# FOLLOW UP OF THE AUDITOR-GENERAL'S PERFORMANCE AUDITS APRIL 2012 – AUGUST 2012

Organisation:	Community Relations Commission
Name:	Mr Stepan Keryasharian AO
Position:	Chairperson
Date Received:	16/10/2013

# **Community Relations Commission**

For a multicultural NSW

Your Ref: Our Ref: DM6745 File Ref: 12/0349

Mr Jonathan O'Dea MP Chair, Public Accounts Committee Legislative Assembly Parliament of NSW Macquarie Street SYDNEY NSW 2000 1 6 OCT 2013

Head Office

PO Box A2618 Sydney South NSW 1235

Tel: (02) 8255 6767 TTY: (02) 8255 6758 Fax: (02) 8255 6868 **Wollongong Office** 84 Crown Street Wollongong NSW 2500 PO Box 363

Wollongong NSW 2520 Tel: (02) 4224 9922

Fax: (02) 4224 9933

Newcastle Office

Tel: (02) 4929 4191 Fax: (02) 4929 7369

www.crc.nsw.gov.au ABN 79 863 510 875

117 Bull Street Newcastle NSW 2300

Website

Level 8 175 Castlereagh Street Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr O'Dea

Please find attached the Community Relations Commission's response to the implementation of recommendations in the Auditor General's report on *Settling Humanitarian Entrants in New South Wales*.

While the Commission has progressed those recommendations it accepted, its capacity to finalise them has been hampered by various factors. These include COAG's decision not to re-instate the Select Council on Immigration and Settlement (SCIS), and unresolved multijurisdictional negotiations over the endorsement and implementation of the National Settlement Framework. The NSW Government Immigration and Settlement Planning Committee (NSWGISPC) played a critical role in the development of NSW positions for both the SCIS and the NSF. As the future of these policy and planning mechanisms remains uncertain, the focus of the NSWGISPC may require adjustment.

The recent change of government at the Commonwealth level has also raised questions about immigration in general, and the role of NSW agencies in responding to humanitarian settlement issues in the future.

At the time the report was released, the Department of Premier and Cabinet (DPC) and the Community Relations Commission (CRC) jointly reviewed the findings and recommendations. DPC and the CRC were concerned that the findings did not correctly represent the role of NSW in humanitarian settlement and did not support the general direction of the Report's recommendations. A copy of the joint response to the Auditor General's Report is attached for your information.

Should you require any further information, please don't hesitate to contact Steffanie von Helle, Director Policy and Community Relations on 8255 6795.

Yours sincerely

Stepan Kerkyasharian AO Chairperson



P:\Divisions Policy: Community: Relations\Community: Relations Services\Policy-Portfolio\Immigration\Audit Office Performance Review\Review Implementation\Letter Chair to Public Accounts Committee re Settling Humanitarian Entrants -Update Oct 2013.doc

																	will:	Relations Commission	and the Community	Premier and Cabinet	Department of	migration stream, the	the humanitarian	South Wales under	who come into New	t residents	To support		RECOMMENDATION	IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS
9) 23	ļ	5. 5		12		Accepted																		19 19	т. ж		Accepted	REJECTED	ACCEPTED OR	COMMENDATIONS
Immigration	<b>NSW Government</b>	structure (the	and settlement	immigration, planning	revise the existing	2. by January 2013,										settlement matters	humanitarian	stakeholders on	other	Commonwealth and	engagement with the	authority for leading	responsibility and	explicit	Commission has	<b>Community Relations</b>	1. ensure that the	TAKEN	ACTIONS TO BE	
						January 2013						7														Ξ.	January 2013		DUE DATE	
established.	Investment (T&I) was	of Trade and	and the Department	comprising DPC, CRC	Committee	A NSWGISPC Review	NSW.	settlement matters in	central point for	authority to be the	the responsibility and	the Committee has	have aimed to ensure	Commission (CRC)	<b>Community Relations</b>	(DPC) and the	Premier Cabinet	Department of	(NSWGISPC), the	Committee	Settlement Planning	Immigration and	Government	of the NSW	Through the revision	linked to action 2.	This outcome is	COMMENT	STATUS and	
			Relations Commission	and Community	Premier and Cabinet	Department of					12	€.												Relations Commission	and Community	Premier and Cabinet	Department of		RESPONSIBILITY	

