTEACS Arrhythmia Coronary Angio Valve Pacemaker Other - specify |
| Cardiac Education? Cardiac | ent received Info Pack? | Can you attend a Cardiac Rehabilitation Program? Yes No If no, select reason/s why: Transport Program Time Mobility Declined / Not interested Mobility Other - Specify below Work Work Verbal consent for followup? Y |
| Medication Medication List Administration Provided Self Yes | ACE/AT2 BBlocker | Image: not applicable for diagnosis eg: AF, Pacemaker, Primary EST Image: Y Image: CI Image: Y </th |
| Carer Carer Dosset Recommended GP Webster pack for HMR Other Yes | Aspirin Statin Antiplatelets/ Clopidogrel | Image: Section of the section of th |
| Other medications: Stress Test Yes No Plann ECHO attended Yes No Plann Angiogram attended Yes No Plann Comments (ECHO, EST or Angio date if releval | ed □+ve □-ve led □Equivocal | Allergies: |

Γ

Cardiac Program Assessment

I

| RISK FACTOR PROFILI | Ξ: | | MBN | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Place a X in the relevant risk/s Then complete any relevant cli | | | | |
| Hyperlipidaemia Choles | erol: HDL: | LDL: Tri | | |
| Hypertension BP: | | | Or affix patient identification | label here |
| Family history (1st deg | gree relative < 65 yrs) | | Risk factor notes | |
| Alcohol Numbe | r of standard drinks co | ontaining alcohol per | lay and week | |
| Smoking Refer b | elow | | | |
| Diabetes HbA1c. | Type 1 |] Type 2 🗌 | List any referrals made: | |
| Diet/Weight Ove | rweight 🔲 Underw | reight 🔲 Satisfac | bry | |
| Depression/Anxiety Refer b | elow | | | |
| Smoking Current status: Previous Never | If previous, | years Brief Int | | ng Cessation Y am offered? |
| status. | employed? □ Yes oyed, on sickness/cer | _ / | vork: | ork 🗌 Driving |
| Depression/Anxiety Asse Over the last 2 weeks how or problems: | | | e following Have you ever: Been diagnosed with depr | ession/ 🔲 Y 🗌 N |
| Little interest or pleasure in do | ing things? 🗖 0 🔲 | , | 0 complete (If YES, please answer the f | ollowing questions) |
| Felt down depressed or hopele | ess? 🛛 0 🗖 | 1 1 2 1 3 } P | Q9 Had counselling | □ Y □ N |
| | N Planned (If score | e >0) | Been on anti depressants? | |
| Do you live alone? Y | | | Is depression/anxiety still a | problem? Y N |
| Do you have enough social su | | | | |
| Please indicate if any referrals | a second of the second second | | Psychologist/MH worker GP Other (| specify) |
| | | Currently receiving | reatment Treatment declined | 1991 - A 19 |
| EXERCISE Before cardiad Breathlessness on walking? | |] N | EXERCISE After cardiac event Breathlessness on walking? | Y 🔲 N |
| Did you perform any regular e | kercise? 🔲 Y |] N | Do you now perform any regular exercise? | Y 🗖 N |
| Type of exercise: | | | Type of exercise: | |
| How many days a week and fo | or how long? | | How many days a week and for how long? | |
| Do you get angina or chest dis when exercising? | comfort 🔲 Y 📘 | N Unknown | Home Exercise Guidelines? | / 🗖 N |
| Do you get angina or chest dis at other times? | comfort 🖸 Y 🚺 | N 🖸 Unknown | Review Action Plan | |
| Mobility Issues/Aids: | | | | |
| Do you have a chest pain ac | tion plan? | N N/A | Unknown Do you have a GP c | are plan? |
| Heart Failure action plan? | ΠY | | Unknown | Jnknown |
| Is this a readmission for the If yes: <a> <28 days>28 days-12 mode | | ents only) 🔲 Y 🔲 N | Risk of readmission (all patients) High Are you presently receiving any home service If yes, specify: | Medium Low |
| Relevant history/ Clinical / S | ocial / Co-morbiditie | S | | |
| Initially | | | | |
| completed by: | | Sig | ature: Date: | |
| Revised by: | | Sigi | ture: Date: | / / |
| | | | Revised January 2016 | |

