

**Submission  
No 7**

## **THE PROMOTION OF FALSE OR MISLEADING HEALTH-RELATED INFORMATION OR PRACTICES**

**Name:** Mr Bruce Thompson  
**Date Received:** 13/12/2013

# ECHUCA CHIROPRACTIC CLINIC

S

BRUCE THOMPSON (CHIROPRACTOR)

77 HARE ST. ECHUCA VIC. 3564

PH (03) 5482 1477 FAX (03) 5480 6375

FAX COVER SHEET

RECEIVED  
13/12/2013

DATE 12/12/2013

TO Committee on the Health Care Complaints Commission

FROM Bruce Thompson

RE The inquiry into "gifting" greater power to the HCCC

NO OF PAGES 4 Pages

MESSAGE It would be absurd to give the HCCC more powers in their "witch hunt" against anyone with an alternative view to a seriously flawed medical/pharmaceutical biased doctrine. Other views must be allowed in any free thinking society. R

770 Kave St

To: Parliament of New South Wales,  
Committee on the Health Care Complaints Commission.

EchUCA  
Vic 3564

This is a private letter which I hope  
will be considered as a submission.

23/11/2013

Inquiry into the promotion of false or misleading health-related  
information or practices.

Dear Sirs/Madams,

The Terms of reference opening statement is loaded  
with complete bias and is a vindictive attempt to change  
rules and accountability for the HCCC's failed witch hunt  
last year when the Supreme Court of N.S.W. found them to  
have acted outside their charter.

This attempt to alter the law and rules should  
be seen as an attempt to silence any opinion not in  
vogue with some of medical/pharmaceutical thinking.

You maybe our elected representatives but who  
gives you the right to attempt to dictate to me my choices  
of healthcare. To date I am 67 years young. I do not  
take any medication and very little vitamins, minerals  
or natural substances other than generally eating well,  
getting some physical exercise and leading a very active  
life of a chiropractor, father and grandfather who  
has had the pleasure of playing cricket in the same team  
as a son and two grandsons.

Passing these changes so the HCCC can go after people  
and alternative views would be very dangerous as it  
is so called 'scientific medicine' which has given  
us such wonders as thalidamide, viox, celledex and  
more recently larium. It has only been years later  
following thousands of deaths, injury and adverse

211

reactions that the medical/pharma regime has been dragged kicking and screaming to finally admit that somehow their scientific agenda has major flaws that can lead to corruption, ghost research and downright lies to an unsuspecting public who are completely at their and your mercy. The public will most certainly be more at risk if these laws are changed.

Regarding Term (a) who will determine what is false or misleading. This is obviously aimed at the FVN and information on the dangers of vaccination (an accepted medical practice). This information of adverse reactions to vaccinations is not false. It is a fact admitted by medicines pharmaceutical giants (who have been able to garner immunity from prosecution for damage caused) and the governments who have legislated this protection. The USA has paid out in excess of \$2 billion which is only the tip of the iceberg with many more patients being wrongfully denied rightful compensation through dubious rulings and drug financed bias research. Due to the immunity vaccine makers have, it is the governments who have to pay the compensation so you can see they have a very vested interest in denying any possible association between vaccines and deaths or adverse reactions.

No proper long term research has ever been done on the relative health of the vaccinated versus the unvaccinated. I would challenge your committee to first do that research with no loop holes to allow exclusions even if they have only had one vaccine at birth or via the pregnant mother - such as a flu shot.

Term (b) Medicine and pharmaceutical companies

31

are never going to publish the balanced facts or the other side of the equation. Never have and never will - the same as government agencies and "health" departments who already seem to have an indoctrinated viewpoint.

Term (c) Since when has the general public been so imbecilic that they are not allowed to consider their own health care options. Many people have used natural and alternative measures to obtain good results following medical advice that nothing more can be done but to drug you out of their misery. And you are considering the medical monopoly the sole right to adjudicate on options.

Term (d) The Hccc now has almost unfettered rights to make their own charges, judgements and penalties even without a scrap of evidence of any harm being done to anyone. No one has any protection against the agenda of this now flawed and compromised former "watch dog" supposedly established for the protection of the public as it is the public that they now wish to use their powers against as well change the laws to grant themselves more power.

Term (e) They have the capacity now if any harm has been done and any fault can be laid. I have not heard of them investigating the use of flue vac inappropriately by medical doctors even though they have been told not to use it on children under 5 years of age. If it is not considered safe for young children how then can it be acceptable to inject pregnant mothers when they have such an intricate connection with the brain of the developing baby.

The blindly accepted assumptions of the medical and pharmaceutical industries must remain open to question by others than those with vested interests.

4/1

The final term(e) seems to leave it completely open to any interpretation the HCCC or the government of the day can be persuaded to think they can get away with.

When my Mother was 79 she got a series of vaccinations and then got so sick she only just recovered enough to travel to Africa six weeks later. This year at 95 she was given the flu and pneumonia needles and got so sick with a lung infection that twice they told us not to expect her to get through the weekend. As she is fast approaching 96 on 12/12/2013 I am in fear of next years flu injection round up.

To give the HCCC (a group who has misquoted and misrepresented the AVN) greater powers to target those who don't wish to follow blindly the doctrine of medicine so indoctrinated by their dependence on the drugs concocted by the pharmaceutical <sup>companies</sup> is absurd. This is giving power to a regime that has less than 20% (generous) of its treatments rated as beneficial (BMS).

The use of statin drugs for cholesterol control have many side effects with questionable cost/risk/benefit outcomes. The medical establishment have already blamed Catalyst for possibly causing death and injury by reporting the issue.

Catalyst is the same ABC run program that on 11/07/13 ran a full program attacking chiropractors with many deliberate false claims that they were willing to overlook.

"Cut and paste and false innuendo was used and the HCCC has not done anything to protect the public or chiropractors.

Please guard our personal rights to make health care decisions for ourselves and our loved ones. No medical monopoly will ever care as much as family in most cases. Say no to any more powers

Yours sincerely

Bruce Thompson

# Message

From: [Bruce & Rosemary Thompson](#) Sent: 12/12/2013 3:27:08 PM

To: [HealthCare ComplaintsCommittee](#)

Subject: TRIM: Inquiry into the HCCC's attempt to gain more draconian powers against Australians.



If parliament votes to give a heavily bias medical manipulated authority more coercive powers to pursue it's witch hunt against ordinary Australian people with a view that differs from that of mainstream pharmaceutical companies then they should hang their heads in shame. Medically backed drug companies have perpetrated some of the worst cons and mistakes the general public have ever been exposed too. To make a 'watch dog' of their choosing all powerfull is almost beyond belief. But that is what you are infact considering doing. Bruce Thompson. 77 Hare Street, Echuca 3564.