PERFORMANCE AUDIT – SETTLING HUMANITARIAN ENTRANTS IN NEW SOUTH WALES

														12																			
						on 2)	Accepted (contingent	×	63																			11				1	
at a State level	settlement planning	in	entrants are included	humanitarian	to ensure	the above structure	3. by June 2013, use		organisations	government	NSW local	partnerships with	<ul> <li>develop</li> </ul>	the Commonwealth	collaboration with	<ul> <li>work in</li> </ul>	accountable	<ul> <li>hold agencies</li> </ul>	to:	planning, including	policy and	statewide settlement	and implement its	develop, coordinate	South Wales to	central point for New	authority to be the	responsibility and	that has the	alternate structure	or develop an	Planning Committee)	Settlement and
				7			June 2013																		-24			÷.			2		
			lerms of Keterence	group in the new	identified as a target	entrants are	Humanitarian						43				4		-	en   k): #1	×		end of 2013).	to meet prior to the	Committee (expected	of the new	the first meeting date	currently negotiating	CRC and DPC are	developed.	Workplan have been	Reference and a	New Draft Terms of
					Bolotions Commi	Premier and Cabiner	Department of																										

												×				-					n• 1 <sup>/*</sup>	/	*9	22 5.	Commission will:	<b>Community Relations</b>	migration stream, the	the humanitarian	South Wales under	who come into New	permanent residents	To support
			Accepted																										12		2	
agencies nominated	<ul> <li>require all key</li> </ul>	five years:	6. from June 2014, for	agencies (page 29)	NSW Government	engaging with	assistance in	information for	to provide	entrants. The card is	offered to new	may best be	and explore how it	South Wales Card'	5. develop a 'New		(page 29)	Government services	accessing NSW	entrants to assist in	<ul> <li>humanitarian</li> </ul>	delivery	planning and service	providers to assist in	<ul> <li>local service</li> </ul>	information for:	access point for	become a central	information portal to	publicise an	implement and	4. develop,
															Jan																	June
			June 2014												January 2013																	June 2013
and Services Program	Multicultural Policies	written to all					2 2 2								uary 2013						6							1				2013

				je k
<ul> <li>and focus of:</li> <li>the Multicultural Coordinators Forum</li> <li>the Settlement Service Coalition</li> <li>the Community Relations Commission Community</li> </ul>	in the Community Relations Report	Multicultural Policies and Services Program report • the Community Relations Commission to publicly report on humanitarian entrant	current issues and outcomes for humanitarian entrants identified in the delivery of agency programs and services in their	by the Community Relations Commission to include a summary of
	1201207 2013			
valuable to the NSWGISPC as they provide up-to-date information about new and emerging issues from the community and government sectors. Once the new	These forums are		focus on three priorities, including services for humanitarian entrants. The Report will be tabled in Parliament in March 2014.	(MPSP) key agencies advising that the 2013 Community Relations Report will
Commission	Community Relations			

×

												172 A					2									2				17		
ά.		2									27																	21 (3*) (4				
		20 20 20	entrants (page 29)	humanitarian	outcomes for	and improve	the data to monitor	other Migrants. Use	of Refugees and	Longitudinal Survey	Commonwealth's	e.g. the	settlement outcomes,	Wales data on	better New South	collect	stakeholders to	collaboratively with	opportunities to work	8. identify	*		1			required (page 29)	structure for action as	and settlement	immigration, planning	elevated to the	settlement issues are	humanitarian
							22										2			January 2013									55			
(DIRP) Settlement	Border Protection	Immigration and	Department of	governments, and the	collaporation across	supports	GOVDEX which	has access to	entrants. CRC now	humanitarian	outcomes for	could improve	States/Territories	Commonwealth and	between the		and information	coordination of data	that the better	The Commission	Framework (NSF).	Settlement	the National	mechanisms, such as	Committee and other	timely input to the	provide relevant and	to ensure they	aspects of the forums	appropriate to modify	finalized, it may be	and the workplan is
								25	3.					2					COIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Community Relations						-				19		-