| Health Northern NSW Local Health District Additional Heart Failure Assessment New patient? Yes | | | MRN Male Female SURNAME First name DOB / / Yes No Unknown | |
|--|----------|----------|--|--|
| Site: 🛛 Ballina 🔹 Casino | Lismore | | Maclean Nimbin Urbenville Murwillumbah Tweed | |
| Byron Shire Grafton | | | | |
| INPATIENT and/or ACUTE EXACERBATION (Complete relevant sections) | | | OTHER CLINICAL ASSESSMENT | |
| When did you start to get worse? | < 2 days | > 2 Days | Fluid Status and Restrictions | |
| Increased dyspnoea when walking/other activities | | | Home restriction? | |
| Awakening at night short of breath / cough | | | Hospital fluid restriction IN/A mls | |
| Needing to take more frequent rest during daytime | | | Daily dry weight at home? 🔲 Yes 🔲 No 🔤 kgs | |
| Swelling of ankles, legs or stomach | | | Admit weight N/A kgs | |
| Loss of appetite or nausea | | | Immunisation | |
| Sleeping on more pillows/or in chair | | | Flu Vac past year? (rpt every yr) Y N Unk Pneumococcal vac (every 5 yrs x2) Y N Unk | |
| Chest discomfort | | | Pathology Date / / / | |
| PRECIPITATING FACTORS (days) Infection - respiratory or other Poor adherence to diet/fluid/weighs Medication issues | Yes | | Creatinine Urea K+ BNP Hb Sodium Salt Intake | |
| Inadequate social support | | | Do you usually restrict your salt intake? | |
| Cardiac event | | | Do you add salt to food? | |
| New AF | | | Do you eat many processed foods such Y N as tinned or prepackaged food? | |
| Other (specify) | | 1 | NYHA Class | |
| Medications explained | ΠN | | Does patient have an Advance Care Directive? | |
| Heart Failure DVD viewed | □ N | | Comments/Relevant History | |
| Dose Titration schedule to GP | N N | | | |
| Notified of anticipated discharge date | |] N/A | | |
| | | | | |
| GP appointment within 5 working days | / | | | |
| Liaison/ Community Nurse visit booked (<i>if applicable</i>) Date // | / | | | |
| Completed by: | | | Designation: Date: / / / / | |

83

| Health Northern NSW Local Health District Cardiac Program Phase 2 Entry | MRN Image Male Female SURNAME Image Image Image First name Image Image Image DOB Image Image Image Image |
|--|--|
| Date commenced: / / / | Aboriginal or TSI? Yes No Unknown |
| | Fields highlighted in grey are mandatory |
| Site Ballina Casino Kyogle Byron Shire Grafton Lismore | Maclean Nimbin Urbenville Murwillumbah Tweed |
| Type of Program Group Home Education/Home | Exercise 🗖 Heart Failure 🗖 Other |
| Individual Assessment | ne |
| GP/Specialist | |
| 6 Minute Walk Test INO Yes If yes, how m | any metres? |
| QOL - McNew Score (CR Patients) | OR Minnesota Score (HF Patients) |
| Depression Score (PHQ9 +/- GAD7) PHQ9 Referral No Yes Comments: | GAD7 |
| Height cms (If doing BMI) BMI | Image: Set is factory Image: Set is factory Image: Comparison of the set is factory Image: |
| Current Smoker INO Yes If yes: Cessation St | upport DReferral to smoking cessation program DNRT |
| Goals: What do you see as your biggest problem right now? (see goal setting tool) | What is it that you would really like to do that this problem stops you from doing? |
| Mark the degree to which the person has: Not at all - Returned to normal activities - Achieved their goals | |
| Self management action plan Yes No | Care plan Yes No Not known |
| Comments | |
| Completed Si by: | gnature: Date: / / / |

٢

| Hea | alth | MRN | | | |
|--|-------------------|---|--|--|--|
| NSW Northern NSW | | SURNAME | | | |
| GOVERNMENT LOCA | l Health District | | | | |
| Cardiac Program | Phase 2 Exit | | | | |
| Date completed: | | | | | |
| OR Incomplete: | | Aboriginal or TSI? Yes No Unknown | | | |
| | | Fields highlighted in grey are mandatory | | | |
| Site: 🔲 Ballina | Casino Kyog | gle Maclean INimbin Urbenville | | | |
| Byron Shire | Grafton Lism | nore 🔲 Murwillumbah 🔲 Tweed | | | |
| f Phase 2 was not compl | eted, why? | and the second se | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| QOL - McNew Score (CR F | Patients) | OR Minnesota Score (HF Patients) | | | |
| GOL - MICINEW OLDIE (UN F | | | | | |
| Depression Score (PHQ9 | +/- GAD7) PHQ9 | GAD7 | | | |
| Referral 🔲 No 🔲 Yes | Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 6 Minute Walk Test | metres | | | | |
| Weight | kas BMI | OR Waist | | | |
| weight . | kgs BMI | Cr Waist cms | | | |
| Current Smoker D No D | Yes If yes: Cess | ation Support 🛛 Referral to smoking cessation program 🔲 NR1 | | | |
| | les to stand the | | | | |
| Goals: | Not at | all Some Completely | | | |
| Mark the degree to which the | | | | | |
| | - 1 | 2 3 4 5 | | | |
| - Returned to normal ac | tivities | | | | |
| | - 1 | 2 3 4 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | |
| Returned to normal ac Achieved their goals | tivities | | | | |
| Returned to normal ac Achieved their goals Self management action Regular Exercise and ongestablish or maintain at least 3 | tivities | | | | |
| Returned to normal ac Achieved their goals Self management action Regular Exercise and ongestablish or maintain at least a Comments | tivities | Care plan Yes No Not known Heart Foundation recommends to obysical activity on 5 or more days a week) Yes No | | | |
| Returned to normal ac Achieved their goals Self management action Regular Exercise and ongestablish or maintain at least of Comments Have they had further car | tivities | Care plan Yes No Not known Heart Foundation recommends to Yes No | | | |
| Returned to normal ac Achieved their goals Self management action Regular Exercise and ongestablish or maintain at least of Comments Have they had further car | tivities | Care plan Yes Heart Foundation recommends to onlysical activity on 5 or more days a week) Provide the starting Phase 2? Yes No | | | |
| Returned to normal ac Achieved their goals Self management action Regular Exercise and ong establish or maintain at least 3 Comments Have they had further can If yes, please specify CABG | tivities | Care plan Yes No Not known Heart Foundation recommends to ohysical activity on 5 or more days a week) Yes No mplications since starting Phase 2? Yes No Were they admitted to hospital? Yes No | | | |
| Returned to normal ac Achieved their goals Self management action Regular Exercise and ongestablish or maintain at least of Comments Have they had further car If yes, please specify CABG Angina AP/UAP | tivities | Care plan Yes Heart Foundation recommends to onlysical activity on 5 or more days a week) Provide the starting Phase 2? Yes No | | | |
| Returned to normal ac Achieved their goals Self management action Regular Exercise and ongestablish or maintain at least 3 Comments Have they had further can If yes, please specify CABG Angina AP/UAP Arrhythmia | tivities | Care plan Yes No Not known Heart Foundation recommends to ohysical activity on 5 or more days a week) Yes No mplications since starting Phase 2? Yes No Were they admitted to hospital? Yes No | | | |
| Returned to normal ac Achieved their goals Self management action Regular Exercise and ongestablish or maintain at least of Comments Have they had further can off yes, please specify CABG Angina AP/UAP Arrhythmia Valve | tivities | Care plan Yes No Not known Heart Foundation recommends to ohysical activity on 5 or more days a week) Yes No mplications since starting Phase 2? Yes No Were they admitted to hospital? Yes No | | | |
| Returned to normal ac Achieved their goals Self management action Regular Exercise and ongestablish or maintain at least of comments Have they had further car If yes, please specify CABG Angina AP/UAP Arrhythmia Valve CHF | tivities | Care plan Yes No Not known Heart Foundation recommends to ohysical activity on 5 or more days a week) Yes No mplications since starting Phase 2? Yes No Were they admitted to hospital? Yes No | | | |
| Returned to normal ac Achieved their goals Self management action Regular Exercise and ong establish or maintain at least of Comments Have they had further can lif yes, please specify CABG Angina AP/UAP Arrhythmia Valve | tivities | Care plan Yes No Not known Heart Foundation recommends to ohysical activity on 5 or more days a week) Yes No mplications since starting Phase 2? Yes No Were they admitted to hospital? Yes No | | | |
| Returned to normal ac Achieved their goals Self management action Regular Exercise and ongestablish or maintain at least 3 Comments Have they had further can If yes, please specify CABG Angina AP/UAP Arrhythmia Valve CHF PCI+/- Stent | tivities | Care plan Yes No Not known Heart Foundation recommends to ohysical activity on 5 or more days a week) Yes No mplications since starting Phase 2? Yes No Were they admitted to hospital? Yes No | | | |
| Achieved their goals Self management action Regular Exercise and ongestablish or maintain at least 3 Comments Have they had further car If yes, please specify CABG Angina AP/UAP Arrhythmia Valve CHF PCI+/- Stent | tivities | Care plan Yes Yes No Heart Foundation recommends to Shysical activity on 5 or more days a week) Yes Implications since starting Phase 2? Yes No Were they admitted to hospital? Yes No If yes, was it: Planned Unplanned | | | |
| Returned to normal ac Achieved their goals Self management action Regular Exercise and ong establish or maintain at least 3 Comments Have they had further can If yes, please specify CABG Angina AP/UAP Arrhythmia Valve CHF PCI+/- Stent | tivities | Care plan Yes No Not known Heart Foundation recommends to ohysical activity on 5 or more days a week) Yes No mplications since starting Phase 2? Yes No Were they admitted to hospital? Yes No | | | |

Γ