		· ·
	9. improve public promotion of the responsibility of NSW Government agencies to provide interpreters	
	y of NSW t provide	
	January 2013	
	The Commission recognises the need for increased public awareness about access to interpreters. The Commission ensures State government agencies fulfil their responsibilities to culturally diverse clients through MPSP and promotes this service through its community engagement events.	Reporting Facility. These databases provide a range of information not previously available to the NSWGISPC.
х Х Х	Community Relations Commission	

## Executive Summary

The Department of Premier and Cabinet (DPC) and the Community Relations Commission (CRC) jointly reviewed the Audit Office's Report findings and recommendations. Generally, DPC and the CRC are concerned that the Report's findings misrepresent the role of NSW in the settlement of humanitarian entrants.

The Report does not satisfactorily differentiate between planning for humanitarian settlement (a Commonwealth responsibility) and delivery of services to humanitarian entrants (a shared Commonwealth and State responsibility). In addition, a number of the findings within the Report are not supported by recent evaluations and literature. Data contained in the Report is not well presented, and DPC and the CRC believe that this detrimentally misrepresents humanitarian settlement outcomes, which are generally acknowledged within the literature to be positive in comparison to other overseas jurisdictions. Further, there appears to be a logical gap between the findings of the Report and its recommendations.

Given the respective Constitutional responsibilities, the size of the cohort concerned, and the limited ability of the NSW Government to influence humanitarian settlement placements and movements; DPC and the CRC does not support the general direction of the Report's recommendations.

However, DPC and the CRC will commit to a broad review of the NSW Government Immigration and Settlement Planning Committee (the Committee), as well as seeking to improve information flows between the Commonwealth and NSW to assist in coordinating and improving the delivery of services to this very vulnerable group of NSW citizens.

#### Response to Findings

#### Settlement Planning

A key finding of the Report is that there is no settlement plan for NSW, that NSW is not influencing the settlement of humanitarian entrants, and that the Committee should be fulfilling this role.

The Report fails to adequately acknowledge that immigration is the Commonwealth's constitutional responsibility stemming from Section 51 (xxvii) of the *Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act*. Under this power, the Commonwealth manages the movement and settlement of people in and out of Australia. This includes determining immigration numbers (overall and by country), the location of unlinked humanitarian migrants who arrive in Australia, and supporting humanitarian settlement in Australia (from both offshore and onshore).

According to the Department of Immigration and Citizenship 9,236<sup>1</sup> offshore humanitarian migrants arrived in Australia in 2009/10. As indicated in the Report, only 20% of offshore humanitarian entrants arrive in Australia without either a sponsor or a link to family or friends (other estimates put this proportion even lower). This amounts to approximately 1800 people nationally. Based on historic trends, only a third of these, or roughly 600 people in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Immigration and Citizenship – Australia's Humanitarian Program, Information Paper, April 2011.

## The Department of Premier and Cabinet and the Community Relations Commission's Response to Auditor-General's Report Performance Audit: Settling Humanitarian Entrants in NSW

total, would have been placed by the Commonwealth in a location in NSW, and linked to services to enable them to settle. The balance of humanitarian entrants to NSW, settle in locations determined by their sponsors, or in accordance with the existing location of family or friends.

This compares with 144,100 total overseas arrivals in NSW in 2010.<sup>2</sup>

DPC and the CRC do not support the contention that NSW should have a settlement plan to specifically influence the settlement of this small number of humanitarian entrants. First, the cohort whose settlement could be influenced is very small, only 600 people out of a total of around 144,000 migrants annually. Second, the State's ability to influence the placement decision is significantly constrained as the Commonwealth has principal constitutional responsibility in this area.

However, DPC and the CRC would be entirely supportive of the Commonwealth agreeing to a formal consultation process prior to settlement-decision making, and to the timely provision of data on the proposed numbers, location and capacity of humanitarian entrants. While it is acknowledged that the Office of the Auditor-General is restricted in making recommendations with respect to the Commonwealth, such a measure would greatly assist the NSW Government to provide better outcomes when humanitarian entrants arrive. DPC and the CRC believe this is a critical missing element for improving service delivery and planning, and notes that the Report highlights this was a key concern of NSW Government Agencies during consultation.

#### **Outcomes for Humanitarian Entrants**

A supporting finding of the Report is that, on key indicators, NSW was doing less well than other states.

The data used to support this contention is not compared against equivalent data relating to outcomes experienced in the broader community. For example, Exhibit 9 illustrates English language, Employment, Health and Housing outcomes for humanitarian entrants, but does not provide comparative information for the broader population.

More critically, recent reviews and research have concluded that "state of residence has little overall impact on the settlement experience. State experiences vary somewhat, but generally similar patterns prevail."<sup>3</sup>

The Report also paints a poor picture of humanitarian entrant outcomes over the five year horizon. Again, reference to recent research would have identified that generally migrants (of all categories, not just humanitarian entrants) often experience significant improvement in housing, employment, and education outcomes over more extended timeframes, e.g. 5 to 10 years.<sup>4</sup>

This is a reasonable proposition when it is considered that acquiring English language proficiency sufficient to meet educational prerequisites can take several years, that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Australian Bureau of Statistics Catalogue 1367.0 State and Territory Statistical Indicators 2012

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> DIAC Settlement Outcomes April 2011, Australian Survey Research

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> DIAC Settlement Outcomes April 2011, Australian Survey Research Page | 2

subsequent training can also take a number of years to complete and that improved outcomes are only likely after both of these objectives are achieved.

It should also be noted that within NSW, all residents, including humanitarian entrants, are eligible to access government initiatives and services. This is reinforced by legislation through the *Communities Relations Commission and Principals of Multiculturalism Act 2000*, with a requirement that such services are publicly reported through the Multicultural Policies and Services Program.

## **Ongoing Needs of Humanitarian Entrants**

24

The remaining finding of the Report relates to NSW's approach to supporting humanitarian entrants. This is an area of particular interest to DPC and the CRC, and could have benefited from greater focus within the Report.

DPC and the CRC accept that services to humanitarian entrants could be better coordinated, that the responsibilities of respective Commonwealth and State agencies could be better articulated and managed through collaborative mechanisms, such as a reconfigured Immigration and Settlement Planning Committee.

These are areas where the NSW Government does have some ability to influence and determine outcomes for humanitarian entrants after their arrival in NSW. As such, it is disappointing that the Report makes very few findings or recommendations in this area. For example, anecdotal advice suggests that many of the service delivery challenges that arise for humanitarian entrants arise not from their initial placement, which the Audit focuses on almost exclusively, but as a result of secondary movements to alternate locations.

## What DPC and the CRC will do

DPC and the CRC will review the composition of the NSW Government Immigration and Settlement Planning Committee and its Terms of Reference (TOR) to ensure that it has the responsibility and authority to be the central point for developing and implementing settlement policy and planning in NSW. This review will consider:

- developing a process to monitor and respond to humanitarian entrant settlement issues;
- seeking formal partnerships with the Commonwealth, NSW local government organisations and other relevant stakeholders; and
- ensuring that service provision is coordinated, planned and transparent.

The CRC, as the co-ordinating agency, will also formally request that the Commonwealth provide regular data on humanitarian entrants, including statistics about intending humanitarian entrants and information about any planned release of asylum seekers from detention. This information will greatly assist NSW in planning service provision.